```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
 2
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
                                          Case No. 3:16-CR-00051-BR
              Plaintiff,
 4
 5
                                       ) October 5, 2016
    v.
 6
    AMMON BUNDY (1),
    RYAN BUNDY (5),
 7
    SHAWNA COX (7),
    DAVID LEE FRY (13),
 8
     JEFF WAYNE BANTA (14),
     KENNETH MEDENBACH (16),
 9
    NEIL WAMPLER (20),
10
              Defendants.
                                          Portland, Oregon
11
12
                  EXCERPTED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
                          (Excerpt of Testimony)
14
           BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANNA J. BROWN, DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
                            AMANDA M. LeGORE
22
     COURT REPORTER:
                              CSR, RDR, FCRR, CRR, CE
23
                              U.S. Courthouse
                              1000 SW Third Avenue, Suite 301
24
                              Portland, OR 97204
                              (503)326-8184
25
```

		2			
1	APPEARANCES:				
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	GEOFFREY BARROW CRAIG GABRIEL			
3		ETHAN KNIGHT Assistant U.S. Attorneys U.S. Attorney's Office 1000 SW Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204 (503)727-1000			
4					
5					
		(503) 727-1000			
6					
7 8	FOR DEFENDANT AMMON BUNDY:	J. MORGAN PHILPOT JM Philpot Law			
9		1063 E. Alpine Drive Alpine, UT 84004			
10		(801)810-8369			
11		MARCUS MUMFORD Marcus R. Mumford, PC			
12		405 S. Main Street, Suite 975 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801)428-2000			
13	FOR DEFENDANT RYAN				
14	BUNDY:	RYAN BUNDY Pro se			
15		79400-065			
16		LISA LUDWIG Standby Counsel 811 SW Naito Parkway, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204			
17					
18		(503) 223-5570			
19	FOR DEFENDANT SHAWNA				
20	COX:	SHAWNA COX Pro Se			
21		TIFFANY HARRIS			
22		Standby Counsel 121 SW Salmon Street, Suite 1420 Portland, OR 97204			
		(503) 546-2927			
24					
25					

1 APPEARANCES: FOR DEFENDANT DAVID 2 FRY: PER OLSON Hoevet Olson Howes, PC 3 1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97205 4 (503)228-0497 5 FOR DEFENDANT JEFF BANTA: ROBERT SALISBURY 6 330 South 1st Street PO Box 1272 7 St. Helens, OR 97051 (503)397-9000 8 9 FOR DEFENDANT KENNETH 10 MEDENBACH: KENNETH MEDENBACH Pro Se 11 25795-086 12 MATTHEW SCHINDLER Standby Counsel 13 501 4th Street #324 Lake Oswego, OR 97034 14 (503)699-7333 15 16 FOR DEFENDANT NEIL WAMPLER: LISA MAXFIELD 17 Pacific Northwest Law, LLP 1420 World Trade Center 121 SW Salmon 18 Portland, OR 97204 19 (503)222-2661 20 ALSO PRESENT: RUSS BRETON RENA RALLIS MR. ROOTS 21 22 23 24 25

	Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR	Document 1860	Filed 02/0	18/17 Page 4 of 24	1		
					4		
1							
2	INDEX						
3							
4	Witness Index						
5	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	<u>Di</u>	rect Cr	oss ReDirect	ReCross		
6	Ammon Bundy Ammon Bundy Michele Fiore		.27 .88 20	Λ			
7	Michele Fiore Michele Fiore	1	20 20 20	6			
8	Brandon Rapolla Brandon Rapolla	2	109 22 22	5 235	236		
9	Brandon Rapolla Brandon Rapolla		23 23	1			
10	Brandon Rapolla		23				
11							
12		-00	00-				
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               (The following excerpt of the testimony of Ammon
 1
 2
               Bundy was held on October 5, 2016, at 9:14 a.m.)
 3
               MR. BARROW: No, your Honor. In light of time, we
     can take it up at another break.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Bundy? Mr. Bundy?
 5
               Marshals, Mr. Bundy needs to move to the witness
 6
     stand.
 7
 8
               All right. Ms. Graham, please bring in the jury.
 9
               THE CLERK: Jurors coming in.
10
               (Jurors enter at 9:17 a.m.)
11
               THE COURT: Thank you.
12
               Ladies and gentlemen, everyone be seated, please.
               Good morning, jurors.
13
               THE JURORS: Good morning.
14
               THE COURT: Any exposure to the case at all and
15
     anything involving it since we adjourned yesterday?
16
17
               THE JURORS: No. No, ma'am.
               THE COURT: Then let us proceed and continue with the
18
     direct examination of Mr. Bundy.
19
               Mr. Mumford.
20
               MR. MUMFORD: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor.
21
22
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
23
24
        Good morning, Mr. Bundy.
               I think we left off yesterday with the -- I think we
25
```

1 called it a standoff in Bunkerville in 2014.

Do you recall?

- A. Yeah, I think it -- we talked about me getting tased, and that's kind of where we ended it.
- Q. Okay. About how many people do you remember seeing or participating in the protest in Bunkerville in 2014?
- 7 A. In total or the first few days or --
- 8 Q. Let's talk about, you know -- well, let's talk about what
- 9 happened in those days after -- or how -- how much longer
- 10 did -- do we understand the Bunkerville in 2014 to go to?
- 11 A. Well, they -- so the incident that we saw with the video
- 12 and the -- where they tased me and threw my Aunt Margaret on
- 13 the ground, and all of that, that was on Wednesday. And --
- 14 Q. And then when did it -- when was the standoff resolved,
- 15 then?

- 16 A. On that Saturday.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. There was a few more events in between.
- 19 **Q**. Okay.
- 20 A. They --
- 21 0. So let's say from Wednesday to Saturday, then, how many --
- 22 how many people, total, did you -- did you see participate
- 23 there?
- 24 A. Several thousand people.
- Q. And do you remember how long the protest had been going on

prior to those events depicted in those videos that the jury saw yesterday?

A. Yeah. Pretty much started that Saturday before, but it was very small. It wasn't until those videos of what they did on Wednesday, where they tased us and threw my Aunt Margaret on the ground and sicced the dogs on us and all of that -- there were several people that took that video, and then they sent it out, all over. And people from all over the country started coming. And that's -- that's really what drew everybody there.

Before that, there was probably, you know, 50 or 60 -- well, probably 30 to 50 people that were there during the day. And then, in the evening, there would be maybe 100 to 150 people after work, and so forth. They would come and support.

But then once the video went out of them tasing and throwing my Aunt Margaret on the ground, then literally hundreds of people from all over started to come. And that's what drew everybody there.

- Q. Do you remember yesterday testifying -- and I'm going to pare -- something along the lines of -- about the BLM? If you're going to enforce court orders, go ahead and enforce court orders, but this was something way beyond that? Do you remember saying something like that?
- 23 A. I do remember saying that. And I --
 - Q. What did -- can you explain what you meant? What was the BLM doing, in your opinion, that was way beyond that?

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

A. Well, I -- I didn't -- I don't want to dispute the fact that there was a federal court order. I mean, that's a fact, and I'm not denying that. I don't believe that it -- my personal belief is that it was wrong. That the agencies have abused the courts again. And that to remove us after 130-plus years of ranching there, just because they say so, is wrong. But either way, there's -- it's an undisputed fact that there was a federal court order. But the court order only allowed for the impound of the cattle. And -- and we were there legally and peacefully protesting that with our signs, saying we did not agree with that.

And then they came and began to tase us and abuse us, throw us on the ground, beat us up. And that's what they began to do.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm going to object to the narrative, cumulative description --

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

The last answer stands. Please ask questions that relate to the defendant's state of mind in Malheur, without narrative.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.

Showing -- your Honor, showing the witness 1156. And I don't believe there's any objection.

THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Knight?

MR. KNIGHT: That's fine, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MUMFORD: So publish.

3 BY MR. MUMFORD:

- 4 Q. Mr. Bundy, do you remember testifying yesterday about cows
- 5 getting shot?
- 6 A. Absolutely.
- 7 Q. How many times had this cow been shot?
- 8 A. I don't know exactly, but over five times. And one was
- 9 from the very top, and it would have only been able to be shot
- 10 from the air.
- 11 Q. Do you -- and so this -- this photo was taken following the
- 12 events of Bunkerville or --
- 13 A. Yeah, this is one of the many cattle that they killed.
- 14 Q. Do you remember how many additional cows had been killed
- 15 like this?
- 16 A. No. But to our best estimate, there was around 60 head of
- 17 cattle that are unaccounted for.
- 18 Q. And did you -- at some point, did you -- did -- did -- you
- 19 previously mentioned a BLM grave for dead cattle?
- 20 A. Yes. They dug a mass grave, and they started just dumping
- 21 the dead cattle in them.
- 22 Q. And -- and it was this kind of knowledge, would you say,
- 23 that made you so determined to get into the dump truck?
- 24 A. That's exactly right.
- 25 Q. And, in fact, the video that we saw, it has you pointing to

- 1 the dump truck. Is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. At the time, had you -- had you said anything threatening
- 4 to the -- the BLM agents that were depicted in that video?
- 5 A. Absolutely not.
- 6 Q. Do you remember what -- what it was you said?
- 7 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the 8 line of questioning because it doesn't relate to his state of 9 mind. He's now describing --
- 10 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. We're going
 11 into far too much detail about Bunkerville, sir.
- MR. MUMFORD: Okay.
- 13 THE COURT: Move on.
- Jurors, remember, the purpose for this is as it may relate to Mr. Bundy's state of mind concerning the charge here involving the Malheur refuge.
- So, Counsel, point to that, please.
- MR. MUMFORD: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. You mentioned it -- it was resolved on approximately the
- 21 Saturday of that -- that week. Is that right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 | Q. And -- and it -- how did that -- how did -- how did that
- 24 climax or end of the conflict take -- take -- take place?
- 25 A. Well, from the very beginning of this, we were reaching out

to our representatives, to the governor, to our state representatives, to the sheriff, to try to get them to come and protect us and to get them to stop the federal government from doing what they're doing.

And other than a comment that the governor made in his discuss about the -- the First Amendment areas that they -- that the Bureau of Land Management made, there wasn't a lot of response. But then, finally, on Saturday, the sheriff agreed to come. The county sheriff, Sheriff Gillespie, agreed to come and address the people.

- Q. And showing you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1161.
- MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I don't believe there's any objection to this one. I would ask to show it to the witness.

THE COURT: Stand by, please.

(Pause, Mr. Mumford and Mr. Knight conferring.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

(Picture displayed.)

19 BY MR. MUMFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. What is this?
- 21 A. That's my dad speaking, and this is right before he turned 22 the time over to Sheriff Gillespie. Sheriff Gillespie is the 23 one just to the left of him.
- 24 Q. And is that you behind the -- the sheriff there?
- 25 A. Yeah, I'm right behind the sheriff, standing next to the

- 1 undersheriff.
- Q. Can -- can you just briefly describe what is taking place
- 3 here.
- 4 A. My dad is basically saying the sheriff's finally come. And
- 5 at this -- I don't know if this is before he spoke or after,
- 6 because it's just the picture. But if it's before, he's
- 7 basically announcing that the sheriff's here and --
- 8 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to hearsay.
- 9 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 10 Don't talk about what's on the video. It will be
- 11 shown, to whatever extent it's going to be admitted.
- Talk about his state of mind, please, so we tie it to
- 13 | this case.
- MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor.
- 15 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 16 Q. Mr. Bundy, is this -- is this podium, here, set up near an
- 17 area called the -- the wash?
- 18 A. It's about three miles from the wash.
- 19 Q. Okay. And -- and what does that mean? The wash?
- 20 A. Well, the Toquop Wash is the name of it. And that is just
- 21 down -- well, the wash is where they put in their compound,
- 22 where they put in their military-type base.
- 23 And so that's what "the wash" means, and that's, I'm
- 24 sure, what you're getting at.
- 25 Q. And so what -- what did you understand the purpose of

1 the -- well, you -- you said the purpose of the sheriff being

there is -- is -- and what he said to the crowd resulted in

3 what, then?

2

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

4 A. Well, the purpose of the sheriff is we were calling him to

5 protect us from what was happening. That was his purpose. And

he said that they had had communication with the Bureau of Land

Management.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I object to hearsay.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

The fact of the communication may be shown. Let's

11 not get into all of the hearsay.

So please, again, focus on Mr. Bundy's state of mind

13 and what this shows to advance that.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. Yeah. So you understood the sheriff had been in
- 16 discussions with the BLM. Right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And the sheriff was there for the purposes of -- of -- of
- 19 what, other than protect -- I mean, how did -- how did the
- 20 sheriff protect your family that day?
- 21 A. Well, what happened was the sheriff was there, I quess, to
- 22 announce -- I'll try not to be hearsay here. So to announce
- 23 his communication with the BLM. And he did announce that. And
- 24 we had expected him to basically protect us and to get the
- 25 cattle back. And that's -- that's what was going on here.

1 Q. Were -- after your father and the sheriff were done

2 speaking to the audience that day, can you describe what

- 3 happened.
- 4 A. So myself and pretty much -- you know, hundreds of other
- 5 people went up to the wash. And we were told that the BLM was
- 6 | going to stand down and that they were going to stop gathering
- 7 the cattle. And we went up to the wash, where they were at,
- 8 and we saw something completely -- I saw something completely
- 9 different than what was being said.
- 10 Q. What was that?
- 11 A. I saw fully armed and full tactical -- several hundred men,
- 12 ready to open fire on the American people, and threatening to
- 13 do so. Not just -- not just seeing it. Threatening to do so.
- 14 Q. And we've got a short -- a clip of that.
- Is that -- and so can you describe what -- what we're
- 16 | going to see.
- 17 A. Well, if it's a clip, I think it's us basically moving up
- 18 to those people that were threatening us.
- 19 Q. Did you intend to go -- go get -- you were trying to go get
- 20 your cows? Is that what you were going to do?
- 21 A. At that point we were going to go up to the fence, and we
- 22 were going to stay there until they left. That's -- that's
- 23 what was our objective.
- 24 Q. And -- and what -- and how was it -- what happened?
- 25 A. Well, we did that, as they were threatening to open fire on

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
          And we -- we did assemble, and we moved up several hundred
 1
    us.
     people, with horses and people on the ground. And we moved up
 2
 3
     to the barricade, with them threatening us the whole time.
               MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
 4
    hearsay characterization.
 5
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
 6
               Mr. Mumford, this line of questioning needs to be
 7
 8
    made specific and in summary, please.
 9
               MR. MUMFORD: Shall we just go to the video?
10
               THE COURT: Yes, please. And then not repeat it.
11
     Let's get on with it, please.
12
               MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, this is marked as 1321.
               THE COURT: Again, this is admitted for its
13
     state-of-mind value with respect to the charge and only for
14
     understanding the witness's testimony now. It won't be with
15
16
     you in the jury room.
17
               Please proceed.
18
               (Video playing with sound.)
19
               (Video paused.)
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
20
        Mr. Bundy, now that we have the visual, can you just
21
22
    briefly tell us what we're seeing here.
23
    A. We're down to the bottom of the wash, and we are assembling
24
    here.
```

To the -- to the north is -- you'll see, underneath,

```
16
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     another bridge there. You'll see that's where the federal
 1
 2
     agents are lined up. And we're basically assembling here and
 3
    begin to move forward to approach them.
               MR. MUMFORD: Go ahead.
 4
               (Video playing with sound.)
 5
               MR. MUMFORD: This is 1322.
 6
 7
               We'll get set up --
 8
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
 9
     Q. You heard a megaphone in the background. What was being
10
     said, to your recollection?
11
               THE WITNESS: They were --
12
               MR. KNIGHT: Object to the hearsay. He's asking
     what's being said on --
13
               THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
14
15
               Again, Counsel, this needs to be limited to state of
     mind in Malheur. We cannot go into these details.
16
17
               The objection is sustained. Ask another question.
               MR. MUMFORD: The state of mind, your Honor --
18
               THE COURT: Counsel, ask another question. Move on.
19
    Make it relevant to state of mind. Move on.
20
               MR. MUMFORD: Again, your Honor, this is 1322.
21
22
               (Video playing with sound.)
23
               (Video stopped.)
24
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
         So the crowd starts to move -- move -- move forward, then?
25
```

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
         That's correct.
 1
    Α.
 2
     Q.
         And then --
 3
               (Video playing without sound.)
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
 4
         (Speaking while video plays.) And then this is another
 5
    perspective from behind? Is that right?
 6
 7
    Α.
         That's correct.
 8
     Q.
       Where were you --
 9
               MR. MUMFORD: Can you pause it for just a sec.
10
               (Video paused.)
11
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
12
         Where were you in the crowd at this point?
         If I remember, I was up here in the (pointing) -- kind of
13
14
     the --
15
     Q.
       Front?
         -- front middle of the crowd there.
16
     Α.
17
         The stipulation that we read -- that was read about
     Bunkerville talked about people having arms. We see an
18
19
     individual here with what appears to be a sidearm.
               Do you -- do you have a recollection of how many of
20
     them were armed?
21
22
    A. Yeah. When I look at that picture, it looks like the -- he
23
     got a holster that -- that doesn't -- I'm not sure there's a
24
     qun in it, but I might be wrong.
         That's all right.
25
```

A. I just -- to be honest with you, I didn't see very many weapons at all. I know I didn't have one. And it's been portrayed many, many times we had lots of weapons, but there just wasn't very many weapons there.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm sorry. That's contrary to the stipulation about the events that occurred there that day.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The question is stricken, as is the answer.

Please move on.

MR. MUMFORD: Oh, but, your Honor, it shouldn't be stricken because the court stipulation allowed us to pre -- to -- to present --

THE COURT: All right. If you're opening up the door, then the Government has the right to bring in its own evidence.

MR. MUMFORD: No, I understand that. I understand that for sure.

THE COURT: Go ahead. Move on, for the limited purpose of state of mind, please.

THE WITNESS: It's --

THE COURT: Sir, wait for a question.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

Q. So -- and we're about to see you have a discussion with somebody. Who is -- who is that?

A. That is the -- Dan Love, the head of operations for the force that was there, I guess.

I don't know what his position is. I know he works for the Bureau of Land Management. And I guess -- I guess the Bureau of Land Management has now an armed force department, and he's the head of it, I guess; something to that effect.

- Q. And then there's another discussion with someone else. Who is that?
- 9 A. Then I have a discussion with the county sheriff, who comes
 10 down. His name is Deputy Tom Roberts. And he begins to
 11 protect the people.
 - Q. And do you recall what you said to Mr. Roberts that day?
- A. I told him that he had the proper authority here. And that whatever he asked us to do, we will do.

And he did take control of the situation as, in my belief, is his duty to do. And he backed down the federal agents and he asked us to back away as well.

And then he -- then we opened up negotiations for them to leave and for us to get back our cattle. And he facilitated that. And that's what happened. The federal agents left -- completely left the whole area and never came back. And -- and we went in there, and we got our cattle.

Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Video playing with sound.)

25 BY MR. MUMFORD:

```
20
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
         (Speaking over video) This is Agent Love that you were --
 1
     Q.
 2
     Α.
         That's correct.
 3
               (Video stopped.)
               MR. MUMFORD: And then go to --
 4
               Your Honor, this is 1324.
 5
               (Video playing with sound.)
 6
 7
               MR. MUMFORD: Pause it.
 8
               (Video stopped.)
 9
     BY MR. MUMFORD:
10
         What were you talking about with Deputy Roberts, there?
11
         I actually started cutting that sign off the fence. And
12
     he -- I think he thought maybe I was getting ready to open the
     gate earlier than what we had agreed upon. And he was like,
13
     Hey. And I said, Oh, I'm just taking the sign off the fence.
14
               And so he was like, Oh, okay. That's good.
15
         Now, these -- what were these fences set up to do, to your
16
17
     understanding?
18
         These fences were set up to keep people out.
19
     Q.
         What do the signs say?
20
         The sign said "Area closed."
     Α.
     Q.
         Go ahead.
21
22
               (Video playing with sound.)
23
               MR. MUMFORD: Pause it.
24
               (Video stopped.)
25
     BY MR. MUMFORD:
```

- 1 Q. Do you see on the upper right-hand side of that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Who's that?
- 4 A. What do you mean?
- 5 Q. Is that the deputy that was -- yeah.
- 6 A. That there (drawing).
- 7 | Q. Yeah.
- 8 A. I believe that's the sheriff deputy with the county
- 9 sheriff. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So he just finished talking with them at that point, then?
- 11 A. Yeah. And this group is federal BLM -- I guess -- agents.
- 12 And there was others up there as well.
- 13 Q. Do you have a sense of how many federal agents?
- 14 A. Well, and there was further -- more up on top.
- 15 My estimate with all of them -- including, I think,
- 16 the contract cowboys -- was around 200 people.
- 17 (Video resumes with sound.)
- 18 (Video stopped.)
- 19 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. And then I think we have about 15 seconds of the cows
- 21 getting let through.
- There's something that's significant though, here,
- 23 | that we'll see. Right? With the cows coming through?
- Who -- who -- who goes up and gets the cows?
- 25 A. These cowboys on this -- horseback, they go up and actually

22 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford bring the cattle back down and bring them back to the ranch. 1 2 And are they accompanied by -- by law enforcement? 3 Absolutely, by the county sheriff. They are actually following four vehicles from the county sheriff, up into the 4 compound. And they retrieve the cattle and bring them back. 5 (Video playing.) 6 7 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor --8 (Video paused.) MR. MUMFORD: -- this is 1325. 9 10 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead. 11 (Video playing with sound.) 12 MR. MUMFORD: Pause it. 13 (Video stopped.) BY MR. MUMFORD: 14 15 In fact, is that -- is there a sheriff -- is there a 16 sheriff's deputy car? 17 That is correct. That is the county sheriff deputy vehicle 18 there. And this is the very end of the cattle. Most of them 19 have already gone through. 20 Mr. Bundy, what effect did this experience have on you? 21 22 It had a great effect on me. I was able to see people 23 unite. I was able to see rights restored. And I was able to 24 see our local government stand up for the people and restore

their rights and protect them; both in harm and in property and

1 in life.

And it was a very significant -- I guess it's -- it's been significant for me and many, many others that have witnessed it or have seen it; even -- even way after the fact.

- Q. And how did that contribute to the actions in this case, that -- that you -- in -- in Oregon, with respect to the approach you took with the Hammonds?
- A. Well, it wasn't the first time that the county sheriff had stepped in and protected the people against federal agencies, but it was the most significant.

And I -- and it was a firsthand witness, to me, of what was truly the purpose of -- one of the purposes of a county sheriff, the sheriff department.

And so when I began to understand what happened to the Hammonds, the first place I knew that the Hammonds need to go to is to their county sheriff, and try to get him to stand up for them and to protect them. And so that's -- that is -- that is what we did.

- Q. And so -- so -- so moving forward in time -- I believe what -- we kind of left the story off at the Hammonds, right around November 5th, just right before you went home. Right?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. And you had made a phone call to set up a meeting with Sheriff Ward. Is that right?
 - A. Yeah. So I -- the morning of the 5th, before I met with

1 Susie Hammond, I called the sheriff and --

- Q. And was -- and was this your first time in contact with --
- 3 with Sheriff Ward?
- 4 A. That's correct. I had to look him up on the Internet to
- 5 see who he was, see what his phone number was, and all of that.
- 6 So I did that, and I called him.
- 7 Q. And you -- and you -- and you set up an appointment?
- 8 A. I did. I set an appointment for that afternoon.
- 9 Q. And I believe that you had indicated that you and Mr. Payne
- 10 were going to see Ms. Hammond that day? Is that --
- 11 A. That's correct, yeah. So we went and met with Susie
- 12 Hammond.
- We were hoping Dwight was there. But Dwight had been
- 14 working very, very hard to try to pay this fine off before he
- went to prison. And so he literally was working, you know, 14,
- 16 15 hours a day, every day, because he did not want to leave his
- 17 | family, going to prison, without paying this fine.
- 18 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to --
- 19 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 20 Mr. Bundy, don't talk about Mr. Hammond's state of
- 21 mind.
- 22 Counsel, frame your questions to keep it relevant to
- 23 this witness's state of mind. Jurors, disregard the testimony
- 24 and the last response.
- Go ahead, please.

- 1 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 2 | Q. What time did you -- how long did you spend with
- 3 Ms. Hammond?
- 4 A. A couple of hours that morning. And then it was kind of
- 5 around lunchtime. And she had some running around to do.
- 6 And -- but she wanted us to come back. So we went to lunch,
- 7 | just at a restaurant there in Burns. And then we came back and
- 8 spent probably another hour and a half, or so, with her. And
- 9 just -- again, she began explaining more of what was going on.
- 10 THE COURT: Stop. Do not talk about their state of
- 11 mind.
- 12 Take it back, again, to this defendant, please.
- 13 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. Moving forward to the afternoon of -- of -- of November
- 15 | 5th, then, with -- with Sheriff Ward.
- 16 Do you remember approximately what -- what time that
- 17 meeting took place?
- 18 A. I don't remember exactly. I know it was in the afternoon.
- 19 I -- I think probably around three o'clock, or so.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Payne came with you?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Can you describe for the jury how you were received by
- 23 | Sheriff Ward?
- 24 A. Very well.
- 25 He had -- he knew who I was, and he accepted us well

into his office. I noticed on his desk he had a copy of the 1 2 letter that I had wrote a couple of days before. And so I

don't know how he got that, but it was on his desk. 3

And we had a very open, friendly -- I thought a very great meeting. And that was --

- Q. Did he seem -- did he seem interested in the information that you -- that you shared with him?
- A. Absolutely. He was interested in the information of why we 9 were there. He was also interested in the Bundy Ranch and what 10 happened there. He was very friendly with us and very open.
- 11 Q. Did he seem -- did he seem worried or concerned at all 12 about anything that you -- that you or Mr. Payne said to him, 13 that day?
 - A. Not at all. He was a good sheriff. He -- he wanted to take the concerns that we had and our desire to educate him or -- and to protect the Hammonds. And again, he was very open with us.
- 18 Q. Did he seem to know who you were?
- 19 A. He knew who I was, yeah. I don't know that he knew a lot about me, but he knew who I was. 20
- Did the subject of Bunkerville or the Bundy Ranch come up? 21
- 22 Yes, it did. And he -- he inquired a little bit about it
- 23 and seemed -- this is my opinion of it. But seemed very
- 24 positive about it.

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

25 Seem -- so he seemed supportive, then?

27

1 A. I mean, I wouldn't be able to say or make that

2 determination whether he was supportive. I know he inquired

about it and he seemed very positive to me about it.

Q. Now, you've been here in this courtroom when Sheriff Ward

5 has testified.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Did it seem to you, as you listened to Sheriff Ward, that he was correctly characterizing --

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, objection.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

No witness may testify, jurors, as to the credibility of another trial witness. That's for you.

Move on, Counsel.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. Did you make -- did you make threats to anyone -- did you
- or Mr. Payne make threats or ultimatums to -- to -- to --
- 16 to Mr. Ward?
- 17 A. What we did was we explained to him what we felt and what
- 18 ∥ our experience has shown he has a duty to do. And that's
- 19 pretty much all we did at that meeting. We wanted him to look
- 20 | into the *Hammond* case and to see what was going on. And we
- 21 | told him that we would get him more information. That we would
- 22 help him do that in whatever way we could. But our goal was --
- 23 | there was to get him to initiate, I guess, an investigation, if
- 24 you will; to see what was going on.
- He had never met the Hammonds, so we asked him to go

1 meet with the Hammonds. We actually set that meeting up with

2 the Hammonds, and that did happen. And our goal was there --

- 3 was just simply to inform him of what was happening, inform him
- 4 that we were concerned, some of the reasons why we were
- 5 concerned, and asked him to get more information to make a
- 6 determination for himself.
- 7 Q. And so yes or no, did you give him any threats or
- 8 ultimatums that day?
- 9 A. No, we did not.
- 10 Q. Was there ever a time at this meeting that Sheriff Ward
- 11 became intense or angry at -- at what you were telling him?
- 12 A. Not at all. Not at all. And we -- I mean, the last thing
- we wanted was for the sheriff, that we felt had the authority
- 14 to make a difference here -- the last thing we wanted to do is
- 15 to get him upset or, you know, somehow in contrary to us. I
- 16 mean, that was the sole reason we were going there, was to help
- 17 us; not to become adverse with him.
- 18 Q. Do you know if Mr. Payne had any separate conversation with
- 19 Mr. Ward that day that you just didn't know about, somehow?
- 20 A. Not that I know of, and I don't see how that would be
- 21 possible.
- 22 Q. Why not?
- 23 A. Because I was with Ryan, we stayed at the hotel room
- 24 together. And then we went to Susie Hammond's house together.
- 25 And then we went to the sheriff's office together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

And then after the sheriff's office, in the parking lot of the sheriff's office, he went -- you know, he got in his vehicle and headed back to California, which is where he was headed, I guess. I don't know where he was going exactly. And I got in my vehicle. And so unless he would have actually stopped and parked again and went into the sheriff's -- and that could have happened, but I don't believe that that happened.

- 9 Q. About how long, total, did you spend with Sheriff Ward that 10 day, November 5th?
- 11 A. I would say a little over an hour. Maybe -- maybe -- I

 12 would be surprised if it was an hour and a half. I would say a

 13 little over an hour.
- Q. And your recollection as to -- in the meeting, where did you leave things with him?
 - A. We left with a handshake. We left with him kind of making a commitment to meet with the Hammonds and with a commitment from us that we would get him more details on a direction that he could go into looking into the matter.
- Q. So you -- you -- you walk out. You get in your truck.
- 21 Mr. Payne gets in his truck, goes to California. Where did you 22 go?
- A. I went down to the local news, the Burns -- I think it's the New Times Herald, I believe, is the name of it.
- 25 And I gave them a copy of my letter that I wrote to

1 government officials and concerned citizens, I think, is

2 what -- I gave them that letter, and asked them if they would

- 3 publish it. And they agreed to publish it, and asked me if I
- 4 could send it to them digitally later. And I did do that, and
- 5 they did publish it.
- 6 Q. Did anyone go with you to meet with the -- the newspaper?
- 7 A. No, not at that time. I met with them multiple times, but
- 8 not at that time.
- 9 Q. After -- after your visit to the newspaper, what did do
- 10 you?
- 11 A. I think by this time it was getting evening. I ate next
- 12 door, just down the street, at the little Chinese restaurant.
- 13 And then I went back home to Emmett.
- 14 Q. If you remember, about what -- what time of day did you
- 15 leave the -- Harney County, then?
- 16 A. I'm going to guess, you know, five o'clock, or so.
- 17 Q. Okay. Before -- just -- before we move forward here, let's
- 18 get a few things clear for the -- for the jury.
- 19 You -- you understand that the Government has alleged
- 20 that as of -- that day, November 5th --
- 21 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, this is -- objection,
- leading.
- 23 THE COURT: Just rephrase your question, please, to
- 24 get to the fact without legally characterizing it.
- 25 BY MR. MUMFORD:

31

Q. Well, you understand the allegations are that you -- your conspiracy began that day, November 5th, in directly preventing
Malheur National Wildlife Refuge employees from discharging

their duty. Is that true or not?

MR. KNIGHT: Objection, your Honor. It's not proper for the witness to offer a legal conclusion in this context.

THE COURT: I'll permit the witness to answer with respect to the facts and the dates. The legal issues about conspiracy are matters for me to instruct you and for you to decide as it applies to the facts as you find them.

So you may answer the question, Mr. Bundy, on factual issues, not the law.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Factually, on November 5th, did you conspire with anyone to prevent Malheur National Wildlife Refuge employees from doing
- 16 their -- discharging their duties by threat, fear, or
- 17 intimidation?
- 18 A. Absolutely not.
- 19 Q. Moving forward.
- You got back -- you got back home. Do -- do you remember when?
- A. It was late. It's about a three-hour drive. It was in the winter, too. So -- and you have to go up over the pass.
- You've got to be careful going up over the pass. So it was pretty late. I don't remember exactly, but I remember it was

- 1 late.
- 2 Q. And did you stay in contact with the Hammonds?
- 3 **A**. I did.
- 4 Q. Did you receive help or -- or -- any help in gathering
- 5 information from them?
- 6 A. Yeah. I worked very closely with the Hammonds for about
- 7 | two weeks on the details of basically what's happened, kind of
- 8 what was the beginning of this -- you know, adverse
- 9 relationship that began with the federal agencies, the U.S.
- 10 Fish & Wildlife, and the BLM, and why, and how it all
- 11 transpired. And I created off of that information a document
- 12 | that I published later but it was intend -- intended for
- 13 Sheriff Ward, to inform him. And that was called the facts and
- 14 events of the Hammonds, the Hammond case. And -- and --
- 15 anyway.
- 16 Q. Yeah. And do you -- do you remember posting that
- 17 information to your blog?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. And would that --
- 20 A. I e-mailed that out.
- 21 | Q. Would that have been around --
- MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, can we just show briefly
- 23 just this 1235, your Honor?
- 24 THE COURT: To the witness or to the jury?
- MR. MUMFORD: Well, to the jury, if we can.

I'm not going to review it with the witness at all.

I'm just going to show it to them.

THE COURT: I don't understand the point of that.

MR. MUMFORD: This is the update that Mr. Bundy --

THE COURT: Why show it, if it's not admissible?

MR. MUMFORD: Just to show them that it's there.

THE COURT: Well, he's testified that it's there.

Is there any contest about that, Mr. Knight? That it was written or published?

MR. KNIGHT: No.

THE COURT: All right. Move on.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And -- and do you recall -- can you summarize briefly, what was it that you were summarizing for either your -- the -- the
- 16 readers, in -- in that post?
- 17 A. Say that again, please?
- Q. What was -- very briefly, what was it that you were -- what
- 19 was the purpose of that -- that post?
- 20 A. Well, I wanted to lay the foundation of when the Hammonds
- 21 | had purchased their ranch, what was basically their rights and
- 22 what they did purchase, what they paid money for. And then
- 23 some of the things that kind of started spinning off this. How
- 24 there was a dispute about water rights with the state versus
- 25 the refuge, and how the state ruled towards the Hammonds. And

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 34 of 241 34 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford they won that water right. And then how that started this 1 vindictive behavior that went on for years. 2 And -- and that -- I just began -- and then I went 3 through the different pieces of what happened to them. How 4 their -- they were restricted from access to private property 5 above the refuge. 6 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object. This is now 7 8 nonresponsive. The question --9 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 10 objection is sustained. The last sentence is stricken. 11 Please ask a question. 12 BY MR. MUMFORD: Q. At a certain point did you return to -- to Burns and -- and 13 Harney County? 14 A. I did. I --15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did you stay -- when was that? 16
- 17 I -- I think it was the next week. And, again, I think I 18 stayed at the Silver Spur.
 - You know, it might have been -- and I apologize. I don't have a calendar in front of me. It might have been two weeks before I returned.

But I -- and I was in constant communication with the Hammonds. And when I say that, mostly daily; especially during drafting this. Actually, I do believe it was -- it was two weeks before I went back because I took a trip to Arizona, as

- Q. And did you -- did you remain in contact with Sheriff Ward in that time period?
- A. Yes, I did. And as soon as I felt like I had enough information to send it to him, I sent it to him.

But we were actually talking and communicating even during that time of me getting that information together.

- Q. Without -- without getting into the substance of those communications, will you say that was consistent with how you -- you -- you've described your November 5th meeting?
- 12 A. Very much so. We talked about principles.

We talked about, you know, the right thing to do. We talked about -- what I mean by that is him expressing and me expressing -- and, actually -- and many times agreeing with what the -- what the proper ways to try to solve problems and issues are with -- with -- with things such as what was happening. And so those are the type of discussions that we had.

- Q. You -- you said it was about two weeks. Is -- you've heard testimony about a November 19th meeting with Mr. Ward?
- 22 A. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 Q. Is -- was that your next time there in Harney?
- A. Well, I need to be refreshed on the meeting. Like I said,
- 25 I met with him many times. And so I'm not quite sure which

- 1 meeting you're talking about.
- Q. Okay. The -- well, do you recall a meeting that was
- 3 attended by others from -- I think, called the Pacific Patriot
- 4 Network?
- 5 **A**. Yes.
- 6 Q. To the best of your recollection, when did that take place?
- 7 A. I believe that was on the 19th. It wasn't the only time I
- 8 had met with the sheriff, though. And I had met with him alone
- 9 and then I talked with him on the phone several times. Of
- 10 course, on the phone and in his office.
- 11 Q. This meeting in particular, this meeting on November 19th,
- 12 did you do anything in preparation?
- 13 A. Well, I was communicating with a whole group of people -- I
- 14 mean -- and I'm -- I'm talking -- our e-mail has several
- 15 thousands. And I'm getting a lot of response from different
- 16 people. So I'm not -- so, yes, I had -- you know,
- 17 communicating and getting a lot of response back from people
- 18 | that was concerned of what was happening.
- 19 Q. Did -- did you know who attended that meeting?
- 20 A. Yeah, it was a large group of people.
- 21 | Q. Did you know them?
- 22 A. Yes, I knew -- I knew them. Some of them I had met just
- 23 | recently because of this. Actually, most of them I had met
- 24 just recently because of this. But others I had known -- like
- 25 Cliff Gardner and his wife, they're ranchers in Nevada, and

- 1 I've known them my entire life.
- 2 | Q. What was sheriff's -- Sheriff Ward's demeanor and behavior
- 3 during -- during this meeting?
- A. It was good, but he had started to change. He had informed
- 5 me that he had been contacted many times --
- 6 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to hearsay, your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I understood and knew -- well, I
- 10 understood that he had been contacted many times --
- 11 MR. KNIGHT: I object.
- 12 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Relies on
- 13 hearsay, if it's offered for truth.
- Go ahead. Ask a question that calls for an
- 15 admissible answer, please.
- 16 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 17 Q. Did -- who opened this meeting?
- 18 A. Who opened it?
- 19 0. Yeah.
- 20 A. I think it was me. I'm not 100 percent sure, but I think
- 21 it was me.
- 22 Q. And what did you explain to everyone that the purpose of
- 23 that meeting was, to the best of your recollection?
- 24 A. The purpose of that meeting was to -- to talk about and get
- 25 more details -- I guess get into more of the details about what

we were going to do to help the Hammonds. And what the sheriff was going to do.

And we were wanting the sheriff to make a decision:
Whether he was going to help the Hammonds or whether he was not
going to help the Hammonds. Because up to this time he had
never -- he would never make a decision. He always wanted to
play the neutral party and didn't want to become adverse to us
and the people and the federal government.

And he was getting a lot of communication from both sides at this time.

- Q. During this meeting with Sheriff Ward on the 19th, do you remember anyone mentioning anything about the -- the Malheur Refuge?
- A. Absolutely not. I mean, it was not -- it wasn't anything that we were discussing, had thoughts about. Nothing -- nothing to that sort. We were there to get the sheriff to stand for the Hammonds. That was it.
- 18 Q. Anything regarding refuge employees at all?
- 19 A. Not at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. About this time, do you remember publishing videos on the Internet about -- relating to your concerns about the Hammonds and the issues?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. What -- what was your intent? What was your intent in publishing those?

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford Well, again, I published several videos, so I'm not sure 1 which one you're talking about. But I -- it was, again, just 2 3 to educate people on what was happening to the Hammonds. I was keeping them informed about the sheriff and -- and that he had 4 not made a decision yet. And that's pretty much where -- where 5 we were at. Just -- just informing people and updating people 6 7 on -- on what was happening. 8 Q. Showing you --9 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I would ask just to let the 10 witness see this one. That's 1237. 11 THE COURT: Yes, for the witness only. 12 Go ahead. (Video playing without sound.) 13 BY MR. MUMFORD: 14 Sheriff --15 Ο. 16 MR. MUMFORD: You can stop now. 17 (Video stopped.) BY MR. MUMFORD: 18 Do you remember recording this video? 19 20 Α. I do. What was your purpose, briefly, in -- in recording it? 21 22 The purpose for this was to inform people of what had Α. 23 happened -- my understanding of what had happened to the

Hammonds. And in recent -- just recent -- in that day. And to

inform them about a conversation that I had with Dwight and

24

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     Susie Hammond, separately -- Dwight first and then Susie --
 1
     about threats that were made to them.
 2
 3
               MR. KNIGHT: Object to hearsay, your Honor.
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
 4
               Jurors, disregard the last part of the witness's
 5
 6
     answer.
 7
               Please continue, Counsel.
 8
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
 9
         So you made this video. What did you do with it?
10
         I -- I -- I posted it, and I felt that I needed to post it
11
     for my -- my own safety, as well as the Hammonds.
12
     Q. Why did you feel like you needed to post it for your own
13
     safety?
               MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going for the basis --
14
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
15
               MR. KNIGHT: The objection is hearsay. The basis of
16
17
     the answer that he is about to give is a hearsay statement
18
     that's been ruled inadmissible.
19
               MR. MUMFORD: It's state of mind, your Honor. I
20
     asked --
               THE COURT: Without stating the reasons, he may state
21
22
    his state of mind. Not the basis for his state of mind.
23
               So you may ask a question about his state of mind,
24
    not what other people told him, not what other things
     contributed to it. But he may make testimony about his state
25
```

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford 1 of mind. BY MR. MUMFORD: 2 What was your state of mind this day that you published 3 that November 20th video? 4 Because of the conversation I had with Dwight --5 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 6 7 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 8 Mr. Bundy --9 MR. MUMFORD: I --10 THE COURT: May I please just make a ruling before 11 you interrupt me. Have a seat. 12 Mr. Bundy, you may testify about your state of mind but not your reasons for it. 13 Now, ask your next question. 14 15 THE WITNESS: I would rather not state about my state of mind if I can't tell the reason for it. I mean, I had a 16 17 state of mind because I was --THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, be quiet, please. 18 19 Counsel, ask another question. 20 MR. MUMFORD: He does raise a good point, your Honor. How was he supposed to --21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Mumford. Mr. Mumford, I am making 23 rulings here. You don't get to debate them now in the jury's 24 presence. Ask another question. We will take this up at the

25

recess. Move past it now, please.

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford MR. MUMFORD: But the jury's --1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Mumford, proceed, please. 3 MR. MUMFORD: The jury's going to be asked to assess this --4 THE COURT: Mr. Mumford, I've made a ruling now. 5 need to follow the ruling. We'll take this up outside the 6 7 jury's presence. You may ask a question around which there 8 won't be an objection. Let's use the jury's time valuably, 9 please. 10 Move on. 11 BY MR. MUMFORD: 12 (Pause.) Mr. Bundy, did there come a time when you published further information about reasons why you -- you were 13 in Harney County that day? 14 15 A. Yes, I published many things. I -- I felt that I needed to be very open and very -- shed as much light as I could on what 16 17 was happening. 18 Q. Why? Why? What was your state of mind in posting these things? 19 20 A. I -- I wanted the American people and the people around to see what was happening. I wanted them to have all of the 21 22 information that they could possibly have, so that they could 23 make a decision themselves. And I felt that I could not do 24 anything or -- and not really report and not really show the

people what was happening. That was -- that was my -- that my

1 mindset on that.

- I felt obligated, at that time, to be -- be open, to shed the light on what was happening.
- Q. You -- again, getting to your state of mind, did -- did you fear for the Hammonds at this point?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. Feared enough to post -- post -- post -- post
- 8 videos? Post information, then?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you fear for your own -- own safety?
- 11 A. I did. I wasn't really afraid but I -- I needed to get
- 12 that information out there in case something would happen to
- 13 | myself or my family.
- 14 Q. Do -- do you remember at some point during your time in the
- 15 late November or early December receiving information or
- 16 evidence about the BLM fires?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Who -- who gave you that information?
- 19 A. I actually received information from a lady that lived in
- 20 French Glenn, which is in Harney County.
- 21 0. Do you remember -- do you remember her name?
- 22 A. You know what, I am sorry. I don't. I know that she was a
- 23 | relative to John Witzel, who was the one who recorded the
- 24 video.
- 25 **Q.** What did you do with that?

- 1 A. I posted it.
- Q. Do you remember approximately when that was?
- 3 A. It was -- I'm -- you know, middle to the end of December, I
- 4 believe.
- 5 Q. Okay. And without getting into too many details of it
- 6 | what -- what do you recall that -- what do you recall it was
- 7 | that really struck you about that -- those -- the videos that
- 8 you obtained from this individual who is related to Mr. John
- 9 Witzel?
- 10 A. Well, one, it was in Harney County. It was within just a
- 11 few miles from the Hammonds' ranch and from the refuge. It was
- 12 just 12 days from the time the Hammonds were sentenced. And it
- 13 was fires that burnt cattle and killed many cattle and put the
- 14 ranchers' operation in danger. And in the video were multiple,
- 15 I guess, videos of the BLM starting fires around the ranchers.
- 16 Q. I would like to ask for a little bit of information about
- 17 this petition of redress of grievances that you published on
- 18 December 11th. Do you remember that?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Who -- whose -- whose idea was that?
- 21 A. It was mine and -- and, collectively, a lot of -- several
- 22 organizations. Probably 11 or 12, maybe a little more,
- 23 | organizations that -- we needed to be able to state our
- 24 concerns and what our grievances were and what -- what we
- 25 wanted to be done.

45

We didn't feel it was right for us to just complain and to say there's something wrong here and not make it clear of what we felt was wrong, or at least what we see at the surface of what was wrong, and then asking what we -- what we felt needed to be done.

Q. Who wrote it?

1

2

3

4

5

- 7 A. Primarily myself.
- 8 Q. Who else was involved?
- 9 A. There was several people involved, several groups involved.
- 10 It was -- I sent it to many different groups, including a
- 11 | fairly significant -- what I mean by that is a large group of
- 12 elected representatives, who read it and approved it, and along
- 13 with these other groups such as the Pacific Patriots Networks,
- 14 the Coalition of Western States, the -- the Constitution --
- 15 Oregon Constitutional Guard, and these groups.
- And so after it was drafted, I sent it to each one of
- 17 them for their approval and for their feedback, and they did
- 18 give me feedback. It was changed and added to and adjusted
- 19 multiple times. And once we all felt it was good and that we
- 20 could get behind it, then it was sent to the sheriff and -- and
- 21 all -- several other elected representatives, and also
- 22 published.
- 23 | Q. And you -- you mentioned a Coalition of Western States.
- 24 What's that?
- 25 A. It's a group of elected representatives from the 11 western

1 states, primarily, that basically have organized in a coalition

2 to address and to unite on -- on standing against a lot of

- 3 these issues of the federal government overreach.
- 4 Q. Do they go by the -- the -- the name COWS?
- 5 A. That's correct. Coalition of Western States, COWS.
- 6 Q. What -- what did you do to make sure this petition for
- 7 redress of grievances was actually delivered to the people it
- 8 was intended to be sent to?
- 9 A. Well, we sent it first to their official e-mails. And
- 10 assuming that that was, you know, the correct thing to do
- 11 initially. And then we actually had several copies notarized
- 12 and -- and hand delivered to -- to multiple representatives,
- 13 including the sheriff and the county commissioners, and so
- 14 forth.
- 15 Q. After all of that, who responded?
- 16 A. Not one person. Not one representative. Not -- not the
- 17 sheriff, not the governor, not any representative responded at
- 18 all.
- 19 Q. Did the lack of response have an effect on you?
- 20 A. It had a -- it had a large effect on me. And not just me,
- 21 others. We --
- 22 Q. Explain.
- 23 A. I -- I just -- here we have a large group of people.
- 24 Thousands, tens of thousands of people are expressing their
- 25 concern of what's happening. They've been informed

independently and off of stuff that we have sent them.

And we organize, united. We actually got people to -- through what was called a name card, to actually sign this redress of grievance.

We had like 28 -- or 29 pages, double column of names of individuals that signed this notice, redress of grievance.

And we added those names with the copies that we sent to the elected representatives to show how much support -- we even showed -- I take that back. We did not show what county they came from and what state.

We knew that because they had collected that information but we didn't show that in the redress; but we did show the names.

And we -- in the redress of grievance, what we were simply asking them is to look at all of these things, look into them and to create some kind of evidential hearing board to investigate what has gone on to see if possibly, just possibly, that the federal government has gone over -- overstepped their bounds and actually abused this family and the people of Harney County.

That's what we were asking. We weren't asking them to -- to make some radical, you know, stand, or do anything like that. We were asking them to simply to look into this, to organize themselves as elected representatives, and to create an evidential hearing board to determine if these things that

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     we listed were possible abuses to the Hammond family.
 1
                                                             That's
     all we were asking. And we got no response, zero.
 2
 3
        Did you ever learn why?
     A. What I did learn was that the FBI contacted them and told
 4
     them not to respond.
 5
               MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, object.
 6
               THE COURT: The objection -- the objection is
 7
 8
     sustained. This is hearsay.
 9
               The witness cannot know why people to whom he sent
10
     material did not respond. The fact that there was no response
11
     is before the jury and it can be argued.
12
               If you have -- if you have direct evidence from
     people who received it, then they can testify to it but he
13
     cannot.
14
15
               The objection is sustained.
               Mr. Schindler?
16
17
               MR. SCHINDLER: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
     Mr. Medenbach --
18
19
               THE COURT: Would you like to take the morning recess
20
    now?
                               If that would be possible.
21
               MR. SCHINDLER:
22
               THE COURT: Would you find a place to break quickly,
     here?
23
24
               Can we break now or do you need another --
               MR. MUMFORD: Just one more.
25
```

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               THE COURT: One more question. Certainly.
 1
 2
              MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
     Q. Was there something you received that told you why these
 4
    people weren't responding --
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Let's take that up outside the jury's
 7
    presence, in light of the prior objection. Then --
 8
               Stay where you are, sir.
 9
              And then I'll work on it.
10
              All right, Jurors, you get your break. 15 minutes,
11
    please.
12
               Everybody stand for the jurors. Remember not to talk
     about the case. Watch your step. We'll be back with you in 15
13
14
    minutes.
               (Jurors exit at 10:21 a.m.)
15
               THE COURT: All right. Everyone be seated.
16
17
    Mr. Bundy, you can step down. Mr. Bundy and Mr. Fry can step
     on out.
18
               We'll take up issues for the record in about ten
19
    minutes, once everyone is back.
20
               MS. MAXFIELD: Your Honor --
21
22
               THE COURT: Just wait one second. Let Mr. Bundy step
     on out.
23
24
               (Pause.)
               THE COURT: Okay. We're in recess, and we'll go back
25
```

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     on the record in ten minutes.
 1
 2
               (Recess taken at 10:23 a.m.)
 3
               (Court resumes at 10:38 a.m.)
               THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.
 4
               Marshal, would you close the door, please, to the
 5
     hallway.
 6
 7
               Before we get to the specific exhibits that need to
 8
     be reviewed again or resolved, Mr. Mumford, can you give me an
 9
     idea, please, how much longer on direct of Mr. Bundy?
10
               MR. MUMFORD: I don't know.
11
               THE COURT: Give me an estimate.
12
               MR. MUMFORD: I do not know right now because --
13
     because --
               THE COURT: Please don't shout at me.
14
15
               MR. MUMFORD: What the Court has done this morning is
     directly contrary to the Court's order last night and -- and
16
17
     the -- the rules of evidence.
               I can ask -- intent is the central issue here --
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Mumford, please calm down and don't
19
20
     yell at me.
               Now, tell me your point and give me an estimate,
21
22
     calmly.
               MR. MUMFORD: 10 is the central issue.
23
               THE COURT: Yes.
24
               MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor has just said, in front of
25
```

51 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford the jury, that -- that -- that this man can say what his state 1 2 of mind was but not give them the -- the information (pointing) 3 they need to assess it? First of all --4 THE COURT: Please, Mr. Mumford, you need to stop 5 pointing at me and yelling. Now, be calm and make your 6 7 argument. 8 MR. MUMFORD: Well, this is mistrial worthy. This is 9 mistrial --10 THE COURT: I can't hear your argument if you keep 11 threatening me. Just give me the legal arguments calmly, and 12 I'll do my best to assess them. Please. MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor said -- your Honor said last 13 night I was not allowed to use, for example, 1237. That's the 14 video that Mr. -- Mr. -- Mr. Bundy posted at the time that said 15 what his -- what -- the threats he was receiving. But what 16 17 your Honor's assured us at the time is that Mr. Bundy could speak to the contents of that. That is -- your Honor -- your 18 Honor, that is the purpose of the hearsay rule. 19 20 THE COURT: Well, I mistook what you were asking with -- will you please let me finish a sentence before you 21 jump down my throat, as you are about to do. 22 23 I am trying to understand a proffer. When there is 24 an objection, I listen and I make a ruling.

I need you to be calm. If you want me to reconsider

```
52
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     as to 1237, say so. Calmly -- calmly state the basis, and
 1
 2
     we'll go through it again.
               I cannot carry all of your multiple arguments at all
 3
     times. I am a human, too. I am trying hard to track the
 4
     multiple veins here. You need to state your bases -- and I'll
 5
     consider them -- without yelling at me.
 6
 7
               MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor makes mistakes.
 8
    mistakes. But they know the rules (pointing) and they --
 9
               THE COURT: So tell me what the 1237 issue is. Let's
10
    get to it, we'll move on.
11
               MR. MUMFORD: I don't know how we're going to fix it
12
     at this point, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Well, for heaven's sakes, Mr. Mumford, if
13
     you want to offer 1237 and you think I've made an error in
14
     excluding it, then state your basis or move on.
15
               MR. MUMFORD: I think you made an error in --
16
               THE COURT:
                           Then state it. State now why you want to
17
18
     offer it. I'll reconsider it outside the jury's presence.
               MR. MUMFORD: Mr. Ammon testified --
19
20
               THE COURT: How about we do it this way. Why don't
     you do your offer of proof because it's easier if you just lay
21
22
     it out, and then I can see what you're doing with it.
23
               MR. MUMFORD: Actually, I think I can explain this
24
     faster.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
```

MR. MUMFORD: Mr. Bundy testified he was in fear on the day, and that's when he made the video and that's why he posted it. And then your Honor stopped me before I could get him to -- to explain why. And --

THE COURT: What I was concerned with was his restating other people's statements.

MR. MUMFORD: And --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And you didn't make a proffer to assert how they were admissible. This is the problem. You're skipping around with your evidentiary foundations, and I need now for you to make the full presentation so I can consider it outside the jury's presence.

MR. MUMFORD: And -- and that is the purpose, your Honor, of hearsay. It is hearsay. It's an exception to hearsay. He is entitled to say or show to the jury what those statements of other people were. It is the exception.

THE COURT: All right. Let's make it applicable to 1237.

Tell me what it is you want to do in the jury's presence about 1237, so I can fully understand the argument outside the jury's presence. And then I'll hear any objection. And we'll take the next issue, through the next round. But please just do it.

MR. MUMFORD: But I need to better understand and I need the Court to better understand what -- what -- what your

to.

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

Honor just said in front of the jury. Because what your
Honor's -- my impression of what your Honor's comments were, in
front of the jury, was to belittle and -- and call into
question the judge's -- your Honor's feeling to the jury
about -- about whether -- about what Mr. Bundy was testifying

THE COURT: I don't know what you're referring to, sir. But let me just say this. When the jury sees both the witness and a lawyer arguing with the judge four times after an objection is sustained, they're going to be drawing conclusions.

I'm trying, now, hard to give you a chance to make your 1237 proffer and any others. Please make them so I can consider them again, outside the jury's presence. If they're admissible, then they'll come in. Use the chance now or don't. It's your choice.

1237, are you offering it?

MR. MUMFORD: Yeah, I'm going to offer 1237.

THE COURT: So lay the foundation here with the witness now. Let me hear it so I cannot make a mistake in the jury's presence and not misunderstand your point.

MR. MUMFORD: I -- I would like the Court also to acknowledge that -- that that is not the -- the Court's intent to that.

THE COURT: I don't know what you're saying, but

55

would you just make your proffer, please, so that we can get this on the record and I can make a ruling.

OFFER OF PROOF

4 BY MR. MUMFORD:

- 5 Q. Mr. Bundy, do you remember when we were -- we were
- 6 testifying -- you were testifying -- ah. You were testifying
- 7 | regarding the video you posted on November 20th?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And you testified that you -- you were fearful that
- 10 day for the Hammonds and for yourself?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What was that based on?
- 13 A. It was based on information -- or a conversation that I had
- 14 with Dwight Hammond, first, when he informed me that he felt
- 15 threatened by the federal government.
- 16 And then I called Susie Hammond right after that.
- 17 And she expressed to me the exact same thing, and also both of
- 18 them expressed concerns for me and for my family.
- 19 Q. And what were the words that they used to express their
- 20 concerns for you?
- 21 | A. Dwight used the words such as shooting him, raiding his
- 22 home. That was, I believe, his take of it.
- But he did use specifically that his attorneys told
- 24 him that they said they would detain him early and put him in a
- 25 less desirable prison if he did not stop talking with me.

56

Q. And did they use -- did they caution you that -- about

- 2 potentially getting shot in the head yourself?
- 3 A. Yes. That is correct.
- 4 Q. How did they -- what did they say?
- 5 A. What did Dwight say?
- 6 Q. Yeah.
- 7 A. Dwight -- and I want to be very clear -- or -- and I don't
- 8 want to say something because this is a serious matter,
- 9 obviously. But he said something to the effect that he was
- 10 worried about getting shot in the back of the head and --
- 11 and -- and the same thing happening to me.
- And I really can't remember the details of the
- conversation. I don't want to -- and that wasn't what I
- 14 focused on.
- I did that video, and I did say that he was afraid
- 16 for our life. I did -- while he was telling me the details, I
- 17 grabbed a piece of paper in the middle of it. I started
- 18 writing.
- 19 What I -- from -- after that video -- and I called
- 20 the sheriff right after that call. Right after that call.
- 21 Q. And what did you tell the --
- 22 A. I was concerned for them, and I told him what had
- 23 | transpired. And I -- and I wanted him, again, to know
- 24 because -- because he needed to understand what was happening.
- 25 And what was happening, in my understanding, was the

57

U.S. Attorney's Office had contacted the Hammonds' attorneys and relayed a message. Dwight took it as a threat.

But in that -- or in that -- in that communication was said that if they did not stop talking to Ammon Bundy, that they would detain him early and put him in a less desirable prison.

And, you know, those are the exact same conversations that happen in plea deals all the time. Getting people to plea, scaring people to go into a plea. So, to me, I didn't understand it. But I do now, being eight and a half months in prison. I understand fully that they use these tactics all the time to scare people.

- Q. Did you later find a -- a -- additional evidence that
 the -- well, let's -- let's move forward to -- you recall I was
 asking you questions about why you didn't receive a response to
 the -- the petition for the redress?
- 17 A. Why didn't --
- 18 Q. Yeah. You recall I was asking you questions about that.
- 19 Right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 A. Yeah, absolutely.
- Q. And -- and you -- what was your testimony as to
- what -- what your understanding was as to why you did not
- 23 receive a response?
- A. Well, at the time, I had no idea. All I know is we were
- very frustrated that we were not getting any response.

- 1 Q. Did you ask why they're not responding to -- did you ask
- 2 people why -- the individuals that you delivered that redress
- 3 to, did you ask them why they didn't respond --
- 4 A. They wouldn't respond. They wouldn't answer a phone call.
- 5 They wouldn't answer an e-mail. So there was no way I could
- 6 ask them.
- 7 But, yes, multiple times we sent e-mails to them.
- 8 Multiple times we reached out to them by -- in any way that we
- 9 possibly could.
- 10 Q. Did you later come to find out why they had not responded?
- 11 A. Yes. We finally had to literally go to the homes of county
- 12 commissioners because they would not respond to us.
- 13 Q. And -- and approximately when did you go to --
- 14 A. This would -- I would say, again, probably the third week
- 15 in December.
- 16 Q. And who -- specifically who were the county commissioners
- 17 you went to --
- 18 A. Pete Runnels was the first one we were able to contact.
- 19 Q. And who was the second one?
- 20 A. The second one was Dan Nichols.
- 21 Q. And what did Mr. Pete Runnels say when you asked him why he
- 22 hadn't responded?
- 23 | A. He said -- emotionally -- that he was told not to respond
- 24 by the FBI.
- 25 Q. Did he say what the FBI had told him in -- in that regard?

1 A. No, he did not give us details, other than they told him

- 2 not to respond to our petitions.
- 3 Q. Did he say when the FBI had contacted him?
- 4 A. I don't remember if he did.
- 5 Q. But you would have -- you -- do you recall when you
- 6 delivered those redresses to him?
- 7 A. We delivered them to him -- I don't know the exact day, but
- 8 | it would have been a couple of weeks before that.
- 9 Q. And the other one was Commissioner Nichols. Is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And what did Mr. Nichols tell you about why he didn't
- 12 respond?
- 13 A. This was after we had gone into the refuge, and he came to
- 14 visit us. And he told us the exact same thing. That the FBI
- 15 | had directed them not to respond to our petitions.
- 16 Q. What -- do you remember approximately when that would have
- 17 been?
- 18 | A. That would have been the first week of us being in the --
- 19 in the refuge.
- 20 Q. Did Mr. Nichols tell you anything else about that at the
- 21 time?
- 22 A. About them not --
- 23 Q. About his conversations with --
- 24 A. Not much, and I did not ask him.
- I mean, knowing -- knowing that was obviously --

1 anyway, I -- getting that information was -- I felt was --

- 2 explained a lot to me of why they hadn't responded. And I -- I
- 3 didn't think I needed more than that.
- 4 Q. Did you later receive further information corroborating
- 5 | this?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What was that?
- 8 A. Statements from the governor and the governor's letter.
- 9 Q. Is this a letter from Ms. -- Ms. -- Governor Kate Brown,
- 10 dated on or about January 20th, 2016?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- MR. MUMFORD: And, your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 14 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 15 Q. And I'll approach. This is a two-page letter. Is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. So I'm just going to be handing you the second page, sir.
- 19 A. (Handed document.)
- 20 Q. Can you -- can you -- can you read for us, please,
- 21 Mr. Bundy, what Ms. Kate Brown said at the -- at the -- the --
- 22 on -- in the letter dated January 20th, as to why -- why state
- 23 | officials and other local government officials had not
- 24 responded?
- 25 A. "The FBI and other federal law enforcement entities are

į	Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 61 of 241
	Colloquy 61
1	the leaders on any response to it and we
2	appreciate the recognition of their responsibility
3	in this situation. They asked state officials,
4	including me, to limit our public comments, which
5	I have done with considerable difficulty."
6	THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, may I see the letter?
7	Thank you.
8	(Judge handed document.)
9	MR. MUMFORD: This is the second
10	THE COURT: I understand this is just the second
11	page.
12	Go ahead.
13	THE WITNESS: It's the third paragraph, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	(Pause, referring.)
16	(Witness handed document.)
17	THE COURT: Here you go, Mr. Bundy. Thank you.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Mumford.
19	MR. MUMFORD: Does your Honor want
20	THE COURT: I want to see if there are questions that
21	need to be asked of the witness by the Government, in light of
22	your offer of proof.
23	MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I can keep going at this

THE COURT: I just -- I'm trying to get to a place

point but --

Colloquy

where I can rule, and we can get the jury back.

Mr. Knight, do you want to inquire of the witness on the offer of proof?

MR. KNIGHT: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Argument, please, Mr. Knight.

MR. KNIGHT: Thank you, your Honor.

With respect to the first issue, and that is attendant to Exhibit 1237, I will note preliminarily that there actually is testimony in the record in front of the jury, that was unobjected to, that Mr. Bundy did indeed feel threatened, without attribution to anyone in particular. And that he's concerned for his family as well. And then he followed up with that he wasn't really scared. So that now is in front of the jury. So to the extent that is probative of any issue relating to state of mind, it is there for argument.

Secondly, this was already addressed in argument in the context of these videos earlier, in which the Court -- we understand -- ruled about the multiple levels of hearsay related to the Hammonds' attorneys and the Hammonds and what this would entail by way of explanation if we went down this road.

So there is a hearsay objection that this is plainly proffered for its truth. To the extent it's not, there already is ample information in the record to tease out a state of mind.

I will say attendant to that, if we're looking at the rules of evidence, the state of mind about the Hammonds and the relationship and statements about their prison sentence are not really relevant to Count 1 or Count 2, and we're certainly getting far afield in the analysis that way as well. So there are two levels of analysis with respect to the rules in the Exhibit 1237.

Next, your Honor, turning specifically to the proffer about the response to the redress of grievances, the witness has already testified at some length about the redress itself, about the manner in which responses were collated and received about the redress of grievances.

Now, statements made by commissioners about why they did not respond are hearsay.

MR. SCHINDLER: They're not.

MR. KNIGHT: Excuse me, Mr. Schindler?

MR. SCHINDLER: I'm sorry. I was just looking at my computer.

MR. KNIGHT: Are hearsay. They're proffered for the purpose of showing that these commissioners did not respond because the FBI told them not to. They can subpoen these commissioners and proffer that testimony if it is indeed probative of any point.

But the witness saying he had a conversation with him and the reason there was no response is because they told him

X, Y, and Z does not go to a relevant state of mind and it's certainly for the truth of the matter. And that can be teased out through the appropriate means, which is a live witness.

And to that end, your Honor, it is our position that that evidence would not be properly before the Court.

This letter -- we have not seen -- I don't understand there to be anything really relevant in it from the recitation made to the Court with it.

THE COURT: The third paragraph Mr. Bundy read is proffered as a basis -- a response, in effect, to the redress. And it is some information from the governor along the lines Mr. Bundy described.

That the governor is saying this is a federal matter and public statements are being coordinated by the -- by the federal authorities.

So -- I'm sorry?

MR. KNIGHT: No, I can respond to that.

THE COURT: Please.

MR. KNIGHT: Well, two issues with that.

First, that doesn't mention the redress of grievances, so it's pretty far attenuated from the purpose for which it's being offered today, which is to bolster the argument that the hearsay statements of the commissioner should come in --

THE COURT: It's not about the hearsay statements

Colloguy

65

right now. Right now I'm trying to figure out if Mr. Bundy and 1 2 Mr. Mumford can offer the governor's letter as an explanation about the, quote, lack of response and the theory being that 3 it's all being orchestrated by federal authorities and that's 4 why no one is responding. So may I see the front of the 5 letter? The whole letter, please? 6 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, it's in the record. 7 8 THE COURT: I just need the front page, too. I need 9 the first lines. 10 MR. MUMFORD: I just don't have it right now. 11 THE COURT: Can someone bring it up? Because we're 12 not showing it to the jury and we're not talking about it until 13 I get to complete this --14 MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor. It's Document 15 No. 1186 in the docket. It would probably be at -- I'm 16 hoping -- I don't know this filing --17 THE COURT: Let's go to the other issue. 18 going to keep taking time right now. Let's go back to --19 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: (Standing.) 20 THE COURT: Please have a seat. I need to finish 21 what I'm doing. 22 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: This is part of that. 23 THE COURT: This is Exhibit 1237 I'm working on, not 24 the letter from the governor.

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: Okay.

THE COURT: 1237 is this three-and-a-half-minute
video on November 20th, which the witness described posting.
And the concern I have had and I continue to have is
the not the fact of the jury the witness telling the jury
that the Hammonds felt threatened but this specific debate
within the underlying part of that concerning communications by
the Hammonds to their lawyers; the United States attorneys'
communication to the Hammonds' lawyers; and the second and
third layer of hearsay here; the risk of getting into
litigating the <i>Hammond</i> case, which we are not going to do here.

The witness clearly should be able to testify that he spoke with the Hammonds. And now I'm persuaded that he should be able to convey that the Hammonds felt threatened, without saying the source of it; and that the Hammonds expressed concern for the witness's safety, without saying the basis for it, for the purpose of explaining the witness's testimony that he was fearful but not afraid on the day he posted the video. But I remain now convinced that the video itself should not come in.

MR. MUMFORD: Can --

THE COURT: I need to finish, please, the ruling.

Under Rule 403, the content of the video is too far removed from the issue for which it's proffered.

The witness has stated his state of mind. The witness has said that he posted the video. The witness has

Colloguy

said he was afraid.

I have precluded him from testifying about why. I'm now reconsidering that, and I'm going to allow him to say that he felt this video -- which communicated to the world what the Hammonds had told him but which is not going to be played for the jury -- conveyed concern in the witness's mind as conveyed to them by the Hammonds.

He must not say, in the jury's presence, these additional details about being shot in the head and the like. That is not coming in. It is not coming in.

MR. MUMFORD: (Gesturing.)

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm making a ruling now.

And when a ruling is made adverse to a lawyer, the lawyer accepts it and moves on. We've litigated this, now, for 15 minutes. You can assign it as error on appeal.

I am opening the door to allow you to have Mr. Bundy explain a little more about why he was fearful, and I am permitting you to ask him why he was fearful. And he can say, because based on my conversations with the Hammonds, each of them -- each was afraid personally and conveyed fear for my safety, and so I broadcast to the world what the Hammonds had told me. You may do that.

Now, with respect to this governor's letter, I need to know more about it to see if the underlying foundation is fair from the document, and that is whether it's a response to

the redress of grievances or whether it's something else. That was Mr. Knight's first issue.

Can you tell me the foundation, please, Mr. Mumford, about why the governor's letter is responsive to the redress of grievances's issue.

MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor, because it -- it speaks about how -- it -- well, it shows pattern of conduct, your Honor. And -- and I don't -- like I say, I -- in my hands, I don't have the first page. It's a letter from Ms. Kate Brown to Loretta Lynch and James B. Comey.

THE COURT: So it's a letter from her, not to

Mr. Bundy but to the Attorney General and the FBI director?

MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor. That's correct.

THE COURT: All right. So it's not a response to him. I don't think it can be proffered for that.

We'll take more time on the noon hour if you want to go into that issue. But with respect to Mr. Bundy's direct testimony, that letter does not, by your description, be -- qualify as a response to the redress of grievances.

MR. MUMFORD: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, I want to go through what else you want to cover with Mr. --

Please have a seat, Mr. Bundy.

I'm going to take care of your proffers when your turn comes.

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: (Sitting.) 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right now it's these two. And I need to get through his direct. And then when we get to the place where other defendants or defense lawyers want to ask questions of him, I'll speak with you. But right now I'm focused on him and getting the jury back. You need to wait. Your turn will come.

So what else do we need to review before the jury comes back with respect to your proffer?

MR. MUMFORD: Well, two things, your Honor. First of all, does your Honor's ruling mean that I can inquire of Mr. Bundy and have him testify concerning chairman -- the two county commissioners?

THE COURT: Oh, I didn't get there.

I'm trying to understand, again -- I've lost that Would you ask him those questions again. thread.

I -- the line of -- being that he sent out the redress of grievances, he was frustrated in not having any responses, he went to visit two county commissioners. And then ask him what happened there relative to the redress of grievances; again, as to the two.

Because the objection is it's being offered for its truth: That is, in fact, that they did not respond because of outside pressure. And if that's the case, it's not admissible for truth.

70 A. Bundy - Offer of Proof So I want to know, again, what the answers would be 1 2 and then the purpose. So please replay that for me. 3 MR. MUMFORD: Okay. And --THE COURT: Just ask the questions first. We'll do 4 the legal after. 5 6 MR. MUMFORD: I -- I was. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 OFFER OF PROOF 9 BY MR. MUMFORD: 10 The -- the first commissioner, remind me what his name was. 11 Α. Pete Runnels. 12 Q. Runnels? You went to his house. He said why -- what did he 13 say about why he didn't respond? 14 I did not go to his house. 15 A. Oh, sorry. 16 Q. 17 Α. Ryan Payne did. Who did? 18 Q. 19 Α. Ryan Payne. Okay. And did you ever have a discussion with him about 20 Q. 21 why he didn't respond? 22 THE COURT: With -- with the commissioners? sorry. I need to understand the "him." 23 24 Is it with Runnels or with Payne? Your question is 25 confusing.

- 1 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 2 0. Runnels.
- 3 A. I did not have a direct conversation with Commissioner
- 4 Runnels.
- 5 Q. And did you have a discussion with Ryan Payne about it?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. And what did Mr. Payne say?
- 8 A. He informed me that Pete Runnels told him that the FBI had
- 9 told him not to respond to our petitions.
- 10 Q. And -- and do you remember when it was that you -- that --
- 11 | that you learned that from Mr. Payne?
- 12 A. It was around the third week, I believe, in December, time
- 13 period.
- 14 Q. Mr. Bundy, did that eventually -- did that eventually
- 15 affect your state of mind in the actions that you took after
- 16 | that, at that point?
- 17 A. Absolutely.
- 18 | Q. How?
- 19 THE COURT: And with respect to the other
- 20 commissioner, please, Mr. Mumford.
- 21 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 22 Q. Okay. Mr. -- Mr. Bundy, the other commissioner you met
- 23 with directly. Is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And tell me again what his name was.

- 1 A. Dan Nichols.
- 2 Q. And where did you meet with him?
- 3 A. At the refuge.
- 4 | Q. What did he tell you?
- 5 A. He told me the exact same thing as -- that the FBI told him
- 6 not -- told them not to respond to the people's petitions.
- 7 Q. And -- and did that affect your state of mind in the
- 8 actions that you took after that point?
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- 10 Q. How?
- 11 A. Well, before and after, we were not getting any type of
- 12 response. And it created an extreme environment of frustration
- 13 for all of us and -- including me. And we've -- you know, felt
- 14 that we could go to our elected representatives and get a
- 15 response back and to get them to basically do their job, which
- 16 is to represent us.
- 17 And when they wouldn't and they wouldn't respond,
- 18 ∥ we -- at least I -- I need to speak for myself. I was like,
- 19 **∥** what are we to do? Are we just supposed to go home and just
- 20 say, hey, our elected representatives just ignored us, and on
- 21 | such an important issue, when we had tens of thousands of
- 22 people that were concerned about this issue. We get no
- 23 response from the governor, no response from the state
- 24 representatives, no response from the county representatives.
- 25 The sheriff now is not responding to us. Shall we just go home

Colloquy

1 and forget it? I mean, we couldn't.

Q. You had -- you -- you previously heard some statements from you describing your occupation of the refuge as a hard stand.

Is that what you're getting at? That contributed to the state of mind in -- in making the hard stand and continuing to make the hard stand?

- A. Before and after. Because after, when we were at the refuge -- again, now, knowing that they ignored us, we knew we had to stay there until we got their attention. We had to.
- Q. Thank you.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Knight, anything else?

MR. KNIGHT: Only that the amplified testimony seems to prove the point that state of mind can be shown from the fact that there was never a response, which has already been testified to. That was the testimony, not getting any response leads to this understandable frustration.

The fact that a double hearsay statement about purportedly why there was no response is relevant is still unclear and doesn't affect state of mind.

THE COURT: (Pause, referring.)

So I'm going to start at the back end of the hearsay issues and work forward.

I agree with the Government and sustain the objection that the recounted explanation by the two commissioners as to

__ 74

why they did not respond was because the FBI had told them not to is in fact hearsay for the purpose of its truth and its impact on the witness.

If defendants wish to call those witnesses, they may. However, the defendants' state of mind, the building frustration with respect to the days going by and his efforts with respect to this redress of grievances -- and remind me, Mr. Mumford. I'm not sure it's actually been received into evidence yet or not of -- the document itself and all of the signatures.

We did clear with the Government that they're ultimately -- they acquiesced that there was no objection to all the signatures; that's 1001.

Have you actually put that in, Mr. Mumford?

MR. MUMFORD: I will.

THE COURT: Well, I was just asking.

MR. MUMFORD: I -- I -- I was asking for that information this morning, your Honor. I haven't got it yet, so I don't know yet.

THE COURT: Ms. Graham, would you check? Is Exhibit 1001 shown as received, or not?

In any event, my point is that the witness clearly may testify about the efforts he went to to try to be helpful with this problem he perceived in Harney County.

The -- he's already described the work that he put in

in generating this redress of grievances, getting the input from people of a variety of associations, and sending it out.

He can go back now -- when the jury is back, you can make the point again that, notwithstanding having e-mailed it to all of these people, there was no response. That the -- that fact was very frustrating to the witness.

That the witness and others tried to follow up, that they contacted at least two commissioners, and there was still no substantive response. He may say that.

The why they did not respond is not state of mind until it's proved for its truth. And so those witnesses would need to say that's what happened or there needs to be another admissible way about what -- that happened.

You -- clearly, the witness can describe his growing frustration in that time period, the fact of all of the work having gone into the notice, not getting any response, no one talking to him directly. He's already talked about -- and we've had evidence, at some point, about the sheriff not answering that question directly in one of those meetings, and so forth.

So that's how we'll deal with 1237 -- I'm sorry.

That's how we'll deal with the issue around the commissioners.

The 1237 issue, again, he may testify that he felt threatened,
that he spoke with the Hammonds, he felt the need to broadcast
to the world what the Hammonds told him. The actual content of

Colloguy

76

that is not to be repeated. But he felt threatened because 1 2 they passed on a threat. And though he was afraid, he wasn't 3 scared, or whatever that was. So those two things can be done. 4 Now, tell me what's next, so that we can try not to 5 have interruptions in the jurors' presence. 6 7 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: (Standing.) 8 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, can I have some 9 clarification on that? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 Please have a seat until I'm finished with 12 Mr. Mumford, Mr. Bundy. DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: I was standing first. 13 THE COURT: I'm working with them until they're 14 finished. Your issues have to wait. 15 The clerk has confirmed 1001 has not yet been 16 17 received. MR. MUMFORD: Okay. Your Honor, they -- since to 18 have the witness testify they passed on a threat, can I also 19 ask who the threat was attributed to? 20 THE COURT: No. No. They were afraid. We're not 21 22 going to get into this U.S. attorney versus attorney complex. 23 If you can get the Hammonds' lawyers here to waive 24 the privilege, and all of that, that's a whole other deal. But

this witness cannot go into what the Hammonds told him about

what their lawyers told them about what the U.S. attorneys told them.

He can convey -- I'm persuaded, in light of your argument -- they were -- they felt threatened and now he felt threatened. And then he broadcast what that was to the world in an exhibit.

MR. MUMFORD: But it does no good if I can't say where the threat is coming from.

THE COURT: Mr. Mumford, you are going to have to take the ruling. You may have what I've given you, or not. It's your choice.

MR. MUMFORD: Who's threatening him? The Hammonds are threatening him? The Hammonds aren't threatening him.

THE COURT: No, no. Mr. Mumford, you're still not listening to me.

The witness may testify that after speaking with the Hammonds, he said they felt threatened. And he may not explain more. And they passed on their concern for his safety. He may say that.

If you don't want to go there, don't. But we're not discussing this any more.

MR. MUMFORD: It's --

THE COURT: I need you to move on now. I've spent 30 minutes on this issue.

So what else are you going to offer in the next time

period, Mr. Mumford?

MR. MUMFORD: Well, how else is the Court going to correct what just happened in front of --

THE COURT: I'm not saying anything more. Now, you may go back to the point as I've described it.

What else are we going through so that I can know if there is going to be an objection or an issue that I either misunderstood or haven't ruled on?

I want to get the jury back.

MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I'm --

THE COURT: What's next?

MR. MUMFORD: I believe we're getting into the -the -- there's going to be some additional -- hmm, hold on for
a sec. I'm just looking at my outline.

Additional meetings, your Honor, in the end of December, your Honor, I think we're getting into why -- a little bit of just very brief presentation on why he assisted in establishing the committee of safety, your Honor.

We're getting into the -- some continued discussions with the sheriff and other local government and why that was important -- the proper channels, your Honor. Why it was important for Mr. -- Mr. Bundy to respect and take advantage of the channels that were available.

And -- and then why -- why he -- sorry. Why he did not -- why he did not feel like there was a -- a -- why -- why

79

that wasn't -- why -- why those -- to his knowledge and information as to why those efforts were unsuccessful.

Then we'll go to the end of December time period, your Honor, and 1st -- January 1st.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MUMFORD: This is where we had wanted to play, your Honor, the -- my dear friends, which I understood we could play at the end of the session last night. Now I'm -- I think -- I think it was your Honor's ruling that I can. that right?

THE COURT: I need to go back to where we were.

This was the 19-minute, 27-second version where I told you I perceived the first 14 minutes as being, really, a complete restate of the direct testimony. And then you pointed out to me that there was a section in there where Mr. Bundy says on the video in more detail than what he said yesterday his -- his -- the evidence -- the evidence about his faith and that role, how it played into his decision.

And then I noted that at minute 14 there was what seemed to me to be new material. And, that is to say, that's why we were moving on. And it seemed to me, at around minute 14, that was new. And I think I told you you could play the part where he talked about his faith because you told me it was important to develop for the witness and the jury what you described as the religiosity of the thinking and his

Colloguy 80

1 motivation.

So that's where I remember we left it.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you. So I'll play that, your

Honor, from minute 14 on, then, to the end. And then I think

we're going into --

THE COURT: Hold on a minute. You may not see it,
Mr. Mumford. Mr. Knight is standing.

MR. MUMFORD: Oh, I didn't. Sorry.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, that is the video that I think the Court addressed these attributed threats to the Hammonds. And I believe there -- that is contained in that video as well.

THE COURT: Well, that's the first 14 minutes.

MR. KNIGHT: Okay.

THE COURT: I thought at minute -- up to 14, we're talking about, again, this restatement of how did we get here, and his great upset about how the Hammonds are being treated so badly.

We're not going to go into that part in the first part of the video. First, because it's redundant of the direct testimony directly. Secondly, because I did note this morning about the Hammonds' double hearsay. But there is this part about the witness's convictions regarding his faith. And that part, in the first 14 minutes, can be isolated and played if Mr. Mumford wishes. At about minute 14, he says to the effect,

81 Colloquy that's sort of -- then we move to the refuge and this is --1 this is what drove me, kind of thing. 2 3 MR. KNIGHT: Sorry, I thought that that material was in the latter part of the video. I was wrong. 4 If it is, then you -- then, Mr. Breton, 5 THE COURT: you're going to hear an objection and you need to stop the 6 minute you hear "objection," and we'll deal with it. Okay? 7 8 MR. BRETON: (Nods head.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. And then what else then? 10 MR. MUMFORD: And I think we're getting into the 11 events of January 2nd. 12 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sure that will get us to the noon hour. 13 Okay. Now, let me hear, Mr. Bundy, what it is you 14 15 have been trying to tell me relative to what Mr. Mumford is doing with the witness. 16 17 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: Yes, your Honor. Yes, madame. 18 I subpoenaed Kate Brown, the governor. THE COURT: You did? 19 20 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: For this very purpose. She made a motion to quash, which you granted her 21 22 motion, telling us that we could use less-intrusive means to 23 have her testimony come in.

Now we've got less-intrusive means in the -- in the means of these letters, and so forth. So they must be let in,

24

or we will have to re-subpoena her to --

THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, when it's your turn to offer evidence, you can make a proffer. Right now, again,
Mr. Mumford and your brother have the floor and we're working through the direct. When it's your turn to make an offer, you may. If you have a piece of evidence from Governor Brown that you wish to make an offer, we'll take it up in due course; but not here.

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: We are working together. This is the purpose. This here, this offer.

THE COURT: So it's the purpose. It's not helpful right now because I need to get a jury in to finish your brother's testimony. So keep working on your points and your time will come when you get a chance to put on whatever evidence is relevant that you're ready to put on.

Take a seat, please.

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: This is it. He's putting it on right here.

THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, I'm not fighting you. I'm trying to get the jury back now, to get this part of the case --

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: -- trying to get a witness back --

THE COURT: Well, then do your work. You represent yourself. You need to get it together.

	Colloquy
1	MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, can I just understand? In
2	Mr. Bundy's defense here, he is correct in saying that we we
3	understood that we did not need to subpoena because a
4	subpoena that would be duplicative of his subpoena. And so the
5	Court's ruling with respect to that does affect us in exactly
6	the same way that it affects
7	THE COURT: There's not been anything presented that
8	affects the Court's ruling with respect to Mr. Bundy's subpoens
9	of the governor, and I adhere to that ruling.
10	Again and I don't know how many times I've said
11	it, but I'll note it again for the record. Every motion made
12	by every defendant is on behalf of all unless that defendant
13	says "I'm out." So, of course, you're protected by it.
14	But the point is the letter you were referring to
15	does not, by its terms as you describe it, serve as a response
16	to the redress of grievances.
17	So I need to get the jury in now. We're not going to
18	talk about this any more. We're going to continue with the
19	direct examination as has been outlined until about noon, and
20	then we'll take the noon recess.

Bring in the jury, Ms. Graham.

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Jurors coming in.

(Jurors enter at 11:22 a.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.

Members of the jury, I apologize for the delay in

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford bringing you back. I had to address some matters that 1 ultimately will save the time and make this go forward. 2 3 So thank you for your patience. Everybody ready to continue? 4 All right. Mr. Mumford. 5 MR. MUMFORD: Yes, thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: Your microphone, sir. 8 MR. MUMFORD: Yes, thank you, your Honor. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION (continuing) 10 BY MR. MUMFORD: 11 Q. Mr. Bundy, prior to the break, we were talking about 12 this -- this -- this phone call you received from the Hammonds, in November. Do you recall? 13 Yes, I do recall. 14 Α. 15 And -- and now you -- you were -- you were explaining to the jury how -- how that call affected you. How did it? 16 17 Well, it -- it seemed like an escalation in what was going 18 on. Kind of a response to my meeting with the Hammonds and all 19 of the meeting with the sheriff and everything that I was doing. And all of the posting of the -- you know, evidence, 20 and -- and all of the information that I was posting and all 21 22 the videos that I was sending out to -- I was getting, you 23 know, a lot of people informed and a lot people involved. 24 And there was starting to be quite a commotion that

was going on around what was happening with the Hammonds. And

```
A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
 1
     this --
     Q. And -- and -- and did the -- did the Hammonds, in that
 2
 3
     call, express concerns about their safety?
         Yes, they did express concern about their safety.
 4
     Α.
        And you understood that was as a result of -- of -- of your
 5
     efforts on their behalf?
 6
 7
    Α.
         That's correct.
 8
        Did they express concerns about your safety?
 9
        They did. They expressed concerns about my safety, as
10
     well.
11
     Q. The -- the petition -- the petition for redress of
12
     grievance that we were talking about, I'm going to show you
     what's been marked --
13
               (Pause, Mr. Mumford and Mr. Breton conferring.)
14
               MR. MUMFORD: -- Exhibit 1001, please.
15
               And is this being shown?
16
17
               MR. BRETON: No.
18
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
        Okay. Mr. Bundy, can you identify this, please.
19
               This is the redress of grievance that we sent to --
20
        Yes.
     that was signed by all of these groups and thousands of other
21
22
     individuals and was sent to these elected representatives as --
23
     as addressed there.
24
               MR. MUMFORD: Than you.
25
               Your Honor, I'd move to admit, please.
```

86

MR. KNIGHT: No objection, without the highlighted portions of it.

THE COURT: Yes, without emphasis.

There is some highlighting on the document that I So those need to be removed before it's ultimately received for the jury. But you can use it in the jury's presence now with the highlighting.

Jurors, just disregard the highlighting. It's a work copy, but it will get cleaned up for you.

All right. Go ahead, Mr. Mumford.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. Can you tell the jury what -- what -- what they're -they're seeing here. 13
- A. They're seeing an official notice, redress of grievance that was drafted by these groups and individuals that are 15 listed here on the top. 16

And as I said, the continuing names are below. we had 29 pages -- I believe 29 pages of individuals. And that was only after the first three days of having this signed. There was many, many more that came later.

And it's basically addressing these elected representatives: Sheriff David Ward, Commissioner Dan Nichols, Commissioner Pete Runnels, Justice of the Peace Donna Thompson, District Attorney Tim Colahan, Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum, and Governor Kate Brown.

Q. And those were the individuals that you delivered this -either hand-delivered or -- or -- or made other formal efforts

3 to deliver it to?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. Yeah. Judge Steve Grasty was added to that list but was not on the document. But we did serve him with it as well.

And, basically, it's self-explanatory. But we listed what our intent was, what our concerns were; meaning the evidence that

we had and then -- going down through it.

And then at -- towards the end of the -- the redress, we asked them to take certain actions, which was basically to assemble an evidential hearing board using the peers of the Hammonds and -- or however they chose to, actually. But to take this -- these concerns, this grievance, and to get to the bottom of it to see if there was abuses, in fact, to the Hammonds. And that's all we wanted.

We wanted them to basically check and balance the federal government. To basically look and to see if possibly -- just possibly -- maybe the Hammonds were not dealt with correctly.

- Q. You've heard testimony in this case regarding proper channels. Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- Q. And you understand those proper channels, then?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes. I mean --
- Q. Did you go -- do you believe you went through the proper

channels with this information in the letter -- or this petition for redress?

- A. Absolutely. I also felt like we have gone through proper channels for 30 years, my family has, and we've been ignored constantly.
- We even done -- we've even taken legislation to the state. And the only thing that's ever worked for us is the county sheriff standing and defending us in literally complete protection of us. But it was -- they -- they continued to ignore us.
- Q. Is -- is that why you approached Sheriff David Ward in this case?
- 13 A. Absolutely, that is exactly why.

- Q. So you wanted Mr. Ward to basically do for the Hammonds what your sheriff in Bunkerville had done for you?
- A. Well, it wasn't just all of -- all a sudden I wanted him to do that, you know.

First, I wanted him to get informed and look at it and get educated on what was happening, meet the Hammonds, go out to the ranch, see where the fires were, see exactly what happened, see how vast open this is. And how the accusations -- in my opinion -- of the federal government were ridiculous, when you actually go out and look at the land. Their accusations of all of these things were not accurate. And I wanted the sheriff to go firsthand to go see that and to

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

see what happened, so he could actually see the -- really, what was going on. That was it, at first.

And then the second part was to begin to somehow assemble his -- the community through efforts like this evidential hearing board to get -- to actually -- somehow to make something official.

Meaning the people are saying -- or he is saying -- either his office or the people are saying that there's a problem here. There an issue here. We have looked into it. We have done a full investigation and we feel that there's a problem here. That we feel that the federal government is abusing this family and has stepped over -- outside its bounds. That's what we wanted him to do.

And then after that, and not before that, then make a stand. And we weren't asking him to, you know, be crazy about it. We were asking him to say -- just tell the federal government to hold off a little bit. Don't take the Hammonds into custody yet. We have concerns as a county and as a state; and, as a sheriff, I have concerns. And my duty is to protect these people. And so I'm telling you to hold off. You're not going to take these people in -- or not even to that effect, at first. But to hold off until we could get to the bottom of these things.

- Q. Did you make any threats in this petition for redress?
- A. Absolutely not. It says what it is. It's -- it's -- it is

90 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford absolutely not a threat. It's -- it's an effort to explain 1 2 what our concerns were and asking them to assemble, either through theirselves or with the people, an evidential hearing 3 board to review the information that we had given them and to 4 determine the facts. 5 How -- what was the effort you went to -- through to get 6 7 the six -- the -- the signatures that are on this? 8 Can you scroll for a minute, please? 9 (Mr. Breton complying.) 10 THE WITNESS: Well, first of all --11 BY MR. MUMFORD: 12 Q. Are there -- do you recall how many pages there are of 13 signatures? 14 I believe there's like 29 pages of signatures. 15 Q. Okay. And I -- at one point we were receiving a signature -- at 16 17 one point we were receiving a signature every three minutes. And that was about three or four days after I had sent it out. 18 19 So, to be honest with you, I was arrested before I 20 ever knew how many people actually signed this thing.

And no one -- and none of those individuals on the -- on

Yeah. Can we go back to the first page? I don't think

we've gotten to the bottom. But let's go ahead and look right

the front page of this ever responded?

You mean the elected representatives.

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

- 1 there.
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. So Governor Kate Brown, she never responded?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum, she never responded?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Same with Colahan, or the justice of the peace, or the
- 8 commissioner --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 And this was not the only petition that we sent. We
- 11 sent several. As the sheriff said himself, he had received
- 12 | thousands of e-mails. Same with the state representatives, the
- 13 Senate and the House. And no response, none, from anybody.
- 14 Q. Shortly after putting together the initial version of this
- 15 petition in December, did you -- in the December 11 letter, did
- 16 you -- did you organize a town meeting after that?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. What was that?
- 19 A. It was supposed to be just like a town hall meeting to
- 20 inform the people of what was going on. You know, there was --
- 21 there was a lot happening, so --
- 22 Q. Did you end up holding that, then?
- 23 A. We did.
- Q. Do you remember what -- when it was and what it was called?
- 25 A. I don't -- I apologize. I live in the dark now, and I mean

92

1 that with my cell. It's -- there's no information coming in

2 and out, and it's hard. So I can't remember the exact date.

But I know we held it. We -- we went to great

4 efforts to inform people. We passed out -- I believe it was --

5 500 fliers. And we also asked the newspaper to publish it, and

6 to be honest with you, I don't know whether they did or not.

7 And it -- it was -- it was a town hall meeting. That's what it

8 was.

- 9 Q. And is that the meeting -- you -- you've -- you've been
- 10 here when -- when we've called individuals such as, I think,
- 11 Travis Williams, Melodi Molt, Chris Briels; like, yesterday.
- 12 Is that the December meeting that they --
- 13 A. That's correct. That's the meeting that they seemed like
- 14 most of them began to get informed on that first meeting, other
- 15 than just hearsay.
- 16 Q. And was it a -- did you ever use the term "committee of
- 17 safety, in that meeting?
- 18 A. Yeah, but it wasn't -- it wasn't until the end, towards the
- 19 end, because we laid out basically --
- 20 Q. I was going to say --
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Can you explain?
- 23 | A. Yes. We laid out basically this -- what has been
- 24 happening. We laid out how we've been ignored.
- 25 We laid out all of the different things of evidence

1 that we had; our concerns; the facts and events of the Hammond

2 case, and all of this. We laid it out the best we could in a

3 public meeting. And they -- most of the people, in my belief,

were there because they had the same concerns. And they had

5 them a long time before we got there.

- 6 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to the 7 characterization of the sentiment of the folks there, your
- 9 THE COURT: The last sentence is stricken. Move on, 10 please.
- 11 BY MR. MUMFORD:

Honor.

4

- 12 Q. Who participated in this meeting?
- 13 A. There was mostly people from Harney County. But there was
- 14 also people from Ontario County, and from Malheur County, from
- 15 what I -- from what I understand.
- 16 Q. And you say this was a town hall. Who -- who -- who
- 17 conducted it?
- 18 A. Well, the individual who conducted it was BJ Soper. And
- 19 he's -- he lives in Harney County. He doesn't live in Burns.
- 20 Well, excuse me, I don't know if he lives in Harney County. I
- 21 know he lives in Oregon, in one of those counties that
- 22 participated. But to be honest with you, I don't know if he
- 23 | lives in Harney County or not. But he conducted it and began
- 24 the meeting, and he was basically running the meeting.
- 25 Q. Did -- did people take the opportunity to discuss the

- 1 Hammond case here?
- 2 A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Did they take the opportunity to discuss other things, as
- 4 well?
- 5 A. As far as their concerns?
- 6 Q. Yeah.
- 7 A. Yes, they did. They -- they were frustrated with what was
- 8 going on. And what -- what it basically came to is that --
- 9 here we're talking about all of these concerns, these
- 10 | frustrations, what's happened to them, what's happening to the
- 11 people of Harney County. And then they literally said -- and I
- 12 might get accused of hearsay on this, so maybe I shouldn't say
- 13 it. But what are we to do?
- 14 Q. Did that affect you?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. How?
- 17 A. Because that's how I felt. That's exactly how I felt.
- 18 ☐ That's how we felt for years and years and years. That's how
- 19 the ranching and agricultural community has felt for decades.
- 20 What are we to do? And so I -- I made a -- I quess, a
- 21 proposal.
- 22 Q. And what was that?
- 23 \blacksquare A. That was to form what -- to form a committee of safety.
- Q. What -- had you had previous experiences with the committee
- 25 of safety?

1 A. Not personally, other than research and understanding of

2 | that's what our founding fathers did. And they -- and it was

- 3 effective and it worked.
- 4 Q. Explain, briefly.
- 5 A. When they were being ignored and abused by the British
- 6 government and could not seem to get any type of response from
- 7 them, other than as the Declaration of Independence says -- if
- 8 I may read it?
- 9 Q. Have you got it there?
- 10 THE COURT: No.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 12 THE COURT: No. He gets to just say he got his
- inspiration as he did. Now, let's move on, please,
- 14 Mr. Mumford, to the meeting back in -- back to December,
- 15 please.
- MR. MUMFORD: Can I just have him summarize that,
- 17 then?
- 18 THE COURT: The meeting, he may. He may go back to
- 19 the meeting.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 21 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 22 Q. And so you made the proposal.
- 23 What was the response, without getting into the
- 24 details?
- 25 A. I made the proposal that they should organize a committee

- 1 of safety.
- 2 Q. And what -- what was their response?
- 3 A. They were very responsive to that and --
- 4 Q. Now, did you try to get yourself elected onto it?
- 5 A. No, I -- I wasn't a citizen of the -- Harney County. And
- 6 that was -- absolutely not. I suggested that they should
- 7 nominate and elect people of their county to -- to form a
- 8 committee of safety board and to go from there.
- 9 Q. Did the -- was there communicated, at some point in the
- 10 meeting, sort of what the committee of safety would be doing?
- 11 A. Absolutely.
- 12 Q. What was that?
- 13 A. There was multiple purposes for the community -- community
- 14 of safety.
- One is correspondence to communicate with the -- the
- 16 community on what was going on and what the issues were.
- 17 Then there was another part where they were organized
- 18 to -- to, you know, make committee -- community decisions, and
- 19 so forth.
- 20 And the third part was to -- if there was going to be
- 21 | a militia or a people that were going to organize in a defense
- 22 or in any other manner, then that would be also the
- 23 | responsibility of the committee of safety to make sure that was
- 24 done properly and to make sure that they -- they did it in the
- 25 right responsible way.

- 1 Q. So -- so someone -- just -- just -- summarize, if I can,
- 2 for -- for the jury here, this is as of mid-December 2015.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And you had -- you had helped the Hammonds document their
- 6 struggle. Right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You published information on the Hammonds to thousands?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you -- you'd -- you'd help to inform the sheriff of
- 11 Harney County regarding this matter and others?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You -- you helped draft and circulate a -- and deliver a
- 14 petition for redress?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. You got thousands of signatures on that. Right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. You helped -- helped the pertinent people of Burns organize
- 19 a committee of safety?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Been involved in helping organize community meetings and
- 22 discussions in general of awareness in and around Burns?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you continued to publish videos and -- and social media
- 25 messages regarding the Hammonds?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Did you get paid for any of that?
- 3 A. No, I wasn't there to get paid.
- 4 Q. Did you have a personal stake in any of that?
- 5 A. Other than, in my belief, the future of our beautiful
- 6 country.
- 7 Q. Did you spend time away from your family?
- 8 A. Yes, a lot of time. And away from my business. And still
- 9 suffering extremely for that.
- 10 Q. Now, you mentioned your beliefs here.
- Do -- do -- are you a man of faith?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- 13 Q. What -- what faith is that?
- 14 A. I'm a member of the Church of Jesus Christ of the
- 15 Latter-day Saints.
- 16 Q. How long have you been there?
- 17 A. I was baptized at eight years old, which is -- but I've
- 18 been a member -- or have been a member my whole life.
- 19 Q. You -- you served in your church?
- 20 A. I've served in different capacities. I served as a
- 21 I full-time missionary in Minnesota. Then I served as other
- 22 capacities, yes.
- 23 Q. Do your religious beliefs inform your duties -- inform
- 24 your -- your beliefs and understanding as to your views on --
- on -- on what duties you have as a citizen and a neighbor and

1 as a -- an American?

- 2 A. Absolutely. But I -- I do want to say that it -- I mean,
- 3 | it's -- it's my belief. It's not just a religion, something
- 4 organized. It's my belief. But they've been taught by this
- 5 religion that I enjoy and love, and have been a great blessing
- 6 to me by this church.
- 7 Q. Do your -- you've said a few things about your beliefs
- 8 on -- your views on the Constitution and your country.
- 9 Do your religious beliefs inform those as well?
- 10 A. Absolutely.
- 11 Q. How?
- 12 A. It's, as far as I understand, our doctrine in the Church of
- 13 Jesus Christ Latter-day Saints, we're the only church that
- 14 actually has a doctrine where we profess that the Constitution
- is an inspired document and that we are to be riend it.
- 16 Q. You say the Constitution is an inspired document, what --
- what do you mean, and what are you referring to?
- 18 A. That our founder -- founding fathers were inspired by the
- 19 creator of this world to draft that charter for the benefit of
- 20 mankind.
- 21 Q. You're a Mormon; so you identify as a Christian, then?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. You believe in the Bible?
- 24 A. I believe in the Bible.
- 25 Q. Do you believe in additional books of -- of -- of script --

- 1 scriptures as well?
- 2 A. I do. I believe in the Book of Mormon and also further
- 3 revelations that have been given to us, compiled in the
- 4 Doctrine and Covenants and Pearl of Great Price.
- 5 Q. Do you have the Doctrine and Covenants up there with you?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. Can you please turn to the Doctrine and Covenants, Section
- 8 | 101, verses 76 through 80.
- 9 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, at this point I'll object.
- 10 I think we --
- 11 THE COURT: The objection is sustained to the reading
- 12 of scripture.
- 13 Please continue.
- MR. MUMFORD: Can I have him review it, please?
- THE COURT: He may look at it. But please ask him a
- 16 question about the case. He's described his convictions and
- 17 | faith and history. We're not reading scripture.
- 18 Please proceed.
- 19 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 20 Q. Have you had a chance to -- to review that?
- 21 A. And I assume you're going to send me to certain verses of
- 22 that. So I am reviewing -- it's a long chapter.
- 23 Q. 101, 76 through 80.
- MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm objecting because I'm
- 25 perplexed. This is not relevant at this point in time. I

101 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford don't know what the witness is now intending to do. 1 2 MR. MUMFORD: He doesn't know whether it's relevant 3 or not. THE COURT: But, Mr. Mumford, I've told you the 4 witness is not going to be reading from scripture to the jury. 5 So please just ask him a question. 6 7 MR. MUMFORD: I'm not asking him to read to the jury. 8 THE COURT: And I'm not -- I need you, Mr. Mumford, 9 to ask the witness a question, please, and move on. 10 BY MR. MUMFORD: 11 Q. Do you see in those -- in those -- in those words the word 12 "redress"? 13 Α. Yes, I do. 14 Do you see in those -- those -- those words -- the word "Constitution"? 15 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 And that refers to the Constitution of the United States? 18 Α. That is correct. And -- and -- and what does your faith scripture say about 19 those men who -- who -- who drafted the Constitution? 20 Well, as I mentioned before, that they were inspired. And 21 22 as it is a fundamental doctrine in our church and it has been 23 taught to me -- and I believe it, not because of my church; 24 because of the faith and understanding -- that it is our duty

to go to the judge, to go to the representatives, to go to the

102

1 President, and to plead with them. To stand up for what is

- 2 wrong. And that it is our duty to make sure that they have
- 3 every opportunity to do what is right. And -- and that is what
- 4 that is teaching us. And I believe that is our duty. That we
- 5 are not to act unto ourselves or in any way until that has been
- 6 done and -- or we are not justified in acting at all.
- 7 Q. Did you make a personal covenant to uphold those words?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. And by "personal covenant," who -- who did you make that
- 10 covenant with?
- 11 A. I made them to the Lord.
- 12 Q. And now, Mr. Bundy, thank you. I want to go back to
- 13 | that -- the timeline, if I can.
- 14 Turning your attention to the end of December 2015.
- 15 You -- you -- were you involved in planning a parade
- 16 in Burns?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How?
- 19 A. Along with these other groups that -- most of them, that
- 20 you see on the heading of the notice, redress of grievance, we
- 21 organized a rally that was going to take place on January 2nd,
- 22 where we were going to parade up past the Safeway, past the
- 23 | sheriff's office, up to the Hammonds' home.
- When we hit -- we were going to the sheriff's office,
- 25 we were going to take pennies and throw them on the sidewalk

103

1 because -- in -- in an effort to protest or show our -- our, I

2 guess, disgust that the sheriff decided to go with those that

were abusing, rather than those that were being abused.

4 And then we would walk up. And we had flowers, and

5 so forth, and we put them on the Hammonds' front yard. And

6 maybe -- we didn't know if the Hammonds would come out or not.

7 | But you know -- and then we walked -- would parade down around

to the Main Street, down Main Street, and then back up to the

9 Safeway.

- 10 Q. Were you involved in -- in -- in trying to get people
- 11 to attend this parade in Harney?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- Q. What you did you do to try to get them to come?
- 14 A. I notified people, you know, through -- through all of the
- 15 sources of media. I did a video.
- 16 Q. Did you -- did you -- you mentioned a video.
- Did you post the video at some point called "Stand
- 18 Up, Not Down"?
- 19 **A**. I did.
- 20 Q. Without -- without getting too much into the details of
- 21 that, can you just explain -- when -- when did you -- when did
- 22 you post that video?
- 23 A. I think it was just -- if it's the one I'm thinking of, it
- 24 was the day before, I believe. If not -- because I -- I did do
- 25 multiple videos. But I believe it was the day before.

104

Q. And when -- when -- when you're saying stand up, stand

- 2 down, what -- what are you referring to there?
- 3 A. Well, there was an effort -- my belief is an effort by
- 4 certain groups to not get people to respond to this. And --
- 5 and I -- and to stand down, to not stand up to this. And so I
- 6 did a video to say not to stand down but to stand up.
- 7 And I guess -- you know, that's my answer, I guess.
- 8 I don't know if I answered that --
- 9 Q. And by "this," what are you referring to?
- 10 A. I'm referring to come to Burns and to stand up for the
- 11 Hammonds.
- 12 Q. What was the most important issue to you as of December
- 13 | 31st or January 1st?
- 14 A. The most important?
- 15 0. Yeah.
- 16 A. It was the Hammonds.
- 17 Q. Was your brother Ryan Bundy involved in your Harney
- 18 ∥ activities up to that -- that -- that point at all?
- 19 A. Absolutely not.
- 20 From what I understood -- and now he lives in
- 21 southern Nevada. I live in Idaho. So, from what I understood,
- 22 he had called the Hammonds. And other than that, I never --
- 23 | never had any communication with him about anything that was
- 24 | going on.
- 25 Q. How about your friend LaVoy --

105

You know, I want -- I want -- I want to be completely 1 2 honest here because I shouldn't say "any communication."

They saw my videos. They saw what was -- what was --I was doing. They saw my activity and supported that. So I don't want to come off like that was not happening. But as far as -- he knew about the rally and -- I think that's what you're getting to.

- Q. Well, and I'm -- thank you for the clarification. I was 9 talking about your activities in Harney County.
 - Was -- was -- was your friend LaVoy Finicum involved in any of that -- those activities, at all, up to that point?
- 12 Α. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- Q. How about Ms. Shawna Cox? Was she involved in any of those 13 14 things --
- 15 Α. No.
- Q. Any other defendant here involved in those activities up to 16 17 that point?
- 18 Α. No.

Α.

21

22

- Q. And, again, just -- just to be clear, the subject 19 20 of the refuge employees did not come up at that December 15th

meeting at all?

No, not at all.

- Did it come up in any meeting prior to that point? 23 Q.
- 24 No, none. Α.
- Now, on -- on January 1st, you published another video, I 25 Ο.

106

1 think. I believe it's called, "My dear friends." Do you

- 2 remember that?
 - A. I do.

- Q. And -- and does this -- can I just get to -- what was your
- 5 purpose in that -- in posting that video on January 1st, 2016?
- A. Again, it was an effort for full disclosure of what I was
- 7 doing and why I was doing it.
- 8 I wanted people to know what I was doing and to shed
- 9 as much sunlight on it as I could. And so I went pretty much
- 10 from the beginning of my involvement with the Hammonds and --
- 11 in this video, and I laid it out. And then I also -- towards
- 12 the end of it, I began to explain more of my faith and how I
- 13 | felt it was so important that we do something. And how I felt
- 14 for my children and their children and for our nation. That we
- 15 do something. That we act, rather than being acted upon all
- 16 the time. And that was my intent.
- But to be open, to be clear with everybody of why I
- was there and why I had done what I had done, and why everybody
- 19 was going to Burns.
- 20 Q. Do you remember -- where did you make this? Do you recall?
- 21 A. Yeah, I made it at my house in -- in Emmett, Idaho.
- 22 Q. Just -- just across the table from you?
- 23 | A. That's correct. It was like a counter there, and I was --
- 24 Q. You -- you mentioned a -- a full disclosure.
- 25 ₩ Why was it important to you to share that level of

```
107
                     A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     full disclosure with -- with -- with people?
 1
 2
     A. I -- I did not want -- I needed people to understand the
     reasons why. I mean, I didn't want to -- to be accused or -- I
 3
     don't know if that's the right word.
 4
               I just wanted to be -- you know, sunlight is the best
 5
     disinfectant. That's how I feel. Sunlight is the best
 6
 7
     disinfectant. I wanted to be open with them. I wanted to be
 8
     clear with them of why -- why I was there, and what was the
 9
    purpose of it.
               MR. MUMFORD: So, your Honor, can I show the witness
10
11
     Exhibit 1174, please?
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Knight, any issues?
               MR. KNIGHT: No, not -- other than the parameters
13
     we've already set.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. So within those ranges, we'll
    go forward.
16
               Go ahead.
17
18
               MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
19
     Q. Mr. Bundy, we're going to pick up this video about 14
20
    minutes in.
21
22
               Can -- is it fair to say the first part of the video,
23
     the first 14 minutes kind of just did what you just -- you
24
     covered, the -- a lot of the material that you've already
25
     covered so far?
```

- 1 A. Yeah, the first part of it talked about how I found out
- 2 about the Hammonds. Basically what we've talked about in here,
- 3 up to that point.
- 4 Q. And you remember describing what your motivations and plans
- 5 were in that -- in that time period from -- from the first time
- 6 you heard about the Hammonds to January 1st and then?
- 7 A. Can you rephrase the question?
- 8 Q. Yeah, do you remember talking about what your motivations
- 9 and your plans were at that time?
- 10 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 11 Q. And then those are consistent with what you've already
- 12 testified to?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. From statements in here? You understand that?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 Q. And if they're not, the Government can go back and they've
- 17 got the whole thing. Right?
- 18 A. I would love for them to show that, if they would, but I
- 19 don't think they will.
- 20 Q. Can we pick it up at -- can we pick it up, your Honor, at a
- 21 minute and 14, please.
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 (Video playing with sound.)
- MR. MUMFORD: Is this published?
- MR. BRETON: Yeah.

```
109
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               MR. MUMFORD: Okay. Thank you.
 1
 2
               (Video playing resumed.)
 3
               (Video stopped.)
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
 4
     Q. You said, "The direction that he gave you." Who is the
 5
     "he" that you're referring to?
 6
 7
    A. I don't know if you want to back up a few seconds before
 8
     that but --
 9
     Q. Okay. Maybe we can.
10
               MR. MUMFORD: Let's go to 13:45.
11
               (Video playing with sound.)
12
               (Video stopped.)
13
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
     Q. Now, in that video, Mr. Bundy, you said you understood the
14
15
     specific steps that needed to be taken; that had become more
16
     and more clear. What did you have in mind?
17
        Will you rephrase that, to make sure I --
18
        Yeah, you were talking about the specific steps that you
    had in mind. That they had become more and more clear. What
19
     did you have in mind?
20
         I had in mind that we would go to Burns, and we would make
21
22
    a hard stand there.
23
    Q. And as -- as of the time of the interview? I'm sorry. Of
24
     the video here, had you made a decision to occupy the refuge?
```

No, I had not made that decision.

110

1 Q. What -- what -- what do you remember was your objective or

- 2 purpose in asking people to come and -- and I think you said,
- 3 participate in the wonderful thing that the Lord has?
- 4 A. It -- to come to the rally, first. And just as I did
- 5 before the rally, I presented what I felt should happen. And
- 6 that's what -- that's exactly what I -- and I -- I assume
- 7 you're going to get into that. But that's exactly what I did,
- 8 and that's -- that's -- exactly how I saw it happening.
- 9 Q. And that was the next day?
- 10 A. What's that?
- 11 Q. That was the next day?
- 12 A. That was the next day, yes.
- 13 THE COURT: Mr. Mumford -- I'm sorry -- would this be
- 14 a good point to break for lunch? Between now and the next day.
- MR. MUMFORD: Yeah, just -- just let me ask a few
- 16 questions here.
- 17 THE COURT: Please do.
- 18 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 19 Q. Just to be clear, as of the time of this video, January
- 20 | 1st, 2016, were you in league with anyone else?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Had you reached a decision that you were actually going to
- 23 go occupy the refuge at that point?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Were you aware of anyone who was trying or planning to --

	Colloquy 111
1	to try to prevent refuge employees from discharging their duty;
2	by force, threat, or intimidation?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: All right. Jurors, we're going to take
6	the lunch break now, and we'll continue this after lunch.
7	Ladies and gentlemen, enjoy your break. Please don't
8	talk about the case. Leave your notes on the chair.
9	We'll see you let's say 1:25 for you. So a little
10	bit more than an hour.
11	Enjoy the break. Stretch your legs.
12	Let's all stand for the jurors.
13	Watch your step, folks.
14	(Jurors exit.)
15	THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Mr. Bundy, you can
16	go ahead and step down.
17	Everybody else be seated.
18	Mr. Bundy, Mr. Bundy
19	DEFENDANT WAMPLER: We all love you, Ammon. Thank
20	you very much for everything you've done.
21	(Applause.)
22	DEFENDANT WAMPLER: We love you.
23	(Applause.)
24	THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, Mr. Bundy, Mr. Fry, please
25	step on out for the noon recess. We'll be back on the record

```
112
                                 Colloquy
     at one o'clock, ladies and gentlemen.
 1
               MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, can I be --
 2
 3
               THE COURT: You may. Marshal, fourth floor, please,
     for a conference with Mr. Bundy and Mr. Mumford.
 4
               MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.
 5
               THE COURT: Everyone remain seated, please.
 6
 7
               Okay. We're in recess.
 8
               Counsel, everybody, be back at one o'clock, please.
 9
               (Recess taken.)
10
               THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.
11
               Bring in the defendants who are in custody.
12
               (Court and clerk conferring.)
               (Pause.)
13
14
               THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let us
15
    please go on the record.
16
               Is everyone here? Ms. Cox is coming.
17
               Everyone's here.
               All right. So let's proceed with what's expected
18
    next, so we can get through the jury without interrupting
19
    presentations.
20
               Mr. Mumford?
21
22
               And, Mr. Bundy, come on back to the witness chair,
23
    please.
               MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I believe we're -- I
24
25
    believe we're talking about the remaining exhibits -- excuse
```

113 Colloquy The -- your Honor, we're talking about the remaining 1 me. 2 exhibits. 3 I think your Honor took a -- reserved on some of them from this morning, especially regarding Mr. Finicum. 4 MR. SCHINDLER: Mr. Mumford, can you speak up, 5 6 please. 7 MR. MUMFORD: Yeah. Sorry about that. 8 I believe, your Honor, that we had raised a few 9 issues. I think your Honor reserved a few issues regarding 10 Mr. Finicum and those -- the exhibits that we wanted to get 11 into, your Honor. 12 I think that we're at the point where we're talking about --13 THE COURT: January 1 is where you left off with the 14 witness at lunch and the presentation he was making just ahead 15 16 of the January 2 events. 17 MR. MUMFORD: And so -- and so the questions that I 18 have, your Honor, go to the evidence that we're wanting to get into here from the January 2nd period on. 19 20

THE COURT: Okay.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MUMFORD: And I understand, from the Court's rulings this morning, that the Court did not want us to get into 11 -- or, no. Wouldn't allow us to get into 1175. That's the evening of January 2nd. The Court --

THE COURT: Yes, the Government withdrew its

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 114 of 241 114 Colloquy objection to 1175. 1 2 MR. MUMFORD: That's correct. 3 The Court would allow us, on 1176, to show the -- to show both the initial video, so that Mr. Bundy can make the 4 connection to other videos here, and then show a picture, I 5 believe, is what your Honor said -- a screenshot -- of the 6 7 ranchers. Or maybe -- can we show the introduction of the 8 ranchers, then? Is that okay? 9 THE COURT: No. No. He -- what I said was if you 10 wish to ask him, he may testify that there were many visitors, 11 including ranchers. And you can put up a screenshot of the 12 rancher who's on the video 1176 because you wanted to show that rancher was in the particular office --13 MR. MUMFORD: That's right. 14 15 THE COURT: And he can identify the office but not play the video. 16 17 MR. MUMFORD: Okay. 18 THE COURT: So you can do that. Go on. 19 20 MR. MUMFORD: And then the Court reserved on -- oh, I think the Court declined our reguest for the 1178. 21

THE COURT: Yes.

22

23

24

25

MR. MUMFORD: Is that right? From Mr. Finicum?

THE COURT: Yes. I sustained the objection on 1178.

MR. MUMFORD: And on what ground --

Colloguy 115

THE COURT: I'm not going to renew it. Do you have 1 something new? We need to not repeat everything, Counsel. 2 3 MR. MUMFORD: I'm not going to. I'm not going to. THE COURT: Go ahead. 4 MR. MUMFORD: The Court limited us to, I believe --5 did I say one minute or two? 6 7 THE COURT: I said one, then you said a minute 40; 8 and I said go with that. 9 MR. MUMFORD: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 10 1179. And -- and -- and your Honor -- okay. 11 THE COURT: I indicated 1181 and 1182 could be 12 played. And with respect to 1180, the witness could testify about his perceptions about pressures of, and so forth. 13 MR. MUMFORD: That's right. 14 15 THE COURT: But not play it. 16 MR. MUMFORD: That's right. 17 And then there was the clips that we had from 1184. I believe, your Honor --18 THE COURT: I said you could play them, and then 19 Mr. Knight could object as we went. And Mr. Breton would stop 20 21 the minute he heard rustling behind him, so that I don't need 22 to take this time to listen to it all in advance. And I could 23 hear the arguments. 24 And then there are no objections to what you've itemized as No. 39, the clips. 25

Colloquy 116

MR. MUMFORD: And there was a -- on 1191, we were 1 going to be allowed to show the screenshot. Is that right? 2 3 THE COURT: Yes, and its impact. The witness was talking about his efforts to reach 4 out to elected officials. That he can talk about reaching out 5 in that vein toward Representative Walden. And he can be 6 asked, Did Walden make a presentation on the House floor? 7 8 Answer: Yes. Is this a photo of him doing that? Answer: 9 Yes. 10 MR. MUMFORD: And then what -- what -- given the 11 foundation that we laid for sending the redress to Governor 12 Brown, your Honor, I would request that we be able to show the -- and admit Ms. Brown's letter. 13 THE COURT: The letter that you discussed this 14 morning to the Attorney General and the FBI director? 15 16 MR. MUMFORD: That's right. 17 THE COURT: The objection previously made is still sustained. 18 It does not, on its face, indicate that it's a 19 response to a redress of grievances. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. MUMFORD: 22 THE COURT: Okay. About how long, now, do you expect 23 for the continued direct examination of Mr. Bundy? 24 MR. MUMFORD: Our anticipated is about an hour and a half, I believe. 25

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 117 of 241 117 Colloquy THE COURT: Okay. So I would like to review with 1 other defenders -- oh, before I go on, Mr. Mumford, where's 2 3 Mr. Philpot? MR. MUMFORD: Ah --4 THE COURT: He's supposed to be here. 5 MR. MUMFORD: He is. He is -- I believe he's meeting 6 7 with witnesses, your Honor, just outside the --8 THE COURT: He needs -- he needs, like you, to be 9 here when court convenes in the morning. If he wants to be 10 excused, he needs to make that point. 11 MR. MUMFORD: To be honest, your Honor, I forgot to 12 look over -- I thought he had come in, and the Court had seen him today. I'm sorry. I thought --13 THE COURT: So let me be clear again. Mr. Philpot's 14 part of the team and part of your admission to the Court. 15 16 MR. MUMFORD: No, I understand. 17 THE COURT: And if he needs to be excused, he should 18 arrange for that. I'll assume he's doing what you're doing, and we'll 19 deal with that later. 20

Let me just ask, then, among the defenders:

intends to add with examination of Mr. Bundy, and give me an idea about how much time.

I'll start with you, Mr. Salisbury.

21

22

23

24

25

Do you have any questions, do you think, so far?

118 Colloquy MR. SALISBURY: Not long. Probably ten minutes, 1 2 Judge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Olson? MR. OLSON: I think just a couple of minutes. 4 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Schindler? 5 MR. SCHINDLER: Half hour. 6 THE COURT: And Ms. Maxfield? 7 8 MS. MAXFIELD: Ten or 15 minutes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And Ms. Cox or Ms. Harris? 10 DEFENDANT SHAWNA COX: Probably about a half an hour. 11 THE COURT: All right. And then, Mr. Bundy, do you 12 want to ask questions? And where do you want to be in this -this group? You've been deferring to others going first, 13 before, so I just want to know in what order --14 15 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: I would rather be towards the end, and I'll probably be 15, 20 minutes. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. We'll see where that leads. And then the Government will have cross. 18 So we'll see if that takes us beyond the afternoon 19 recess or not. But let me know what's in store once 20 Mr. Bundy's testimony is concluded. 21 22 What's next? 23 MR. MUMFORD: I can address that, your Honor. I 24 believe there are two additional witnesses we have on standby

right now. One is Michele Fiore, who -- as your Honor pointed

Colloquy 119

out -- was in the courtroom for just a brief moment, I believe, during Mr. Bundy's examination yesterday and then she was asked to leave.

She -- I understand she has a flight today. So we may need to get her on. But that is a 15 -- that is a 10 to 15-minute examination, is all.

THE COURT: All right. Has that been proffered to the Government? Are there any issues I need to address outside the jury's presence?

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, based on our understanding of the limited proffer, we think her testimony would be cumulative.

Apparently she was at the refuge for a brief period. Beyond that, we don't understand her to have anything relevant to say.

THE COURT: Can you explain to me, please,
Mr. Mumford, the -- the relevance purpose of Ms. Fiore
testifying that's not cumulative. Or, if it is cumulative, why
it's needed anyway.

MR. MUMFORD: It -- no, it is our position it's not cumulative, your Honor. Her testimony is -- as your Honor has -- has heard from Mr. Bundy, he is familiar with the COWS organization.

Your Honor, Ms. Fiore is a legislator from the state of Nevada.

Colloquy

THE COURT: And she's a member of that organization?

MR. MUMFORD: Member of COWS. And she participated in their efforts to go to the refuge. And understands -- has -- has an understanding of what -- the presentations that were given there by Mr. Bundy and what -- and -- and that is important. And it's an important part of -- the critical story that hasn't been presented to this point.

THE COURT: So the perspective as a member from the COWS organization is not cumulative, and she can testify in that context.

What else with your --

MR. MUMFORD: And then, your Honor, it's just -- I've instructed her to be very brief on background with -- how -- how she came to work with Mr. Bundy and came to know him.

That's all.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I'm certain we're going to get to the afternoon recess, though, before you need to call Ms. Fiore. Is that right?

MR. MUMFORD: I believe so, your Honor. I would like to have the possibility that we make a judgment call at that point to decide whether or not we need to.

THE COURT: Right. So what I was going to suggest is that we just continue. And after the afternoon recess, outside the jury's presence, you can determine whether you want to interrupt this presentation with Ms. Fiore's testimony, and

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 121 of 241 121 Colloguy then we'll continue. 1 2 MR. MUMFORD: And the other witness that is currently 3 on standby is Brandon Rapolla. THE COURT: All right. Has the Government reviewed 4 that? 5 MR. GABRIEL: Yes, your Honor, we have. 6 7 He -- as we understand -- will be testifying about an incident on Sodhouse Road, just outside the gate of the refuge. 8 9 And there's a photograph, Exhibit 110 -- Government's Exhibit 10 110 that the defense wishes to contextualize through him. 11 We've shown Mr. Rapolla and shown the defense what 12 we've now marked as Government's Exhibit 711. Mr. Rapolla recognizes it, and I don't believe the 13 defense has any objection to its admission. 14 15 There's a defense exhibit that they wish to admit through Mr. Rapolla that does more than show just the events 16 17 taking place. There's a separate speaker behind the microphone 18 that we object to on hearsay grounds. 19 THE COURT: I'll take that up at the afternoon 20 recess, then, in the event we're going to get there. Is there anything -- Mr. Kohlmetz, you're standing. 21

Do you have an issue I need to be aware of?

22

23

24

25

MR. KOHLMETZ: Mr. Patrick is still under subpoena, I don't believe anyone intends to call him, but I your Honor. don't want to leave without --

Colloguy

THE COURT: Right. So where we left this yesterday is I directed Mr. Kohlmetz to be here at one o'clock so that we could resolve, in the parties' presence, the status of

Mr. Kohlmetz, what is Mr. Patrick's position as of now with respect to, if called, whether he would invoke his right -- well, let me ask you, Mr. Patrick.

As of now, people have been saying things to me all over the board with respect to what your intentions are.

Let me be very clear. You're a defendant in the case. Right? Please --

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I'm not a defendant in this case, ma'am.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

Mr. Patrick as a potential witness.

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I'm not a member in this case, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're not in this trial, but you've got a trial set in February.

DEFENDANT PATRICK: That's correct.

THE COURT: And as such, you are exposed. As long as that case is pending, there are issues about it. So to the extent you give statements, either under oath or not, they're potentially available to be used in the trial, either in your favor or against you.

Do you understand that?

123 Colloquy

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I understand that. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. I've been told that someone among the defense has subpoenaed you as a witness to be called 3 in this trial with these seven defendants.

Is that true?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I understand that to be true.

THE COURT: All right. You have an absolute right not to testify as to any matter that could incriminate you with respect to the case still pending against you for the February trial or any other matter not yet pending.

You have a right not to be called as a witness against your wishes.

Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I understand that right not to be called.

THE COURT: And you have a right -- and even though you've been subpoenaed, which required you to come to court, you have a right to invoke your Fifth Amendment right not to be asked questions that could in any way incriminate you.

Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I understand I have a right to invoke my Fifth Amendment, yes, ma'am.

> THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm not hearing you.

DEFENDANT PATRICK: I understand I have a right to invoke my Fifth Amendment. That is correct.

Colloguy

124

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 2 Yesterday, Mr. Kohlmetz reported that that was your 3 desire. That you wished to do that in response to the subpoena. And then people here on the defense side said no, 4 that wasn't true. And then Mr. Kohlmetz said it had gone back 5 and forth. 6 In the end, sir, it is not Mr. Kohlmetz's decision, 7 8 it is yours. So I need to know from you whether -- if any 9 defendant called you to the witness stand, whether you would or 10 would not invoke your Fifth Amendment rights. 11 DEFENDANT PATRICK: If I would or -- if I -- excuse 12 me? THE COURT: I need to know, if you are called as a 13 witness, will you invoke your right under the Fifth Amendment 14 not to be compelled to answer questions? 15 DEFENDANT PATRICK: I don't plan to invoke my Fifth 16 Amendment right if called, your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Say again? DEFENDANT PATRICK: I do not at this time plan to 19 invoke my Fifth Amendment right if called, no. 20 Okay. So if any defendant intends to call 21 22 Mr. Patrick, you all need to coordinate with Mr. Patrick and 23 Kohlmetz so that counsel, who is standby to Mr. Patrick, is 24 available in the event Mr. Patrick is called.

So it's not happening this afternoon, I know for

Colloquy

sure, Mr. Patrick. So you don't have to be here under your subpoena.

If any of the lawyers here -- any of the parties here want you to testify, it's their job to be in touch with you and Mr. Kohlmetz, so that you're here in time to be called.

But even though you're representing yourself in the case still pending against you, Mr. Kohlmetz needs to be present on this Fifth Amendment question as it can change.

The one thing that can happen -- and I haven't addressed it -- is that sometimes people choose not to invoke their rights. And then they change their mind in the middle of their testimony. And that would mean that, if that happened, then everything you had said would be out. And it would be a complication.

So it's one thing you need to know. Hopefully, Mr. Kohlmetz can help you find out what it is people want to ask you, so that you can assess for yourself -- and if you want, with his help -- whether you are or aren't exposed by saying those things. Okay?

DEFENDANT PATRICK: You've appointed Mr. Kohlmetz.
Mr. Kohlmetz does not speak for me.

THE COURT: I understand that.

I'm saying that he's your standby lawyer, and I want him present in the event it would be helpful if issues come up.

So all I'm saying is anybody who wants you to testify

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 126 of 241 126 Colloquy needs to coordinate with you and him to be sure both are in the 1 room when the time comes. Okay? 2 3 DEFENDANT PATRICK: It appears yesterday there was a misunderstanding. Mr. Kohlmetz stood for me and spoke for me 4 in court. 5 THE COURT: I'm -- you're making it very clear he 6 7 doesn't. 8 He's your standby lawyer, though, and part of your 9 right of self-representation is conditioned on him being involved. 10 11 You don't have to take his advice, but he has to be 12 present. All I'm saying is the lawyers and the parties, 13 anybody who wants you to testify, need to involve both of you 14 in the scheduling. 15 Do you understand? 16 17 DEFENDANT PATRICK: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody need Mr. Patrick to 18 stay while our trial continues? 19 All right. Whoever wants him needs to be responsible 20 to work with him and Mr. Kohlmetz to schedule that, so that the 21 22 jury isn't delayed over that.

All right. Sir, you're free to go, if you want, or

Is that clear?

I think it is.

23

24

```
127
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     you're free to stay.
 1
                                   Thank you.
 2
               DEFENDANT PATRICK:
 3
               MR. KOHLMETZ: Thank you, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please.
 4
               (Jurors enter at 1:31 p.m.)
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Please
 6
 7
    be seated.
 8
               Good afternoon, jurors.
 9
               THE JURORS: Good afternoon.
10
               THE COURT: Everyone ready to begin?
11
               Okay. Let us continue, please, with the direct
12
     examination Mr. Bundy.
               Mr. Mumford.
13
14
               MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.
15
               I was told I need to speak louder, so I will.
16
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
     BY MR. MUMFORD:
        The -- Mr. -- Mr. Bundy, prior to the break, we were --
18
19
     we -- we were just moving to January 2nd.
20
               Do you recall that?
21
    Α.
         Yes.
22
         And did you have a meeting on the morning of January 2nd?
23
    Α.
        I did.
24
         As you went to that meeting -- well, first -- first of all,
     what -- how did you set up this meeting?
```

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

A. There was a whole bunch of people that were coming to the rally. And, you know, people were calling me on the phone and where -- Where are you going to be? Where are you going to meet? And the only place that I thought of, at first, was a good enough place to meet was in the parking lot of the fairgrounds, actually, because it's pretty open area.

So I told -- hey, I -- and it was really pretty impromptu, I guess. Told to -- everybody to -- to go to the fairgrounds, to the parking lot there.

And I thought that maybe on a last-minute thing that we might be able to get into the fairgrounds and meet there.

It was really cold. Because I did want to meet with as many people as I could before the rally.

So I went to the fairgrounds, in the parking lot there. And several people came. But we were not able to get access to the -- it wasn't being used, or anything, but we weren't able to get access to go into the fairgrounds -- or to the building and meet there.

So then I remember that we had met with the sheriff once before, at the Ye Old Castle cafe there as kind of a community meeting. I don't even know who set that meeting up, but we did meet there.

And there was a -- there's a back room. And they were really accommodating and friendly. And so I thought, well, maybe we can get that.

129

So a couple of the people called and then eventually went over there and was able to get permission for them to use the -- use their -- their back room meeting.

And so we went over there. And that's -- and it's right across the street from the Safeway, which is where the rally was going to start. So that's where it went.

- Q. And so you're walking -- you're walking to this meeting on the morning of January 2nd. What is going through your mind?
- A. Well, we had to leave the fairgrounds and drive, though,
- 10 you know --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 11 Q. Okay. You're driving --
- 12 A. It's not that close. We had to go over to the fairgrounds
 13 and drive.
- 14 Q. Thank you. You're driving over there.
- As you go into this meeting on January 2nd, what's going through your mind?
- 17 A. I -- what's going through my mind is I am wanting to talk
 18 to these individuals about what I feel we should do and
 19 about -- basically, what -- what we should do.
- 20 And that's what -- what I meant by "a hard stand,"
 21 and what I -- what I felt like we should do.
- Q. You mean -- you mean what you meant by "hard stand" in the video that you had posted on January 1st?
- 24 A. Yeah. Yeah. The -- what I did.
- 25 And I want to be really clear with everybody about --

130

1 I mean, I want to be very clear about all of that.

- Q. So when you said "hard stand" in that video on January 1st,
- 3 what did you mean?
- 4 A. I meant going into the refuge. I did not express that to
- 5 the people in the video. I did not make a decision with
- 6 anybody on that. But I intended on going to the January 2nd
- 7 meeting and proposing that that's what we do.
- 8 And -- and let me just give you a little bit of
- 9 background on that.
- 10 Q. Please.
- 11 A. Because I want to be very clear about this.
- 12 Q. Please.
- 13 A. When we began to get -- when we could see that the sheriff
- 14 and that -- and that the county representatives and the state
- 15 representatives were completely ignoring us and we couldn't get
- 16 any response out of them, at that point -- I wasn't alone --
- 17 community members, everybody was very frustrated. And so the
- 18 question was asked, what are we going to do?
- 19 And we had -- during these meetings between this --
- 20 between -- up to December -- or, basically, January 2nd, we had
- 21 several community meetings with ranchers, with the committee of
- 22 safety, with different individuals and -- of what we -- what we
- 23 | should do. And we proposed several different things, talking
- 24 about it.
- 25 But the point I want to make very clear is there was

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

never, ever, a decision on what to do. We never, ever, concluded on what we should do. Besides the rally that was going to be happening on January 2nd, there was never a decision on what -- what we were going to do. And I felt that what needed to happen before the rally was we get together and we decide whether we were going to make a hard stand or not.

- Q. Did you have other things in mind -- without getting into them, did you have other things in mind as -- to propose at that January 2nd meeting regarding the hard stand you were going to take?
- A. Yeah, there was several proposals that weren't just mine.

 But, you know, other than -- other than mine, because we talked to several groups.

There was ideas such as taking cattle and putting it back on the Hammonds' range without permission from the -- the federal government. And then just protecting them, so they can graze on their land without -- without getting the permit that the BLM wanted.

There was talk about when -- when it was time to do prescribed burns, to go -- as a community, go out there and do the prescribed burns without their permit, without permission for them to do that.

And these were among the refuge -- going into the refuge and getting the land back to the people, these were the types of things that we were discussing to do. That we -- we

would make a hard stand on these type of -- these type of actions.

Q. Now, I want to back up just for a second, before we get into the substance of the January 2nd meeting.

Prior to this time, you had been involved in -- and you had been involved in political activity related to these -- these federal land issues. Is that fair?

- A. Yeah, my family has been forced to be involved, yes.
- 9 Q. And, well -- and, in fact, that was the purpose of the proposed legislation that they proposed --
- 11 A. That's exactly what the legislation was about.
- Q. Now, without -- without giving a -- a -- a -- without
 giving the explanation too long, can you just tell the jury a
 summary overview of your -- of your views related to the
 Constitution on that issue?
 - A. Yes. So my views of the Constitution on -- in Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, it lays out the rules that the federal government must follow in order to own and control land inside the state.

And those rules are that -- that the federal government must first get consent from the state legislature -- legislatures. They have to purchase it. And they can only use it for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dockyards, and other needful buildings. And that's the rules, under my understanding of the Constitution, when it comes to the federal

133 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford government owning and controlling land inside a state. 1 2 And -- and what, in your mind, did this have to do with your -- well, with -- with -- with the Hammonds? 3 Yeah, it's because of the violation of this clause in the 4 Constitution that's put all of these families and these 5 ranchers and loggers, and so forth -- it's my belief --6 7 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I object. 8 THE COURT: Stop, please. Let me hear the objection. 9 MR. KNIGHT: The objection is relevance at this point 10 in time. He's already testified about his belief and state of 11 mind as to the Constitution. Now he's opining about these 12 ranchers and what happened to them vis-a-vis the Constitution. THE COURT: Members of the jury, I've allowed 13 Mr. Bundy -- as I've previously explained to you -- to testify 14 about his understanding of the law. And I'm going to overrule 15 this objection, consistent with that understanding. 16 17 You must take it for the bearing you find as you weigh the evidence at the end of the case with respect to his 18 19 state of mind, but he is not stating the law. 20 This is here before you for your consideration of the issues of his state of mind relative to the crime charged 21 22 against him -- crimes charged against him. 23 The objection is overruled.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

24

25

Go ahead.

Your Honor, given that objection and given that instruction, can I have Mr. Bundy read the Constitution? He's got one there in his pocket. Just that Clause 17. That's -- that's the only one.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that request.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

He's -- he's testified about his understanding about that part of the Constitution. Please take that testimony now to where we were and why he was doing what he was doing.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. What did this -- what did this issue have to do with the other aims and objectives in Harney County at that -- at that -- at that time?
- A. This issue is the issue. This is the reason why we believed the Hammonds were being abused. This is the reason why we went into the refuge and did what we did. This is the reason why we stood at -- at the Bundy Ranch. This is the problem. This is the -- the reason. And so to minimize this as something that has nothing to do with this case is completely out of balance.

This is the case. This is the reason why we did what we did. And I'm not alone in this. It's not like I'm some person out in the middle of the ocean --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Bundy, you're free to talk

135 A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford about your state of mind. Please don't go beyond that. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I respond to that, 3 please? THE COURT: Just please -- no, you may not. 4 Mr. Mumford, ask a question that talks about his 5 state of mind and not the state of mind of others. Let's move 6 7 on. 8 BY MR. MUMFORD: 9 Okav. Is this -- and you had given seminars and 10 presentations to others on -- on this issue? 11 A. Yeah, and -- and I had -- there has been a tremendous 12 amount of research and information and -- from myself and many, many others. 13 There's -- this is not something that is my idea. 14 15 And -- and it's something that needs to be answered and it hasn't been. 16 17 And did you give a similar presentations on this issue at 18 the refuge during the occupation, then? 19 A. Absolutely. 20 As -- as part of your standard -- it was better to say you have a standard presentation, then? 21 22 Yeah, I would say that's fair. Absolutely. Α. 23 Q. And as part of that standard presentation, you cover 24 three -- three -- three methods? Or how do you believe and

understand property rights can be established?

136

1 A. Established? But, actually, you're probably talking about

- 2 transfer. Because there's only two ways they can be
- 3 established. There's three ways that they are transferred to
- 4 somebody else.
- 5 Q. Can you just briefly explain your understanding there.
- 6 A. Yeah. So my understanding is once a property right has
- 7 been established -- and that is through like homesteading,
- 8 prior appropriation, beneficial use -- then they can be
- 9 transferred to somebody through trade. So someone can sell it
- 10 or trade for it or barter for it. Right?
- 11 They can be transferred to somebody by them -- as a
- 12 gift or as an inheritance. And the third is they can be
- 13 transferred to somebody through what's called adverse
- 14 possession. A legal method to be transferred. What's called
- 15 adverse possession.
- Q. Showing you what -- what was marked in the Government's
- 17 case as Government Exhibit 116, page 4.
- 18 MR. MUMFORD: This has been received into evidence
- 19 here, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 22 Q. Can we -- you remember this was the photo of -- of -- of
- 23 | Linda Beck's office, taken after the Government had gone
- 24 | through it and stuff. Right? I want to zoom in on that white
- 25 board, please.

```
Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 137 of 241
                                                           137
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               How does -- what does this show in respect to your --
 1
 2
     the presentation that -- that we're talking about?
     A. Well, if you look at the top here, it talks about -- this
 3
     is a -- us listing how rights are established. This is here,
 4
     how they're transferred.
 5
               And that's where you see traded, bartered, sold. And
 6
 7
     then the second is gift or inheritance. And the third would be
 8
     taken. And then adverse possession is right there. So --
 9
               MR. MUMFORD: I'm going to go back in and ask -- ask
10
     Ms. -- Mr. Breton here to pull that back out a little bit
11
     better.
              Almost --
12
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's hard to see.
               (Pause.)
13
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
14
15
        Okay. Is that better?
16
     Α.
        Yeah.
17
         So -- so you -- part of the presentation, then, it's --
18
     you -- it's -- you -- you teach or instruct that property
     rights can be -- you know, sale -- sold, traded, gifted, or
19
     taken.
20
```

I want to focus on -- on part of the take method

Do you regularly discuss in this -- in this

presentation a concept called adverse possession?

Absolutely. Every time.

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

there, if I can.

- Q. And is adverse possession something that you discussed
- while at the refuge with -- and gave presentations on?
- 3 A. Yes, every time we gave a presentation.
- 4 Q. What -- what do you understand to be the concept of adverse
- 5 possession and -- and -- and how did you explain it to others
- 6 then?
- 7 A. So my understanding of adverse possession is -- is a legal
- 8 way in which someone that has title disputes can go in and
- 9 actually possess the property. And as long as they can
- 10 maintain it long enough and follow the legal laws with the
- 11 state or the federal government over a period of time, they can
- 12 quiet title.
- 13 Q. Explain what you mean by "quiet title."
- 14 A. They can actually have title transferred over to -- to
- 15 them, and -- and it becomes their property.
- 16 Q. Have you ever heard this referred to as the legal way to
- 17 possibly steal property?
- 18 A. It's called adverse possession for a reason.
- 19 Q. And the first -- you said "go in" and -- and then you said
- 20 hold it and then perfect it. Right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. What do you understand the concept of going in and
- 23 establishing a claim of adverse possession in the first
- 24 instance to entail?
- 25 A. Well, you have to go in and you have to take it over and

139

1 show your presence. You can't do it covertly. You have to be

2 open about it. You have to go in and show your presence and

actually show that you're -- your intent, your intent to take

4 the property.

- Q. And you understand the laws of the state of Oregon protect
- 6 this?
- 7 A. Absolutely. If you do this in the state of Oregon seven
- 8 years, is all -- you have to maintain that adverse possession
- 9 for seven years and then that becomes -- you can quiet title
- 10 or -- or perfect title on it as well; is another way to be
- 11 said.
- In Nevada, it's -- in Nevada, it's five years. And
- 13 with the federal government, it's 20 years.
- 14 Q. So -- and is there a -- do you understand there's a way for
- 15 the -- let's -- for the proper owner to -- to resist a claim of
- 16 adverse possession, then?
- 17 A. Yeah. If the -- if the titled owner -- because, remember,
- 18 it's usually done under dispute, meaning there is a dispute
- 19 over whose property it is.
- 20 So if there's -- if there is a title -- because
- 21 there's not always a title on a property. If there is a title,
- 22 that titled owner can dispute this adverse possession by going
- 23 | to legal -- the legal authority and filing a trespass.
- 24 And then if the legal -- if the court sees that --
- deems fit, then there will be an eviction notice that will be

- 1 issued, and they'll be served with an eviction notice.
- 2 Q. Did this -- did this concept of adverse possession come up
- 3 in the January 2nd meeting?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- 5 Q. So let's go back to that, if we can.
- How many -- how many people are at this January 2nd meeting at ye old tavern in Burns?
- 8 A. Ye Old Castle.
- 9 Q. Castle, sorry.
- 10 A. I figured -- I estimated -- we never got a full -- a solid
 11 count, but I thought it was right around 30 people.
- Now, I've heard witnesses say it was less, others
 that have said it was more. But my -- I believe there was
 right around 30 people.
- Q. Do you have -- do you have a recollection of everyone who was there?
- 17 A. No, I don't.
- 18 Q. Do you have a recollection of some who were there?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Who?
- 21 A. I want to get it right.
- 22 Q. As best you can -- best you can.
- 23 A. I don't want to -- I don't want to say Jason Patrick was
- 24 there, but I think he was there. But, anyway, my brother was
- 25 there, I know. LaVoy Finicum was there. Brian Cavalier was

```
141
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
     there. Cliff Gardner was there. His wife was there.
 1
     my good friend that I grew up, her -- her -- a couple of her
 2
     family was there. Rosella Teerlink (phonetic), Kaleb Teerlink.
 3
               I hope I'm not making a list for the Government's
 4
    next Indictment.
 5
               (Audience laughter.)
 6
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Everybody be quiet, please.
 8
               Mr. Bundy --
 9
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: -- this is serious enough.
11
               THE WITNESS: I know it is serious, and I am
12
     concerned about that.
               THE COURT: Then stop with the extra comments.
13
               Your testimony may continue.
14
15
               THE WITNESS: Well, that -- I'm sorry. That's what
16
    happens.
17
               THE COURT: Jurors, disregard this interchange with
    Mr. Bundy.
18
               Continue your answer or let Mr. Mumford know you need
19
20
     a new question.
21
               THE WITNESS: Okay. I do apologize, your Honor.
22
               Jon Ritzheimer was there. Ryan Payne was there.
23
    There was a sheriff's deputy there. And I didn't know his name
24
     at the time. But I believe his -- his name now is McLain.
    Officer McLain or Deputy McLain.
25
```

- 1 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 2 | Q. And in fact Deputy McLain has testified in this trial.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. He has.
- 5 Q. Do you recall when you saw him take the stand, what you
- 6 told me?
- 7 A. Yeah, I do recall that.
- 8 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, that's an improper question.
- 9 THE COURT: Hold on. What's the basis?
- 10 MR. KNIGHT: Object to the form of the question and
- 11 hearsay. He's asking --
- 12 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 13 If the witness had an impression, he can testify to
- 14 his impression, not his communication to counsel.
- 15 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 16 Q. What was your impression when you saw him?
- 17 A. I said, That's the man that was at the meeting.
- 18 Q. Meaning that was the sheriff --
- 19 A. January 2nd, that was the sheriff's deputy that was at the
- 20 meeting.
- 21 Q. The sheriff -- the Harney County sheriff's deputy that was
- 22 at the January 2nd meeting?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. You went into this meeting expecting to explain your
- 25 proposal. Right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. How did it go?
- 3 A. It started off we were kind of more just chitchat talking,
- 4 you know. I think the cafe employees there brought us some
- 5 coffee, and stuff. And so everybody was kind of talking, and
- 6 so forth.

1

- 7 Then we started the meeting. I -- I do believe that
- 8 we -- we started the meeting with a prayer. And then there was
- 9 some conversation -- and I don't really remember a lot of it.
- 10 Some people talked, spoke, and just kind of -- get
- 11 some foundation on what we were doing and what was going on.
- 12 But it was more like just conversation.
- 13 And then, eventually, I was able to speak. And I
- 14 began to speak to them -- or talk to them, I guess. I did
- 15 stand up and talk to them.
- 16 Q. Now, what -- what -- just -- can you summarize for us what
- 17 you told them?
- 18 A. I explained to them a lot of what was in that -- almost
- 19 exactly what was in that video that we watched. And I know the
- 20 jury didn't see the first part of it. But explained how --
- 21 | again, why I -- why I felt I was supposed to get involved. And
- 22 how -- how, actually, I was reluctant to get involved, at
- 23 | first. Very reluctant.
- And then -- and how it went from there. I explained
- 25 to them how I felt that this urge, this desire -- and couldn't

144

1 really explain why. But I knew that I was supposed to do

2 something. I was supposed to do something for the Hammonds.

3 And -- and I explained what had happened and what had gone on.

4 Just basically went through that.

5 And then I got to the point where explaining how we

6 tried to get the sheriffs and everybody -- elected

7 representatives to respond to us and how they've not. And a

lot of this -- you know, they already knew. They understood.

They shared the -- they shared the frustration with me.

And then I told them what I felt we should do.

- 11 Q. And what was -- what was the response? Without -- without
- 12 getting into the specifics of it, what -- what was the
- 13 response?

8

9

- 14 A. Well, I want to make it clear that at that time I proposed
- 15 to them that we go to the refuge and that we basically take
- 16 possession of it and get these lands back to the people.
- 17 And -- I mean, I want to be clear about that. I
- 18 proposed that to them. Everybody in that room.
- 19 Q. And you talked about this sheriff's deputy. You understood
- 20 he was a sheriff's deputy at the time the meeting was ongoing.
- 21 Right?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 | Q. You just didn't know his name?
- 24 A. I didn't know his name. I had never met him before. I had
- 25 seen him before. And there was others that knew him better

145

1 than I did, in the room.

Q. And -- and -- and so was -- was he -- was he wearing

3 anything that -- you know, that would indicate that he was a

4 sheriff's deputy? Or you just knew that from seeing him

5 before?

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

A. He -- I don't know if he had a uniform, or not. But he had a Carhartt coveralls with him, with a Carhartt jacket.

And then later, I -- I saw him in the parking lot and he had his radio. But it -- there was no -- there was no doubt who he was. I mean, it was open. We openly said the sheriff's deputy is right here. We openly said that in the meeting. And he didn't -- I mean, he didn't -- he just sat there.

- Q. Did you know you were proposing an illegal conspiracy at the time?
- 15 A. We weren't. We never have.
- 16 Q. And you -- your basis for saying that?
- A. Because we were following the law, both constitutionally
 and through adverse possession with our actions. And -- and we
 did it in the open. We did not hide what we were doing. We
 were not ashamed of what we did. And we -- I still believe
- 21 today, very strongly, that what we did was the right thing.
- 22 And it was legal. Completely legal.

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object at that point and ask for at least an instruction to the jury regarding the law and the state of mind of the defendant.

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

There's been a lot of discussion and testimony about what he believes and what is legal and what is not.

THE COURT: The jurors know from my previous descriptions that the statements of the law applicable to the case come from the Court, not from the witness stand.

Mr. Bundy's entitled to express to you his opinions as they affected his state of mind. His statement just now that the actions were legal is not a statement of law. It has no effect, and you cannot consider it in that context.

You may consider his statements only for their bearing on his state of mind with respect to what he was attempting to do and in the context of all of the evidence at the end of the case.

The objection is sustained.

MR. MUMFORD: And with that instruction, your Honor, is not attempting to suggest to the jury that Mr. Bundy's view of the law is in error. Is that correct?

THE COURT: We're not going to take this up in the jury's presence, Mr. Mumford. Take a seat.

MR. MUMFORD: Well, that's --

THE COURT: Take a seat.

If you want me to make a ruling now, without you having an opportunity to make a record, I will. But I'm not going to do it.

You take a seat. Ask your questions.

```
147
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               Jurors, the ruling stands.
 1
 2
               Mr. Bundy's statements about whether it is legal or
 3
     not are his opinion. You may consider them as such.
               Move -- counsel, move on.
 4
               MR. MUMFORD: The Government --
 5
               THE COURT: Counsel, move on now. Move on.
 6
 7
               If you want to take this up later, you may.
 8
               MR. MUMFORD: They don't tell us what the law is.
 9
     That's my point.
10
               THE COURT: Mr. Mumford, I've told the jury now three
11
     times this point: Mr. Knight's objection is sustained.
12
               Mr. Bundy's entitled to his opinion for purposes of
     state of mind relevant to the charge against him, not for any
13
     other purpose.
14
               MR. MUMFORD: I would ask that the -- that the --
15
     that the Court instruct the Government to limit their comments
16
17
     in -- in -- in -- in -- on this issue during Mr. Bundy's
18
     testimony in --
19
               THE COURT: I'm not going to make any more
     instructions.
20
               If an objection or a question is objectionable as to
21
22
     form, then counsel may so state their position and I'll make a
     ruling.
23
24
               Please proceed.
```

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- 1 Q. You -- you -- you proposed this idea. It was received.
- 2 A. Yes, it was.
- 3 Q. Did everyone -- did everyone stand up and say, Yeah, let's
- 4 do it?
- 5 A. No, not at all.
- 6 Q. Were there some that walked away at that point?
- 7 \blacksquare A. Yeah, the majority -- in my -- from what I -- again, I
- 8 didn't take count or numbers. But the majority of the room
- 9 seemed to be the majority. And it was just -- I would say the
- 10 room was about split. So on -- on whether that was something
- 11 that we should pursue to do or not.
- 12 Q. And so those who -- who -- who agreed with you to do
- 13 | it, what -- what did you do next?
- 14 A. Well, I didn't -- it didn't happen -- basically, what
- 15 happened was -- is my brother stood up, and he also agreed that
- 16 that's what we should do. And this was the first time he had
- 17 heard about it.
- 18 Q. In fact, how soon -- how -- how soon before had Mr. Ryan
- 19 Bundy even come to Burns?
- 20 A. He just -- he met me in the -- him and LaVoy Finicum, and
- 21 others, came in the same vehicle, and they met me in the
- 22 | fairground parking lot. And that was the first time they had
- 23 | been to Burns or -- or just to come --
- Q. Just a few hours before? Or an hour or two before?
- 25 A. Yeah. Not even an hour, probably.

149

Q. And then -- so -- so you said Mr. Ryan Bundy agreed with

- 2 you. Anyone else?
- 3 A. Yeah. LaVoy Finicum stood up, and he shared his experience
- 4 about his ranch and -- in Arizona, and also sustained that
- 5 action as well.
- 6 Q. How -- how was it -- how was it decided to execute this --
- 7 | this -- this -- is it fair to call it a plan at that point,
- 8 then?
- 9 A. Yeah. I mean, it was -- it was very -- I guess, maybe
- 10 informal and organized. I don't know want you want to call it.
- 11 But we just decided to go up there.
- But we knew there was a lot of people that come to
- 13 the rally that might want to continue the protest up there as
- 14 well.
- So the plan was, at that point, that those who were
- 16 in the meeting would go up there. And then I would stay, go to
- 17 the rally. And then, afterwards, I would inform everybody that
- 18 ∥ we were going to go continue the protest at -- at the refuge,
- 19 which was about -- well, as we know, it's about 35 miles out of
- 20 town.
- 21 Q. Was -- was there any discussion as to how you would go
- 22 about staking the claim, so to speak?
- 23 A. In the meeting?
- 24 Q. Yeah.
- 25 A. Well, I mean, other than just versus going in there and

150

occupying it, that was basically the first part of what we 1 talked about. And it wasn't a lot of discussion of how we were 2 going to do that or even much further than that at all.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, can -- can you -- just -- just so we have your complete testimony here, to the best of your recollection, can you share with the jury what you said to those who -- who -who ended up occupying and -- at that meeting, and what the -the substance of what you pro -- proposed at that time.
- A. Could you rephrase the question? I want to make sure I understood it right.
- 11 Just so we have your complete testimony on what was -- what 12 did you share and what was -- what did you propose?
 - Well, I shared that we had been ignored. And I shared that when -- whenever something really important has happened, there had to be some -- a hard stand. I think I used that term. There had to be a hard stand.

I mean, when Martin Luther King stood, he had to make a hard stand. When -- any time something significant has been changed in this country, it's because somebody made a hard stand and said enough is enough and brought enough attention to it that -- that people would start to look and start to ask and start to get involved. And the momentum would start to continue until the change happened.

And I expressed to them that that is what we have to Otherwise, we're going to go to a rally, as good as it

was. And they were all going to go home, and the Hammonds were going to be completely forgot about. And the next family down the street, or down the road, or the next ranch was just going to experience the exact same thing; or whatever it was.

We had to make -- or whoever it was, I should say.

We had to make a stand. And get enough attention and make the stand correctly and make it peacefully, to get -- to get that attention.

Q. You used the word -- you know, in referring to the people at the parade, who -- who you hoped would join you, continue the protest.

Are you aware of any other circumstances where protesters occupied a -- a federal wildlife refuge?

A. I am. Absolutely.

Q. What are those that you are aware of at the time?

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I object to the relevance of this.

THE COURT: What is the purpose for which this is offered, Counsel?

MR. MUMFORD: It's to show -- to show, your Honor, the defendant's state of mind and understanding and corroborate his -- his -- his testimony.

THE COURT: You need to establish when he had this knowledge and lay a foundation. Then I'll consider the objection again, if counsel wishes.

- 1 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 2 | Q. Did you have this knowledge, regarding this other -- other
- 3 instance, at the -- going into the January 2nd meeting?
- 4 A. I did not.
- 5 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 6 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 7 Q. What -- was there a time that you came to understand it?
- 8 A. Yes, there was.
- 9 Q. When was that?
- 10 A. It actually wasn't until I was arrested.
- 11 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- Don't go there. Move on.
- 13 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. Perhaps -- perhaps coming to -- to one of my most important
- 15 questions.
- 16 What -- can you explain to the jury what you hoped to
- 17 accomplish? What was your ultimate objective in -- in
- 18 proposing, at this meeting, that -- the occupation of the
- 19 refuge?
- 20 A. Could you restate the question? I'm sorry.
- 21 0. Yeah.
- What did you hope to accomplish by proposing and
- 23 deciding to occupy the refuge?
- 24 A. I hoped to get a -- a mass amount of media attention to
- what happened to the Hammonds, first.

153

And then what was happening to other people in Harney County and across the western United States with these federal land issues, second.

And then I had planned on using adverse possession to take possession of the -- of the refuge and transfer title over to the people of Harney County, so that they can begin using the land and resources the way that I believe the Constitution charters our federal government and the states.

- Q. Did you hope or expect any personal gain?
- 10 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q. Was -- was any part of -- of your agenda or objective
- 12 intentionally focused on the refuge employees or preventing
- 13 them from completing their duties by force, intimidation?
- 14 A. No. And that's been extremely frustrating to all of us
- 15 that are involved in this. Because this is so much bigger than
- 16 just even me, even any of the defendants. It's so much bigger
- 17 than -- than the employees of the refuge or the BLM employees.
- 18 This is an issue that is -- has caused, for many decades, a
- 20 livelihoods. This is so much bigger than just the refuge
- 21 itself.
- 22 Q. Were you ever charged with criminal trespass for doing what
- 23 you did?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. Were you ever charged with vandalism?

```
154
                      A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford
               MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I object to the relevance of
 1
     this line of questioning.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained. It's
     argumentative.
 4
               Please move on.
 5
               THE WITNESS: Can I state my intent on that?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: No, sir. You need to wait for a
 8
     question.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10
     BY MR. MUMFORD:
11
     Q. Mr. Bundy --
12
               MR. MUMFORD: Hold on for a second here, your Honor.
13
               Sorry.
14
               (Pause, referring.)
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
15
     Q. You -- you -- what -- there was a -- you'll recall a few
16
17
     days ago -- several days ago, last week, there was that call
     with the FBI negotiator. Do you recall that?
18
19
    A. Yes.
20
         I believe -- you know -- and I'm not going to quote it.
21
     But I believe there's something on that call when you talked
22
     about if you left the refuge at that point, they would -- the
23
     federal employees would return and enslave the people, or
     something like that. Right?
24
25
        Yeah, something like that.
```

155

Q. So explain -- explain to me how can you say that and say at

2 the same time that you didn't -- that it was no part of your

- 3 objective to intentionally focus on preventing the refuge
- 4 memployees from performing their duties with -- through fear,
- 5 | threat, or intimidation?
- 6 A. I'm going to have to have you rephrase that one.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'm -- I'm asking you --
- 8 A. I want to make sure I'm clear.
- 9 Q. Thanks.
- 10 I'm asking you, sir, to explain to the jury how can
- 11 you say you -- that you did what you did to keep the federal
- 12 mployees from enslaving the people and, at the same time, tell
- 13 this jury that you had no intent to -- to intentionally prevent
- 14 | federal employees from performing their duties by fear, threat,
- 15 or intimidation?
- 16 A. I have -- especially since I've been incarcerated, I have
- 17 heard and been told, many, many, many times --
- THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Bundy.
- THE COURT: Excuse me.
- 21 MR. KNIGHT: Object on hearsay.
- 22 THE COURT: This is not a question of what the
- 23 | witness has been told since he's been incarcerated.
- The question Mr. Mumford's asking has to do with a
- 25 statement the witness made to the FBI negotiator. So he needs

156

to explain that statement at that time, not based on things that have happened since.

So, Mr. Mumford, please rephrase the question to bring it to his state of mind in the context of that explanation that you're asking -- or that statement that you're asking him to explain.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You -- your testimony is that you did not intend -- at this time or any point -- that -- to prevent federal employees from doing their duties through fear, threat, or intimidation. Is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. How -- how do you reconcile that with the statement to -to FBI Agent Luh during the occupation where you told him, if
 we leave, the federal employees will just keep -- come and
 enslave the people?
 - A. It wouldn't matter who it was. It's not -- the problem is not happening on the level of a refuge employee. That's not where the problem is. So it doesn't matter who is on the level of the refuge employee or the BLM employee level. They're not -- that's not where the problem is.

23 The problem is up above them, what's happening in 24 those decisions. And they won't listen to us. They won't 25 respect us. They won't even consider that we have rights.

157

This has nothing to do with refuge employees and their duties or BLM employees and their duties. Nothing to do with them.

In fact, in many cases, they're as much of a victim as we are. Their towns are also losing their economies and their states are getting taken over as well.

MR. KNIGHT: Excuse me. I'm sorry to interrupt. I'm going to object that it's now unresponsive to the question, asked three times, to explain the inconsistency in the call.

THE COURT: The last part of the witness's statement is stricken with respect to the perspectives of refuge employees.

Ask another question, please.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. So is it your testimony that those statements of yours are inconsistent or not?
- 17 A. No, they're not inconsistent.
- 18 **Q**. Why not?
- 19 A. Be --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 20 MR. KNIGHT: Objection, asked and answered.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled. He may explain.
- THE WITNESS: Because it is not on the employee level where the problem is.
- 24 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 25 Q. Were any of your efforts in proposing to take the refuge on

- 1 January 2nd directed to the employee level, as you -- as you've
- 2 explained it here today?
- 3 A. Absolutely not. On the --
- 4 Q. Go ahead.
- 5 A. They -- they have rights, just like we do. This land is
- 6 theirs, just like it is ours. And absolutely not.
- 7 | Q. What -- what happened -- what happened after this meeting?
- 8 A. January 2nd?
- 9 Q. Yeah.
- 10 A. We separated, and I went over to the parking lot, the
- 11 Safeway, and the rest of them went to the refuge.
- 12 Q. Do you have an understanding, as you sit here today, how
- 13 the others went to the refuge?
- 14 A. I know they just -- they drove there. I know there was --
- 15 because they weren't planning on it, there was, you know, a lot
- 16 of -- you know, I've got to go over here and get this. And
- 17 some didn't have vehicles. And some of them didn't even have
- 18 coats, or anything. And so there was a lot of that and -- you
- 19 know, going on. And I know it took them a couple of hours to
- 20 | get there, or close to.
- 21 Q. Did -- did anyone drive your -- your truck out there?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Who?
- 24 A. I believe it was either my brother Mel or brother Ryan.
- 25 **|** Q. So you didn't leave with the first group to head out to

- 1 the --
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. -- refuge?
- 4 At some point did you leave to go out there?
- 5 A. Yeah. I had -- after the rally.
- 6 Q. So you went to the rally, then. Right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And is that where you made a statement at the rally,
- 9 encouraging people to go out to --
- 10 A. Yeah, that was after the rally. So we went up, you know,
- and did what I explained earlier. And went to the Hammonds,
- 12 and then come back around. And then, you know, everybody was
- 13 kind of standing around. And I stood up on a snowbank there.
- 14 And -- and I think there's a video of it. I don't know if it's
- 15 been shown or not. But -- and I just basically said, those
- 16 that want to continue to protest and make a hard stand, we're
- 17 going to the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, and we're going
- 18 to make a hard stand.
- 19 Q. At this point, as far as you know, did anyone, including
- 20 yourself, have any other agreement, goal, or objective for
- 21 ccupying the refuge besides -- besides what you have stated
- 22 here -- here today?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Do you remember later that night, on January 2nd, making
- 25 statements to a reporter at the refuge?

- A. Yeah, I -- I mean, I talked to a lot of reporters. But I do remember that, yes.
- Q. And you spoke openly about your motivations and what
- 4 your -- your plan was?
- 5 A. Yes. Everything we did was out in the open.
- 6 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I would move to -- I would 7 like to show the witness and move into evidence 1175.
- THE COURT: Subject to the previous discussion, you may proceed.
- MR. MUMFORD: Yes.
- 11 (Pause, Mr. Mumford and Mr. Knight conferring.)
- 12 MR. MUMFORD: So, your Honor, can I play it for --
- 13 THE COURT: Yes. I said you may proceed.
- MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.
- 15 (Video playing with sound.)
- 16 (Video stopped.)
- 17 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 18 | Q. That individual speaking at the end, who was that?
- 19 A. LaVoy Finicum.
- Q. Your statement started with the concept of using land as
- 21 I free men. What were you getting at?
- 22 A. I'm getting at the very core of the issue. People being
- 23 | able to use the land and the resources under local control
- 24 where, first, they can use it for the intended purposes, for
- 25 the benefit of the people -- of the local people. But then if

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

there's an issue that arises where -- say an environmental issue or something happens where something needs to be worked out, it's done among the local people who understand the customs and cultures of those people, who understand the land, who understand the -- the -- the -- who understand the people.

And -- and that's what I mean by that. The land and the resources being free to the people under local control. Where if they have an issue, they can go to the -- and vote a county representative out, vote even a state representative out. But there is no way, right now, that they -- they have any type of say of what goes on in their own backyards. None. And that's what I mean.

Q. You mentioned, in this -- this video a desire -- an intent to do something with unconstitutional land transactions.

Again, without getting into it too far, just explain briefly, what do you mean by those -- when you refer to undoing the unconstitutional land transactions?

A. Well, I hesitate saying this. But there's no dispute, I believe that at one time the refuge was private property.

Meaning, it was private and -- to the people's hands.

And then over a period of time -- now it's primarily owned -- well, the refuge is all owned by the U.S. Government, and it's the jewel of Harney County.

It's what -- it was the heartbeat. It's what

162

provided their economy. If you look at the -- if you look at 1 the Harney County seal -- I wish we still had the sign in here. 2 It has a bull, a cow on one side. And it has trees, forests on 3 the other side. Because that is what drove their economy. 4

forestry industry and the ranching industry. And the refuge was a huge part of that. And when they lost that, it -- it 7 destroyed their economy and it's never recovered.

And so I quess what I'm saying is we have to take and go back and say, Look, where did it go wrong?

And where it went wrong, in my belief -- according to the Constitution -- is when it was transferred unconstitutionally, in my belief, from the -- or from private property to the federal government. Because our charter, in my belief, doesn't allow that to happen.

- When you say our charter --Ο.
- 16 Α. It's -- I'm sorry.
- 17 Q. Go ahead.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 And I say the reason it doesn't allow it to happen is because, in my belief, the founders understood that if it was 19 allowed for an entity like the federal government to go into a 20 county or into a city, or anything, and with all of the 21 22 resources of the people, take and buy it all up, it would 23 destroy the people. They have to have the land and the 24 resources.
 - MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this

163

1 stage. This is now highly cumulative and irrelevant.

THE COURT: Well, we've leave it at that.

Ask another question.

- 4 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 5 Q. There's a mention in this video about guns. Were you --
- 6 were you wearing a gun at the time?
- 7 A. No, I wasn't.
- 8 Q. Do you recall -- do you recall ever wearing a gun during
- 9 the occupation?
- 10 A. No.

2

- 11 Q. Why not?
- 12 A. I didn't need to.
- 13 Q. Did others at the refuge wear guns?
- 14 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 15 Q. Did they hold them?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. They carry them around?
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- 19 Q. To your knowledge, what -- what did they do with them?
- 20 A. They carried them around.
- 21 0. Do you have any -- did you have any -- any understanding as
- 22 to anyone using a gun to threaten somebody or point at somebody
- 23 or shoot somebody?
- 24 A. Absolutely not, and it would not have been tolerated. If
- anything like that would have happened, they would have been

164

1 asked to leave.

- Q. How can you say that for sure?
- 3 A. Well, I guess I can't because it did not happen. But
- 4 | that's -- I would have asked them to leave.
- 5 Q. Did you -- I -- I think we've seen video of the next day,
- 6 where you're asking people to come. And to -- and I think --
- 7 the statement you make is to bring your guns. Right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Why did you say that?
- 10 A. So I might explain the whole gun thing.
- 11 Q. What are you talking about? What do you mean by "the whole
- 12 gun thing"?
- 13 A. Well, I had a -- we -- I'll just say I had a very
- 14 | interesting experience, to say the least, at the Bundy Ranch.
- 15 Q. You mean in 2014?
- 16 A. Correct. And when we tried to peacefully protest -- and
- 17 they're literally shooting our cattle, and we're peacefully
- 18 protesting with signs on public property. And then they began
- 19 to take aggressive -- in my opinion, extreme aggressive action
- 20 against us for doing this. And they physically beat us up.
- 21 And I began to understand that the only way that we
- 22 were going to be able to get this message out is if they
- 23 respected us a little bit.
- 24 And -- and, honestly, I believed that if -- if -- I
- 25 still believe, to this day, if we would have just gone in there

and protested without our Second Amendment rights, without bearing arms, they would have brought their paddy wagons in and zip-tied us up with zip-tie handcuffs and hauled us off. And we would never have been able to tell people why we were there. We never would have got the media attention that we got. We never would have been able to say what was going on and what happened to the Hammonds. And we never would have been able to communicate this problem with the western lands and the federal -- federal government.

Q. You mentioned the Second Amendment.

How did you see what -- what you and -- and your supporters did at the refuge as an exercise of the Second Amendment?

A. Well, the Second Amendment says that we have the right to bear arms. And that it shall not be infringed. And we also -- the First Amendment states that we have a right to assemble, we have the right to speech, the right to the media. We have a right to redress grievances to our government.

And we felt, under this circumstance, that there was no way that they were going to express our -- they were -- there was no way that the FBI and the federal government was going to allow us to express our First Amendment rights to speech, to assemble, to media, to redress grievance -- or to grievance our government. That there was no way that we were going to be able to do that unless we expressed our Second

- 1 Amendment right, the right to bear arms.
- 2 Q. From your -- during the occupation from January 2nd through
- 3 to the day you were arrested on January 26, how -- how many
- 4 people would you say -- would you estimate came to the refuge?
- 5 A. I would -- I would say, you know, probably a little over a
- 6 thousand people.
- 7 Q. What -- what -- what kind of people came? Would you -- can
- 8 you characterize that?
- 9 A. Yeah. All kinds. Families, ministers, the local people,
- 10 including families and ministers. People from out of state.
- 11 People from out of the county. Media. Protesters against us.
- 12 People that were worried about their -- feeding the birds.
- 13 People that wanted to know if they were going to be able to
- 14 have their bird-watching events. People that were highly
- 15 concerned about the environment, ranchers, all groups of
- 16 people. Old, young, children. All kinds of people.
- 17 Q. Did -- did families come?
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- 19 Q. Did -- did political -- or elected officials come?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall from -- from where?
- 22 A. From -- from Oregon, from Washington state, from Idaho,
- 23 | from Nevada, from Utah. I know for sure those states.
- 24 Q. What -- do you recall what they did when they arrived?
- 25 Excuse me. Can you recall what they did when they arrived

167

1 there?

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

A. It seemed like most of them were, first, very interested on what was going on. Like -- and because of the media, what was happening. And so they would mostly just start asking questions, look around. And, you know, try to get themselves

7 Q. What did you tell them about what you were doing there?

oriented with what was happening.

A. Well, if they were interested and wanted to learn more,

then we usually asked them to join one of our presentations.

10 And that's when we gave the presentation that you saw the white

11 board on.

And that presentation fully explained what we were doing there and why we were doing it and why we felt it was right and just -- and we were justified to -- to be there and to do it.

- Q. Did you -- did you get an understanding as to -- as to what their -- what they did in -- in response, at all?
- A. Well, I know a lot of them went back to their communities
 and began to articulate what we presented. And I know that
 because many of them organized community meetings in their
 communities and scheduled with us to give that same
 presentation.

And we did some of that by Skype. But then we also scheduled actual physical meetings with some of the people in -- in, you know, the closer-by areas, closer counties, and

- 1 so forth.
- 2 Q. Were you in -- were you in touch with any, you know,
- 3 | federal elected officials while were you there?
- 4 A. Yeah. So at the -- I think it was just a few days after we
- 5 were in there and we were getting a lot of media attention,
- 6 representative Greg Walden -- which is a congressmen -- a U.S.
- 7 congressmen that represents Oregon and Harney County -- he gave
- 8 a speech on the Congress floor.
- And he -- in reference to what we were there for.
- 10 And we were also in contact with his office after that.
- MR. MUMFORD: Can we -- can we show the witness just
- 12 a screenshot, if we can, of Exhibit 1191, please. And to the
- 13 | jury, too.
- 14 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 15 Q. This was the -- this was the talk, then, that you --
- 16 A. Yeah, this is it.
- 17 Q. And, now, in this talk, did you -- did you -- you found out
- 18 about this talk after the fact?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. How long after the fact?
- 21 A. I think it was probably the same day. It was just -- I
- 22 didn't watch it as he gave it.
- I don't even know if we could watch it as he give it,
- 24 but I didn't watch it as he gave it.
- 25 Q. And do you have an understanding of what date this would

- 1 have been on the floor of the house?
- 2 | A. I want to say the 5th, but I'm not exactly sure.
- 3 Q. Okay. So somewhere -- one of the first several days that
- 4 **∥** you were there. Right?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. And did -- did Mr. Walden ever say he agreed with what you
- 7 had done?
- 8 A. No, he didn't.
- 9 Q. Did you have an understanding that he -- but did you have
- 10 an understanding of what -- what he said regarding what you
- 11 were trying to accomplish?
- 12 A. Yes. He made -- he articulated it very, very well. He
- 13 understood the issue. And, in fact, he was extremely
- 14 | frustrated himself because he had made laws --
- MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object now to
- 16 the characterization --
- 17 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Stop there.
- 18 Disregard the last sentence. You can get into the witness's
- 19 | frustration but not the congressman's.
- Go ahead.
- 21 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. And, Mr. Bundy, did you view his -- Mr. Walden's comments
- 23 that day as supportive?
- 24 A. I did, absolutely.
- 25 | Q. Why?

1 A. Because he was articulating, in my view, exactly how I

- 2 | felt. And being a representative -- U.S. representative,
- 3 standing on the Congress floor, I began to understand that what
- 4 we were doing was working. That it was working. They were
- 5 actually starting to listen.
- And he's on -- he's on the -- he -- the floor,
- 7 articulating these -- these issues and these pains. It was
- 8 working.
- 9 Q. Did that encourage you to stay?
- 10 A. Absolutely.
- 11 | Q. And how -- how -- how many -- how much
- 12 communication -- how much communication would you say you had
- 13 | had with Congressman Walden, or other elected officials'
- 14 office, while were you at the refuge in January 2016?
- 15 A. Are you talking about state -- all of that --
- 16 representatives? Or just --
- 17 Q. Let's take them separately. Federal?
- 18 A. I would say -- I would say at least four conversations. At
- 19 least four conversations.
- 20 | Q. And those were with Congressman Walden's office, then?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. How about with a state or local officials?
- 23 A. I couldn't count those. We had many.
- Q. Now, at some point at the refuge, did -- was the -- was --
- 25 was -- was the -- was the sign changed?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Why?

5

6

7

8

- A. Because that was one of the requirements to begin to implement adverse possession.
 - Q. You say one of the requirements.

What are you referring to there?

- A. My understanding is you have to go in and make it known what your intent is.
- Make it known what your -- what you're planning on doing. And you need to take claim of it. You have to show that you're taking claim of it.

And we felt the best way to do that was to take the
front sign into the entrance of the refuge and to put a new -put -- and claim it. And we renamed the refuge as the Harney
County Resource Center.

- Q. Was there a discussion as to why that name?
- 17 A. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. Why?

25

A. Well, we -- we -- one is we're in Harney County and this is for the people. It's a local -- it's the local people's. We were here to get the resources back into the people's hands.

And we felt that this facility, the -- these buildings, and so forth, would make a wonderful place to encourage and to assist the people in using, claiming, and even defending their rights

to the resources. The -- to the land and resources. And so

172

1 | that was our determination of -- to rename it.

- 2 Q. Did -- did you take other -- because of how you approached
- 3 this from a mindset of, hey, we're going to go in and adversely
- 4 possess this refuge, did you take other steps to -- that you
- 5 understood were the legally required steps?
- 6 A. Yeah, we did.
- 7 Q. What were those?
- 8 A. Well, the -- to control the egress and -- the egress of the
- 9 entrance.
- 10 Q. And how did you did do that?
- 11 A. We did that by taking a vehicle and putting it in the
- 12 entrance. There was no gate. Otherwise, we would have just
- 13 done it with the gate. There was no gate there. There was a
- 14 fence but not a gate. So we did it that way.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, what were -- what were some of the other
- 16 requirements that you understood there were in staking claim?
- 17 A. And we had people there, at -- at the entrance, to
- 19 let them in.
- 20 Also, we flew the American flag high. We climbed up
- 21 on top of that large tower, fire tower, and we put up the
- 22 American flag, and flew it as high as we possibly could.
- 23 We also began to change the signage on the vehicles.
- 24 And anything pretty much that had the U.S. Government
- 25 emblems on it, we, through adverse possession -- again, the law

173

requires that we take claim of it, and we began to do that. We also went and contacted the utility companies and expressed our desire to transfer the utilities over into our name.

And -- and also we wanted to pay the bill, and made sure that they understood that we were there and intended to pay for those services.

And we also opened up a post office box and -- I guess, address, I should say, to -- under our name, with -- under that address.

And these are all parts of taking claim of -- of -- of a -- of a land in an effort to distinguish title through -- or extinguish title through -- quiet title through adverse possession.

- Q. Now, you know the federal -- the post office is a federal post office. Right?
- 16 A. I do know that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

- Q. Why did you think you could go and open -- open up -- you know, get mail there with the post office?
- A. We did. We went and got mail back and forth. They did
 open up, you know, an address for us. And they were very
 friendly, and we were very friendly to them. And the reason
 for that is because that is one of the constituted authorities
 that the people give the federal government to administrate.

So the Constitution allows the federal government to have post offices. And isn't it a wonderful, beautiful thing

174

1 that we all enjoy is our post offices? And that's one of the

2 | things that -- that the people give the federal government the

authority to do. And so, obviously, we had no problem with

- 4 | that.
- 5 Q. Now, you -- you say, you tried to get the power put in your
- 6 name?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Were you successful in that?
- 9 A. We actually weren't.
- 10 Q. Did you use the vehicles?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And why -- why did you do that?
- 13 A. Well, we needed them, and we were taking claim of them.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, did you take steps to care -- and take care of
- 15 the property while you were there?
- 16 A. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. Why?
- 18 A. Well, one is it was rundown, and it needed maintenance and
- 19 it needed cleanup. And so we began to do that but we did it as
- 20 our property.
- 21 We have to -- in order to take claim of it under
- 22 adverse possession, you have to beneficially use it and you
- 23 | have to improve it. You have to show that you're improving it.
- 24 And we did that. And we -- we continued to do that. And had
- 25 even greater plans to do even more.

175

1 Q. There was testimony about the fuel tanks.

Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

2

- 4 Q. And I believe there was some testimony about -- pointing
- 5 out that there were some -- some -- some black lines
- 6 | that were -- that were made there. Right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And did -- did you understand that those black lines were
- 9 made on that -- those tanks at the time so that you could make
- 10 sure and keep track of any fuel that -- that were used?
- 11 A. That's correct. Yeah. We went and took and dipped all of
- 12 the tanks and found out the level of the fuel, and we marked it
- on the outside. And that was the level of the fuel when we
- 14 came into the refuge.
- 15 Q. How were you going to pay for it?
- 16 A. What do you mean?
- 17 Q. How were you going to pay for the fuel that you used?
- 18 **∥** A. We were going to -- if we needed them filled up, it was
- 19 going to be our bill. If we were going to use it, it was going
- 20 to be our bill.
- 21 Q. If -- if someone had come and said, Hey, that's my fuel in
- 22 those tanks that you guys are using, what were you going to do?
- 23 A. I didn't really know that. I never -- I never even thought
- 24 that far, to be honest with you. We never -- we did mark where
- 25 they were at but it was just not a thought that I had. I knew

176

that if we needed to fill them up, though, of course that would

be our -- our responsibility, our bill to do that.

We were taking responsibility to take care of the facility and to treat it correctly as responsible individuals should.

- Q. Do you understand that you were making improvements, then?
- 7 A. Absolutely.

3

4

5

- 8 Q. Was that important?
- 9 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 10 Q. Why? Why?
- 11 A. Again, it's part of -- we have to improve the property. We
- 12 have to show we're improving it, show that we're making it
- 13 better, show that we're beneficially using it.
- 14 Q. You say you have to do it. Why do you have to do it?
- 15 A. Because that's the law.
- 16 Q. That's the law of what?
- 17 A. That's my belief of the law. That you have to improve the
- property in order to claim adverse possession in order to quiet
- 19 title.
- 20 Q. Now, do you have an understanding -- I guess what -- did
- 21 you believe you had to do the -- what did you think at the time
- 22 that the Government would do to address your adverse possession
- 23 claim?
- 24 A. I thought that they would do what everybody else was
- 25 required to do.

- 1 Q. Which was?
- 2 A. If they wanted to dispute our claim on the property, that
- 3 they would go to the courts and try to trespass us and serve us
- 4 with -- with -- serve us with an eviction notice.
- 5 Q. Did you -- did you want to invite that?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Why?
- 8 A. Because then what it would do is it would put us in a civil
- 9 court where we could dispute the title and these land issues.
- 10 Where we can say, look, this is why we don't believe that it's
- 11 yours.
- 12 That's -- it was -- it was driven to accomplish what
- 13 we came to accomplish. But if they did get a court to trespass
- 14 us and evict us, then it would put us in the court of law,
- 15 where we can dispute all of these things that they have been
- 16 | ignoring us; where we can talk about Article I, 8, 17, and
- 17 dispute it in a court of law; where we can talk about the title
- 18 disputes with the refuge in the court of law, and where we can
- 19 get these things straightened out. And so we did welcome it,
- 20 yes.
- 21 | Q. Fair to say -- fair to say you wanted to force the federal
- 22 government to prove that they owned it legitimate --
- 23 | legitimately?
- 24 A. Absolutely. We were prepared for that, and we have been
- 25 prepared for that. But they continue to ignore us, continue to

1 do what has happened to us now, where they -- they get us

2 (pause) basically, here we are arguing whether we impeded a

3 | federal officer's duty, when the whole argument should have

4 been whether this is their land in the first place. And we

5 tried to create that. And then what they did is they just used

their guns on us one more time.

And they killed my friend and fellow rancher, LaVoy Finicum.

MR. KNIGHT: Object to that as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: The last sentence is stricken as

nonresponsive.

12 Please continue, Mr. Mumford.

- BY MR. MUMFORD:
- Q. You weren't doing this in -- you weren't -- were you
- 15 staking claim to this property in your personal name?
- 16 A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 17 Q. What name?
- 18 A. Harney County Resource Center.
- 19 Or, I mean, we did it -- we formed an organization.
- 20 Q. And what was that organization that you formed?
- 21 A. We called it Citizens for Constitutional Freedom.
- 22 Q. How did you come about that name?
- 23 | A. We felt that it represented who we were. And -- and we
- 24 needed to have a unified name so that we could begin to
- 25 articulate, you know, all that we were doing.

And -- and so that's -- that's -- I mean, I think the name for itself, Citizens for Constitutional Freedom, is -- is pretty self-explanatory.

- Q. How were -- how were the date -- day-to-day affairs at the refuge managed while you were there from January 2nd to January 26?
- A. You mean like decisions and stuff? Or --
- 8 Q. Sure.

A. Pretty much every morning, any -- anybody who wanted to, we got together as a group and we discussed things that needed to happen, things that needed to be done. They were thrown on the table. And typically someone volunteered .to do it. And -- I'll do that or I'll do this or I'll do that. And so then we made note of who volunteered that -- it to be done, and they would go out and do it.

And then the next day we would come together again, and we would follow up about how it went, if they got it done. And we would discuss further about what should be done, what needs to be done, and throw it on the table again. And most of the time someone would volunteer, I'll do that or I'll do that. And that's mainly how the decisions were made.

- Q. What was -- how would you characterize your role?
- 23 A. I think I was one of those that kept track of who
 24 volunteered to do it. We would always pray before, and -25 and -- and so a lot of times I would say, "Let's pray," or

1 something, you know. And, I guess, initiate the meeting.

2 Meaning, okay, who -- who has -- what needs to be done? And

- 3 so, yeah, that's -- but it --
- 4 Q. Now, you've been described as a leader of this.
- 5 Is that how you would describe yourself?
- A. Yeah, but I need to clarify that I don't tell other men what to do. I -- I don't do that.
- I believe, as taught by someone much wiser than me,
- 9 that you teach a person correct principles and you let them
- 10 govern themselves, and that's how I believe. And so -- and I
- 11 have found that to be, in my life, the most effective way to
- 12 help people to do what they feel is right. You teach them
- 13 correct principles, and you let them govern themselves.
- 14 Q. Was -- was there -- there were -- there were regular press
- 15 conferences. Is that right?
- 16 A. Yeah. Every -- every day, at 11 o'clock, we had a press
- 17 conference out by the entrance of the refuge.
- 18 | Q. Did you make -- did you attend most of those -- all of
- 19 those, I guess?
- 20 A. Not all of them but most of them, yeah.
- 21 Q. Did you speak on behalf of the Citizens for Constitutional
- 22 Freedom at those?
- 23 A. I did, yeah.
- Q. Was there anyone else who would speak on behalf of the
- 25 Citizens for Constitutional Freedom at those?

A. Bundy - D - By Mr. Mumford

181

A. Yeah, I -- it's -- it's very tiring to continue to be

pressed by so much media. And LaVoy was always there. And he

was, I think, even more articulate than I was. And we decided

that LaVoy would be a great spokesman for us.

And so he began to take on those press conferences, and -- and did very well.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. Mumford.

Please find a logical place for a break for the afternoon recess.

MR. MUMFORD: Okay. Just one -- one more question here.

- 12 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 13 Q. Did -- were you elected to be a spokesperson at all?
- 14 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What -- what was it that sort of made you and Mr. Finicum sort of assume that role of spokespersons?
 - A. I think most -- mostly our ability to articulate the issues, which I would say come from our understanding of them.

 And -- and I had been involved with the Hammonds, you know, from -- and driven to do all that I said and have testified here that I did. And -- and so -- and -- and LaVoy understood those. And Ryan also articulated those well. And there was others. It wasn't that there was only one, and no one else could. I mean, as you've seen, certainly not that. And that's

not how we -- we didn't feel that it was our authority to

182 Colloquy restrict people from speaking. 1 We just did most of it through LaVoy. 2 3 You -- you miss your friend? Q. Α. Yeah, I do. 4 MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor. 5 This is a good time for a break. 6 7 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, 15 minutes, please. 8 I wanted to acknowledge that I did get your message 9 that you're willing to have tomorrow run till about 3:00, 3:30, 10 so thank you for that. We'll plan accordingly. 11 Please leave your notes on the chair. Please do not 12 discuss the case. We'll be back with you in about 15 minutes. 13 Everyone stand, please, for the jurors. 14 15 Watch your step, folks. 16 (Jurors exit at 3:03 p.m.) 17 THE COURT: All right. Everyone be seated, please, 18 while Mr. Bundy, Mr. Bundy, and Mr. Fry leave. And then we'll take about a ten-, 12-minute recess. 19 We'll clear what the afternoon plan is. 20 I need to know, when we come back, Mr. Mumford, 21 22 whether you wish to interrupt the continued direct to put on Ms. Fiore. 23 24 MR. MUMFORD: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: We'll discuss that in ten.

```
183
                                 Colloquy
               MR. MUMFORD: I'm sorry, your Honor. I'm just in
 1
 2
     pain.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Ten minutes, please.
               (Recess taken at 3:04 p.m.)
 4
               (Court resumes at 3:16 p.m.)
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Please be seated, everyone.
 6
 7
               Can someone bring Mr. Olson and Mr. Salisbury in from
 8
     outside, please.
 9
               MR. MUMFORD: Go ahead.
10
               THE COURT: We're still waiting for Mr. Olson and
11
    Mr. Salisbury.
12
               Can someone get them in, please.
               MR. MUMFORD: I'll go get them.
13
               (Pause.)
14
               THE COURT: Hold on a minute. We need Mr. Banta.
15
                                                                   We
    need Ms. Ludwig, please.
16
17
               MS. LUDWIG: I'm present, Judge.
18
               (Pause.)
               THE COURT: All right. Is everyone here?
19
               Yes, including Mr. Philpot.
20
               MR. PHILPOT: Yeah. Your Honor, I apologize for not
21
22
    having presented myself prior. I have been here all day. I've
23
    been in the adjoining room with witnesses, trying to
24
     streamline -- as your Honor has requested -- and make sure
     we're not wasting time or -- and so I would like to continue
25
```

with that, if that's all right. Otherwise, I can also be here.

But I'm in constant communication with our legal team.

THE COURT: That's fine. You are, however, the key to Mr. Mumford having the status to even proceed. And not appearing and then both of you being 20 minutes late this morning is not acceptable.

MR. PHILPOT: Yes, your Honor. I understand.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Mumford.

MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

At this point, your Honor, in Mr. Bundy's examination, we -- we would like to request a -- a convenience to put on two witnesses, your Honor.

The first one may be Ms. Michele Fiore. Ms. Michele Fiore has a preexisting flight out of town tonight. So I believe -- as I told, your Honor, I believe my examination is ten minutes. I do not believe -- if -- it -- well, there may be some examination, but it's not very long at all.

The next one, your Honor, that we want to get on today is Mr. Rapolla. It turns out I was wrong in what I told the Court previously, simply because Mr. Rapolla thought we were going to be able to call him on Monday. And when it was explained to him that Monday is a holiday, then it became a conflict for his schedule. So we would like to get him on the stand. I think Ms. Tiffany Harris is going to take the lead in putting him on.

185 Colloguy THE COURT: So what are the issues with respect to 1 2 Mr. Rapolla, from the Government's perspective? 3 MR. GABRIEL: Yes, your Honor. The video that the defense would like to play through 4 Mr. Rapolla contains statements that are not from any of the 5 defendants or from Mr. Rapolla himself. 6 7 We believe that they're hearsay, and we don't know 8 that the -- we just don't know the intent for offering them. 9 MS. HARRIS: I could make this fast and say that we 10 would be happy to play it without volume. 11 THE COURT: Okay. What's the purpose of showing the 12 video at all? What's the proffer here? MS. HARRIS: Sure. Mr. Rapolla is going to be 13 talking about the events and the situation surrounding 14 Government Exhibit 110. That's an individual who's standing on 15 the side of the road. He's got a semiautomatic weapon or 16 17 He's got sort of a bushy beard. This exhibit was shown rifle. 18 during Mr. Karges' testimony. And so Mr. Rapolla, who was there when that photo was 19 taken, can explain who that person is, what they were doing, 20 21

why he was there, how long he was there; in that fashion. And what was taking place at the refuge while he was there. And so --

THE COURT: So what day is this that the --

MS. HARRIS: January 9th.

22

23

24

	Colloquy 186
1	THE COURT: All right. So Mr
2	MS. HARRIS: Sorry.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Gabriel, does the Government have any
4	objection to the witness giving percipient testimony about what
5	he knows about that person in that photo, without or that
6	video, without there being any sound?
7	MR. GABRIEL: No. No objection to that, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: So, see, if there had been some
9	communication, again, we wouldn't have had to spend two minutes
10	here to do this.
11	Are we clear, also, on Ms. Fiore?
12	MR. KNIGHT: Yes. I mean, I
13	THE COURT: As previously discussed?
14	MR. KNIGHT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mumford, are you ready?
16	MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Someone please bring
18	Ms. Fiore in.
19	MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor
20	THE COURT: Just a minute. I can't talk to two
21	people at once.
22	(Pause, Court and clerk conferring.)
23	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Mumford.
24	MR. MUMFORD: Yes, thank you, your Honor.
25	Judge, just when the jury gets in, perhaps just a

187 Colloquy brief explanation that Mr. -- Mr. Bundy's [sic] off to 1 2 accommodate witnesses. 3 Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Fiore, would you come here, please, 4 to the witness chair. You can walk up along the front of the 5 jury box there. 6 7 Please watch your step coming on up. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead and make yourself comfortable. 10 Take a seat. 11 When the jurors come in, all of us will stand, and 12 then I'll indicate people who should be seated. I'll need you to remain standing. At that point, 13 I'll have you face the jurors and the deputy, who will be over 14 to your right, to take an oath. And then we'll begin your 15 testimony. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 THE CLERK: All right. Please bring the jury in, 19 Ms. Stephens. 20 Everybody, please stand for the jurors. (Jurors enter at 3:24 p.m.) 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 23 24 Jurors, welcome back for your last session today. You'll see a different witness here before you. This 25

```
188
                        Fiore - D - By Mr. Mumford
     is defendants' next witness, Michele Fiore.
 1
 2
               We're interrupting Mr. Bundy's continued direct
 3
     testimony in order to accommodate a schedule here.
               Ma'am, would you please face the jury and the deputy
 4
     there, and raise your right hand to be sworn.
 5
               (Witness sworn.)
 6
               THE WITNESS: I do.
 7
 8
               THE CLERK: Please have a seat.
 9
               THE COURT: Bring yourself close in to the microphone
10
     there, please.
11
               Tell us your full name and spell all of it.
12
               THE WITNESS: My name is Michele Fiore, and I spell
13
     it M-I-C-H-E-L-E. F, as in flower, I-O-R-E.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
14
               Mr. Mumford.
15
16
               MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.
17
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. MUMFORD:
18
         Ms. -- Ms. Fiore where do you live?
19
         I live in Las Vegas, Nevada.
20
     Α.
         What do you do there?
21
     Q.
22
         I'm an assemblywoman, a lawmaker for the state of Nevada
    for district four.
23
24
         How long have you served in that capacity?
```

25

Α.

Two terms.

- 1 Q. Since when?
- 2 A. To -- my first election was 2012.
- 3 Q. Are you involved with a -- an organization with the
- 4 initials C-O-W-S?
- 5 A. I am.
- 6 Q. What is that?
- 7 A. That's the Coalition of Western States.
- 8 Q. It goes by COWS then?
- 9 A. It goes by COWS.
- 10 Q. What's -- what's -- what's the role of COWS?
- 11 A. The role of COWS is we have a couple of divisions, the
- 12 elected official division. We -- our goal is to promote and
- 13 secure liberties against tyrannical government.
- 14 Q. When did COWS -- when did COWS come into existence?
- 15 A. April of 2014.
- 16 Q. What -- what -- are you familiar with the origins there?
- 17 A. I am familiar with what happened in April of 2014, in
- 18 Nevada.
- 19 Q. What was that?
- 20 A. Bunkerville.
- 21 0. Did you know an individual named Mr. Ammon Bundy?
- 22 A. I met Ammon in April of 2014.
- 23 Q. The -- this individual sitting next to me?
- 24 A. That individual sitting right next to you.
- 25 Q. You went to Bunkerville?

- 1 | A. I did.
- Q. Briefly describe. What -- what was your purpose in going
- 3 to Bunkerville?
- 4 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm sorry, but I'm going to 5 object as relevant.
- 6 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 7 Let's please get to the issues related to this case,
- 8 Mr. Mumford.
- 9 MR. MUMFORD: I thought I was, your Honor.
- 10 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 11 Q. The -- did you meet Mr. Bundy at Bunkerville?
- 12 A. I did. I was called as an elected official for my state,
- when my constituents call me and there's an issue.
- 14 A lot of my constituents were calling me, explaining
- 15 there was a problem with the federal government in Bunkerville.
- I didn't believe them, so I went to Bunkerville.
- 17 Kind of like a mom. When your kids say there's a monster under
- 18 the bed and you don't think so. So I wanted to go there, to
- 19 show them there was no monster under the bed. But there was a
- 20 big monster.
- 21 0. Without getting into the specifics, Ms. -- Ms. --
- 22 Ms. Fiore, is it fair to say your views changed as a result
- 23 of --
- 24 A. Huge.
- 25 | O. -- Bunkerville?

191

1 A. As --

2

3

4

5

8

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Fiore, you just need to just answer the question and not elaborate, and counsel will direct you.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: We need to -- we need to keep it on track
here.

Ask your question again, please.

- 9 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- Did you -- did you -- how much time would you say you
- spent with Mr. Ammon Bundy at Bunkerville?
- 13 A. In April, I was on the ground for 14 days, going back and 14 forth.
- Q. Did you -- after -- after the resolution, were you there when the resolution took place?
- 17 A. On April 14th?
- 18 | Q. Yeah.
- 19 A. I was in Bunkerville, yes.
- Q. We saw -- the jury saw a -- a video of the -- sort of the standoff there.
- Were you -- were you there when -- when the standoff took place?
- 24 A. I was in Bunkerville. I wasn't in the wash.
- 25 Q. Were you --

- 1 A. I was above the wash.
- Q. You -- did you remain in -- in contact with Mr. Ammon Bundy
- 3 after the events of April 2014?
- 4 A. Absolutely. We immediately began working on the next
- 5 legislative session to create laws so this would never happen
- 6 again in our state.
- 7 | Q. Was that -- was that -- was the legislation that came out
- 8 of your joint efforts AB408?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you remained in -- in touch with Mr. Bundy through the
- 11 end of 2015 and beginning of 2016?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. Did -- did there -- did there come a time when you helped
- 14 organize a -- a -- a legislative visit to the -- the -- the
- 15 refuge in January of 2016?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Approximately when did that take place?
- 18 A. It took place January 9th. I missed my flight. I couldn't
- 19 make it, so I attended that meeting via phone.
- 20 Q. And you say you had attended the meeting. Was that the
- 21 meeting at the refuge or -- or was there -- were there meetings
- 22 in advance?
- 23 A. No, the Coalition of Western States took our elected
- 24 official division and met with the Harney County elected
- 25 officials, FBI, some of the sheriffs, a judge, to discuss

basically if there were any state or federal laws broken. And as of that meeting, there were none.

MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object, your Honor; the witness's characterization whether the law was broken.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

The jurors can keep the answer in the record for purposes of just what this witness's purpose was there. But, again, these issues about laws and their -- whether they're broken or not are questions that are for you, subject to the Court's instructions on the laws here. This has to do with simply the purpose for which she was going.

Move on, please.

MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. So this is the -- explain -- explain what the purpose of trying to organize a visit to the refuge was in January 2016.
- A. The purpose of the visit to the refuge was to make sure that fellow Nevada constituents and constituents of the western United States, there were no laws broken for the state or the federal. And that purpose was verified in that meeting with the Oregon state elected officials --

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object again.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Jurors, disregard the question and the answer.

Counsel, please just keep it to what you know to be

1 relevant here.

2 MR. MUMFORD: Thank you.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Did the legislative team end up going to the refuge after that meeting that you're talking about?
- 6 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And do you recall who -- who -- who was part of that?
 - A. There were several members present at the meeting.

I know from the elected official division of Coalition of Western States, a representative of Washington, an attorney, Matt Shea, had went to the refuge, along with Idaho representative Heather Scott and along with Idaho representative Judy Boyle.

There was an Oregon state representative. I believe his name was Dixon (phonetic). Please verify. There were a couple of other Washington legislatives that have not been indoctrinated into COWS. However, there were other lawmakers that I'm not familiar with coming along with some of our COWS representatives.

- 21 | Q. And did -- did -- did the legislative team meet with
- 22 Mr. Ammon Bundy at the refuge in January of 2016, then?
- 23 A. Yes, they did.
- 24 Q. And without -- without giving a lot of detail here, did
- 25 Mr. Bundy give a presentation?

- 1 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Did you understand that presentation? One of the issues
- 3 discussed was why they were there?
- 4 A. Yes, according to my reps, when I spoke with them when they
- 5 left, absolutely.
- 6 MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to this line of
- 7 questioning. Basis of knowledge, your Honor. The last answer
- 8 suggested the witness was not present for the presentation.
- 9 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The question
- 10 and answer are stricken.
- 11 Please rephrase your question to elicit this
- 12 witness's personal knowledge, not based on what others have
- 13 told her. Please move on.
- MR. MUMFORD: Sure. I was trying to -- I thought I
- 15 was following the Court's instruction there.
- 16 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 17 Q. The -- you were part of the team that organized this trip
- 18 to the refuge. Right?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 20 Q. And, in doing so, you wanted to figure out for
- 21 yourselves -- see for yourselves what was happening there. Is
- 22 | that fair?
- 23 A. That is very fair.
- 24 Q. And without -- without getting into the substance of what
- 25 was said, what -- what actions -- what actions did COWS take as

196

1 a result of their time on the refuge?

A. We continued to reach out to the Oregon legislators.

Once our elected team of COWS verified that no Oregon state laws were broken --

MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object your Honor.

THE WITNESS: -- we made sure.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Fiore.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: Stop making statements about breaking the

law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Jurors, disregard the statement.

Ask the question again and please --

(Pause, witness speaking inaudibly to the Court.)

THE COURT: Ms. Fiore, don't express your opinion about whether laws were broken or not, period.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

17 THE COURT: Ask a new question, please. Say again.

18 BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. Without expressing your opinion, Ms. Fiore, as to whether
- 20 laws were broken, is it -- does the COWS organization have a
- 21 practice of going and talking to people who are breaking the
- 22 | law?
- 23 A. No. We don't want to talk to people that break the law.
- Q. And so is it fair to say you would have done your due
- 25 diligence --

1 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor --

2 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. This is a

3 | line of questioning I've told you not to pursue. Please get to

- the relevant matters so that the witness can make her flight,
- 5 as you requested.
- 6 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 7 Q. And you went -- and your team went to the refuge. Right?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And Ms. -- Ms. -- Ms. Fiore, did there come a time,
- 10 even prior to that, where after having a conversation with
- 11 Mr. Ammon Bundy, you called up the local sheriff of Harney
- 12 | County?
- 13 A. I did. I got to have a pleasant conversation with Sheriff
- 14 Ward.
- 15 Q. Approximately when?
- 16 A. I believe it was the latter part of December.
- 17 Q. Approximately how long?
- 18 A. A long conversation. About 30 minutes.
- 19 | Q. Without getting into what he told you, what did you tell
- 20 | him?
- 21 A. I expressed what happened in Nevada. I expressed that
- 22 thank goodness, because of our sheriff -- Doug Gillespie at the
- 23 time -- we have a new sheriff. That our sheriff -- all
- 24 sheriffs are in control of their counties. And he was
- 25 absolutely in control of his county. He could absolutely deny

1 the Bureau of Land Management to proceed --

MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This is irrelevant and nonresponsive.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Ask a question that's admissible, please.

MR. MUMFORD: It's not unrelevant, given Mr. Ward's testimony in this matter.

THE COURT: All right. Tell me the purpose for which you're seeking to offer this.

MR. MUMFORD: It's impeaching his testimony. Because Mr. Ward testified that one of the reasons why he took the actions that he did or didn't, in this case, was because he -- he did some research, he said, on the Internet --

THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Mumford, this witness may testify about statements Sheriff Ward made to her that are inconsistent with his direct testimony. That would be impeachment. But she may not testify about statements she made to him.

Now, the -- if you're calling her for an impeachment purpose as to Ward, you have to have her testify with respect to a statement that he made inconsistent with his trial testimony.

So go there.

MR. MUMFORD: And -- and, your Honor, I was getting there by covering what --

THE COURT: Then don't cover inadmissible material.

I am not going to permit this.

Please ask the question about what it is Mr. Ward told her that you contend is inconsistent with his direct testimony.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

- Q. Did -- did Sheriff Ward tell you anything that would suggest that Mr. Bundy had given him threats, ultimatums, improperly before -- before that time that you spoke with him?

 A. No. Actually, Mr. Ward --
- MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the form of the question. This is not proper impeachment. It is our position that a basis and a foundation has not been laid.

THE COURT: I agree. I agree.

The witness -- there has to be laid a foundation that Sheriff Ward -- excuse me. Mr. Bundy, be quiet.

There has to be laid a foundation that Sheriff Ward --

(Pause, Mr. Mumford and Defendant Ammon Bundy conferring.)

THE COURT: There has to be laid a foundation that Sheriff Ward made a statement to the jury in his testimony that you contend is to be impeached by the witness testifying that he said something else. So lay that foundation and move on, otherwise --

200

1 MR. MUMFORD: Okay. Thank you.

- 2 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 3 Q. So you spoke with Sheriff Ward during this call about
- 4 Bunkerville?
- 5 **A**. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did he express concern or alarm about what Mr. Bundy had
- 7 done in Bunkerville?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. In fact, what did he say about -- what -- what did he say
- 10 about Bunkerville at that time?
- MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm going to
- 12 object. This is being done backwards. There is no foundation
- 13 with respect to the direct testimony that would allow this
- 14 | line --
- THE COURT: This is the foundation I'm pointing to,
- 16 Mr. Mumford.
- You need to lay the foundation as to what the sheriff
- 18 said to the jury that this witness will impeach.
- 19 Please make your foundation. Move on.
- MR. MUMFORD: (Standing.) Your Honor, I'm sorry
- 21 (laughing). I'm sorry, your Honor. I thought I was -- at one
- 22 point, I was probably a better lawyer than I am now. I --
- 23 THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Mumford, if you contend the
- 24 sheriff said something in his testimony, point to it. And then
- 25 ask the witness if he said to her something different.

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 201 of 241 201 Fiore - D - By Mr. Mumford MR. MUMFORD: Hold on. 1 2 (Pause, referring.) 3 MR. MUMFORD: Your Honor, I'm referring to the testimony that Sheriff Ward gave where he said --4 THE COURT: Speak up, please, so we can all hear you. 5 MR. MUMFORD: I was referring, your Honor, to the 6 7 testimony that -- that Mr. -- that Sheriff Ward gave where he 8 said he had researched some of the events that had gone on in 9 Bunkerville. 10 THE COURT: So if the witness can say he had not 11 researched it, then that is an impeaching statement. That's 12 the point. You need to take whatever the sheriff testified to, 13 that statement. If the witness says the sheriff said something 14 15 different, like, "I did not research it," that's an impeachable statement. 16 17 Please move on. MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor. 18 I'm referring to the testimony where Sheriff Ward 19 20 said --THE COURT: And, as I say, if this witness says the 21

THE COURT: And, as I say, if this witness says the sheriff denied doing that, that's impeaching.

BY MR. MUMFORD:

22

23

24

25

Q. Did the -- Sheriff Ward, in your conversation, say that he was -- it scared the heck out of him, what happened at

- 1 Bunkerville?
 - A. No.

- 3 MR. KNIGHT: I'm sorry. I'm not understanding the
- 4 | foundation, given the preceding statement about the context of
- 5 the testimony.
- 6 THE COURT: Please try again with your foundation.
- 7 The objection is sustained.
- 8 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 9 Q. Page 159.
- 10 THE COURT: And don't quote from a rough. You can
- 11 paraphrase, remember.
- MR. MUMFORD: That's what I'm doing.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 15 Q. Did Mr. -- Mr. Ward tell you that it scared the hell out of
- 16 him?
- 17 A. Mr. Ward said complimentary things about Ammon, and he was
- 18 not fearful of Ammon.
- 19 MR. KNIGHT: That's --
- 20 THE COURT: That's not impeaching. The objection is
- 21 sustained.
- Jurors, disregard the question and the answer.
- 23 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 24 Q. In speaking with him, did Bunkerville come up in the
- 25 context of talking about Ammon?

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 203 of 241 203 Fiore - D - By Mr. Mumford Yes. 1 Α. 2 Ο. How? Mr. Ward -- I explained to him that Ammon and Cliven was 3 working with our sheriff and our sheriff backed down the BLM so 4 there wasn't any bloodshed. 5 And Mr. Ward seemed to be accepting -- receptive to 6 7 that and excited, and told me that his meetings and 8 conversations with Ammon have been pleasant. 9 MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor. No more 10 questions. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Can we move to strike that last piece as nonresponsive? 13 THE COURT: It's also not inconsistent with the 14

THE COURT: It's also not inconsistent with the sheriff's testimony, so let's just let it be what it is.

Mr. Bundy, do you have questions of Ms. Fiore while she's here? Please ask them now, if you do.

Or do you want to wait?

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: I want to wait.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Olson, do you have questions of her?

MR. OLSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Salisbury?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SALISBURY: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

Fiore - X - By Mr. Salisbury

204

1 THE COURT: Go ahead.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 3 BY MR. SALISBURY:
- 4 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Fiore.

I was curious about your -- did you say that you met
with the FBI and local law enforcement in Burns during the

- 7 | occupation?
- 8 A. Via phone, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And do you remember when that was? Was that prior
- 10 to the LaVoy Finicum killing?
- 11 A. It was prior to LaVoy's murder, yes.
- MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, I'm going to --
- 13 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- Ms. Fiore, do not characterize Mr. Finicum's death,
- 15 period.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 17 THE COURT: Jurors, disregard the reference for the reasons I've told you several times.
- Mr. Salisbury, ask questions in a form that don't
- 20 invite witnesses who haven't been here for five weeks to go
- 21 into matters that I've already addressed as outside the scope
- 22 of the trial.
- 23 Ask another question.
- MR. SALISBURY: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 BY MR. SALISBURY:

Fiore - X - By Mr. Salisbury

205

1 Q. Was that meeting -- do you recall when that meeting was?

- A. Around January 9th.
- 3 Q. January 9th.
- 4 Was everyone talking about a peaceful resolution?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Okay. Now, I think you mentioned about the Coalition of
- 7 Western States, which you're a member of that group.
- 8 **A**. I am.
- 9 Q. And that -- is that group trying to assist ranchers with
- 10 issues with the Bureau of Land Management?
- 11 A. We are.
- 12 Q. What -- what is -- how does COWS do that?
- MR. KNIGHT: I'm going to object to the relevance of
- 14 this line of questioning.
- THE COURT: It's already been covered on direct, so
- 16 please move on.
- 17 Talked about working with her legislative partners in
- 18 other states --
- 19 MR. SALISBURY: I can ask another question. Thank
- 20 you, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Yes, please do.
- 22 BY MR. SALISBURY:
- 23 | Q. Is part of that in restoring property rights for the
- 24 ranchers?
- 25 **∥** A. Yes, it is.

Fiore - X - By Mr. Schindler

206

And I -- I've seen this term "constitutional public land 1

2 management." What does that mean?

MR. KNIGHT: Now I object on relevance grounds, your 3 Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Please, with respect to this witness, keep your questions as to this case and the charges against your client and the other defendants.

MR. SALISBURY: Okay.

10 BY MR. SALISBURY:

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

- 11 Q. And is that -- is the COWS group dedicated to peaceful
- 12 actions in doing so?
- 13 Without a doubt.

Thank you. No further questions. MR. SALISBURY:

THE COURT: Mr. Schindler, any questions?

MR. SCHINDLER: Yes, please. Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. SCHINDLER: 18
- Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Fiore. 19

20 How long did you spend at the refuge?

- The Oregon refuge? 21 Α.
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 As long as it took for the BearCat to get myself and
- 24 Reverend Graham to go get our fabulous four out.
- During all of the time that you have met with or spoken to 25

Fiori - X - By Ms. Harris

207

1 Mr. Bundy, did you ever hear him make any threats to BLM

2 employees, Fish & Wildlife employees, or other government

- 3 officials?
- 4 A. No, never.
- 5 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you. No -- nothing further.
- THE COURT: Ms. Maxfield, any questions?
- 7 MS. MAXFIELD: No questions, your Honor. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Ms. Harris or Ms. Cox, any questions?
 - MS. HARRIS: If I may just have one moment, your
- 10 Honor.

9

13

- 11 THE COURT: Certainly. We can sit at ease for a few
- 12 minutes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 14 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 15 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Fiore. Did you travel here with
- 16 anyone?
- 17 A. Can you repeat that?
- 18 Q. Did you travel here with Mr. Cliven Bundy?
- 19 A. I didn't. Mr. Cliven Bundy missed his flight. He was
- 20 supposed to be with me, though.
- 21 MR. KNIGHT: Your Honor, object to the relevance of
- 22 that.
- 23 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 24 Please ask material that's relevant.
- MS. HARRIS: I don't have any more questions for you.

```
208
                        Fiori - X - By Ms. Harris
     Thank you for coming.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Mr. Bundy, did you have any questions of
 3
    Ms. Fiore?
               DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: No, I do not.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
 5
               MR. KNIGHT: No questions. Thank you.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Fiore. You're free to
 8
     step down.
 9
               Next witness, please.
10
               We're going to take one more witness out of order at
11
     the defendants' request.
12
               MS. HARRIS: That's Brandon Rapolla.
13
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               Mr. Rapolla, sir, would you come here, please, to the
14
15
     witness chair. There's a path along the front of the jury box.
               Please watch your step coming all the way up, and
16
17
     remain standing.
18
               Please face the jury and the deputy.
19
               Raise your right hand to be sworn.
               (Witness sworn.)
20
               THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
21
22
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: Go ahead and take a seat, sir, and bring
24
     yourself around close to the microphone.
```

When you're situated there, please tell us your full

THE COURT: You really do need to slow down for us,

22

23

24

25

sir.

(Laughter.)

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Okay.

210

1 THE COURT: It's been a long day, and we're trying to

2 listen. So speak slowly.

Go ahead, back over the military experience.

THE WITNESS: You bet.

So from '92 to '96, I was 8152 security forces,

6 marine barracks, Japan. Counterterrorism, riot crowd, crowd

control, top secret facilities.

8 BY MS. HARRIS:

3

4

- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 A. And then was a scout with light-armored recon battalion.
- 11 Q. And are you a member of the Pacific Patriot Network?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am. I'm one of the founders.
- 13 Q. Okay. So I'm going to show you what's already been
- 14 admitted into evidence. It's Exhibit 110.
- 15 And do you know this guy?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. Who is he?
- 18 A. Kevin Rhodes.
- 19 Q. Is he also a member of the Pacific Patriot Network?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Where is he standing?
- 22 A. He's standing at the front of the gate of the road coming
- 23 | into the refuge.
- 24 Q. Were you with Mr. Rhodes that day?
- 25 A. I'm actually right behind -- you see, right -- Brandon

- 1 Curtis is in the black --
- 2 Q. No, that screen -- you know, I'm sorry to interrupt. That
- 3 screen, is that interactive? That's -- you can actually --
- 4 A. I can actually --
- 5 Q. You can mark --
- 6 A. Okay. You can see my pant leg right here, and then that's
- 7 | my camouflage hat (drawing) and my black coat.
- 8 Q. Great. Thank you.
- 9 Do you know when this photo was taken?
- 10 A. It was January 9th, time.
- 11 Q. And do you know who took this photo?
- 12 A. No, I don't, ma'am.
- MS. HARRIS: Mr. Breton, could you pull up Exhibit 2,
- 14 page 6.
- And, Mr. Rapolla, I'm going to have you now take a
- 16 look at a map of the refuge.
- I think there's a page with a closer-up view.
- 18 THE COURT: Page 2 or 3, I believe.
- 19 MS. HARRIS: Yeah.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I could have went to the previous one.
- 21 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 22 Q. Let's try page 6.
- 23 A. (Laughing.)
- 24 Q. Do you -- can you tell from looking at this map where
- 25 Mr. Rhodes was standing?

212

1 A. Yeah. Right in this corner right here (indicating).

- Q. Okay. And on that day, January 9th, was there also a press
- 3 conference being held that you attended?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Can you show us on this map where the press conference was
- 6 happening?
- 7 A. Right in this area (drawing).
- 8 Q. Great.
- 9 A. It's a staged area that was designated.
- 10 Q. Um-hmm. Okay. Designated for things like press
- 11 | conferences?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. And can you tell us -- can you --
- MS. HARRIS: Go back to Exhibit 110, Mr. Breton.
- 15 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 16 Q. Can you tell us, sir, what's going on in this photo here?
- 17 A. So, as founding members, we saw that things weren't coming
- 18 to a resolution or coming to a conclusion of what's -- you
- 19 know, no parties were communicating very well with each other.
- 20 So, as founding members, we put together an articles
- 21 of resolution. And this morning time, we came to the refuge to
- 22 give the articles of resolution to Ammon Bundy, the FBI, and
- 23 also Sheriff Ward. And so this is our convoy of people within
- 24 the Pacific Patriots Network.
- Now, myself, Brandon Curtis, Joseph Rice, and also BJ

213

Soper is in that -- in there. Us being the founding members,
we came together in that convoy. We ended up having a

potential threat. It was talked about harming us, within the

So I, myself, organized making sure that we had armed security as a buffer between people, just so that nobody would get too close to us during that morning.

Q. Okay. So let me sort of break some of that down.

Thank you for that.

You mentioned an articles of resolution.

What is that?

- A. That was to get the -- all of the leadership within the different entities of Ammon, the FBI, and also Sheriff Ward to get to the round table and communicate with each other because there -- there was a lot of stonewalling that was happening and things just were not -- at the -- being there for the week, it's like there needs to be communication between leadership, and we wanted to assist in that.
- Q. And so was this articles of resolution, was this like a written document that you -- that the Pacific Patriot Network produced?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

founders.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And was this document something that you then delivered to these three parties that you mentioned? The refuge -- or the folks at the refuge, Mr. Bundy, the FBI, and then Sheriff Ward

214

1 and the local authorities?

- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Was your first stop, along this delivery route, the refuge?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. And is that what's -- what's happening here, in Exhibit
- 6 110?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And then you also mentioned -- well, let me -- let me
- 9 ask -- let me ask you something else.
- Were you in Burns, on and off, during the month of
- 11 January?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. When you would travel around Burns, would you typically
- 14 have Mr. Rhodes, like, stand near you or tail you or act as an
- 15 armed security person?
- 16 A. No, I don't. It was specifically only to this day because
- 17 people, through the grapevine, were just like -- there was some
- 18 threats against some of the founders of Pacific Patriots
- 19 Network. And so we're going to take that serious. And it's my
- 20 job -- or my position to take that serious and say, "Okay, I
- 21 want to have some armed security initially." Because we don't
- 22 know who's who. In a press conference, we don't know -- you
- 23 | know, there's a lot of the people that are around. And
- 24 | initially giving these articles -- we need to present these
- 25 articles.

215

1 Q. Is this -- this -- this gun or rifle that Mr. Rhodes has,

2 is that -- to your knowledge, is that something that is legal

to possess?

- 4 A. Absolutely it's legal.
- Q. And the road that you're standing on, are you -- was it
- 6 your intent, in this picture, to block access to that road?
- 7 A. No, there's no blocking the access. As you see, we pull
- 8 off to the side of the road. We had a lead security vehicle.
- 9 Then we had the four founders' vehicles and then another
- 10 security vehicle behind us. And then we had our very rear
- 11 security of the whole convoy. And it was a directive of
- 12 everybody's going to park this side, stay within near their
- 13 vehicles, while us founders go speak to the press first and
- 14 then go on and meet with Ammon and present him the articles of
- 15 resolution.
- 16 Q. The group of folks that are standing there, you mentioned
- 17 some of them by name.
- 18 Were they on their way to the press conference?
- 19 A. Yeah. We just, at that point in time, exited our vehicles,
- 20 allowed the security to come forward. And then helped create
- 21 that buffer, so we can -- they were escorting us to the -- to
- 22 the press conference staging area.
- 23 **||** Q. So were you planning -- just to make sure I understand. So
- 24 you were not planning to gather or spend an extended period of
- 25 time in this road here?

216

1 A. No, ma'am.

- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. That's just us trying to gather and go to the press
- 4 conference.
- 5 **|** Q. Got it.
- 6 How far away was the place where you're standing to
- 7 the staging area where the press conference was being held?
- 8 A. Less than a hundred feet.
- 9 Q. Oh, okay. When you were circulating around Burns or
- 10 visiting the refuge, did you, yourself, carry a weapon?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. And what -- typically what type of weapon is that?
- 13 A. Either -- I have two of them. As far as my sidearm,
- 14 whether it's two pistols, whether it's my -- a Glock 20 or an
- 15 FX 45.
- 16 Q. So you're talking you would bring one of the two?
- 17 A. Yeah, my conceal carry. Just depends on what I want to
- 18 wear for the day. Kind of like what I'm dressing with.
- 19 Q. And do you have a concealed carry permit?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am. I'm also an instructor for teaching conceal
- 21 carry classes for Oregon and Utah.
- 22 Q. Got it. Thank you.
- 23 And did you attend the -- the press conference that
- 24 day?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. HARRIS: Mr. Breton, could you go to our defense exhibit -- which you were kind enough to give me the number for, and I promptly forgot.

(Mr. Breton and Ms. Harris conferring.)

MS. HARRIS: 1821, please.

And I'm going to ask -- this can be received without objection.

I'm going to ask, though, Mr. Breton that you show it without the sound.

(Video playing without sound.)

- 11 BY MS. HARRIS:
- Q. (speaking as video plays) And, Mr. Rapolla, is that
- 13 someone -- well, first of all, is that you off to the left in
- 14 | this --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 A. Yes. Yes, ma'am. That's Joseph Rice speaking, BJ Soper
- 16 behind him and then Brandon Curtis next to him, to the right.
- 17 Q. So these are all members of your group there?
- 18 A. Part of the members of -- the founding members of PPN.
- MS. HARRIS: Can you pause the action there? Thank you.
- 21 (Video paused.)
- 22 BY MS. HARRIS:
- Q. And was the purpose of your press conference to discuss the
- 24 articles of resolution that you were delivering that day?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

218

Q. And is that what's taking place in general terms in this --

- 2 in this --
- 3 A. Absolutely. And then also answering questions of the press
- 4 and what -- whatever they have of us, what our role is, and
- 5 what our -- what our goals are.
- 6 Q. And were there questions for the group?
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- 8 MS. HARRIS: And, Mr. Breton, we -- I got ahead of
- 9 us. But can you play the -- start playing the remainder of the
- 10 video there.
- 11 (Video playing resumed.)
- 12 MS. HARRIS: Can you jog ahead to about 1:30 or --
- 13 (Pause, Mr. Breton and Ms. Harris conferring.)
- 14 MS. HARRIS: Okay. Can you pause there.
- 15 (Video paused.)
- 16 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 17 Q. And is that Mr. Rhodes again there?
- 18 | A. Yes, ma'am. And that's me right behind him.
- 19 Q. Okay. And is this -- you know, this is a video that was
- 20 produced, I think, by a third party.
- 21 But is this before the group had convened at the
- 22 press conference or is this after?
- 23 | A. This is before us coming out and -- coming out and giving
- 24 direction. Getting like where the media is at, staging. Media
- would try to -- come, all, and bombard us. And we're like, no,

219

you guys, we're going to meet with you at this meeting at the media place that's designated.

And then coordinating with people to say, let Ammon know that we're here and we would like to talk to him.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. And, Mr. Breton, can you go back to Government Exhibit 110.

7 BY MS. HARRIS:

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And that -- is that the same -- that's the same event but a little bit earlier, when -- when you folks are just arriving --
- 10 A. It's within the same time frame of us exiting the vehicle
 11 and coming out to that area.
- Q. Okay. About how long, total, was Mr. Rhodes standing on the roadside at -- in that location?
 - people out and then trying to get the conference -- the media people to stand back. And then communicating with people at the -- on the refuge, to say, hey, we're here, and we're going

to talk to the media. And then we're going to come on -- we

A. Probably no longer than 15 minutes. It's between getting

- would like to come on down and talk to Ammon.
- Q. And after the press conference concluded, did Mr. Rhodes leave with the rest of the group?
- 22 A. He -- no, he came down and escorted with us, down into the refuge.
- Q. Oh, for purposes of the meeting that you had --
- 25 A. Yeah, exactly.

220

1 Q. That you were a part of?

And about how long did that meeting take?

- A. Maybe less -- probably less than an hour.
- I can't honestly -- 30 minutes, an hour, hour and a
- 5 half. Nothing.

2

- 6 Q. Was the purpose of that meeting to discuss in further
- 7 detail the articles of resolution?
- 8 A. Presenting the articles of resolution --
- 9 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object as
- 10 cumulative. We've gone over this part.
- 11 THE COURT: We have. Objection sustained.
- 12 Let's move on, please.
- MS. HARRIS: Sure.
- 14 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 15 Q. So after the group left this location, did you make another
- 16 stop along the way to -- to drop off another copy of the
- 17 articles of resolution?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am. Then we went to the FBI staging area at the
- 19 airport.
- 20 Q. And after that, did you make another stop?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am. We went to Sheriff Ward, at -- at where he was
- 22 gated up.
- 23 Q. Is that -- the area near the courthouse, in town?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Did Ammon Bundy ask the Pacific Patriot Network to station

221

Mr. Rhodes out there in that location with that gun? 1

No, ma'am. That's personally my doing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like, I'm the tactical team leader for Pacific Patriots Network. And any time there is a security threat or anything I administer, I explain what we're going to do and how we're going to do it. Nobody dictates to me, however, on my security.

- Sure. And this -- the decision to stage the -- the press conference that day, about the articles of resolution, whose idea was that?
- 11 A. That press conference was already going to happen. There's 12 randomly press conferences happening, so --
 - But whose idea was it for the Pacific Patriot Network to make the appearance and take the stage to discuss the articles of resolution?
 - Oh, that's us within the founding members. All of us, as we saw things weren't -- whether with the FBI or Sheriff Ward, there was no communication or attempt of them trying to communicate. It was complete demands on their end. We were like this is not what leadership does. So there needs to be communication between --

MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object again. This is cumulative.

> THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The question and answer are stricken.

222

Please focus the question and the witness on new matter.

- 3 BY MS. HARRIS:
- Q. As far as you know, did Mr. Rhodes ever return to that location with that firearm?
 - A. No, ma'am.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

And, quite honestly, after we were done, out of there, I got on the radio and I said, hey guys, that's no reason -- we don't need to alarm the FBI or the sheriff. It's not going to have any issues out there. So we don't need to have our rifles. And you guys will still -- you know, people will still be out there, but it will be only the founding members who will approach the FBI.

MS. HARRIS: Mr. Breton, can you put up Government Exhibit 712.

I'm sorry, 711.

(Pause, Mr. Breton and Ms. Harris conferring.)

(Video with audio playing.)

- BY MS. HARRIS:
- 20 Q. Is this another view of the same event?

21 THE COURT: (Talking over video.) Would you cut the 22 sound, please, Mr. Breton.

MR. GABRIEL: We're -- the parties have stipulated the sound is okay on this, your Honor.

THE COURT: But I thought the point was just for

```
223
                       Rapolla - D - By Ms. Harris
 1
     viewing.
               MS. HARRIS: I'm fine with that.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Do we need the sound?
               MR. GABRIEL: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Go ahead. Sorry. Run it back. Play the
 5
     sound.
 6
 7
               MR. GABRIEL: From here on with sound.
 8
               (Video playing resuming with sound.)
 9
               MS. HARRIS: Can you pause, it Mr. Breton.
10
               (Video paused.)
11
    BY MS. HARRIS:
12
     Q. Mr. Rapolla, were you there during this portion of the
13
     events?
14
    A. Yes, ma'am.
    Q. Were the people who were approaching Mr. Rhodes, to your
15
    knowledge, were any of them fish or wildlife employees?
16
17
        No, they -- those look like media.
18
    Q.
        Were they attempting to take pictures?
    A. They're fine in taking pictures. But to bombard us, we
19
     explained to them there were threats, and we have a security
20
21
     team here. And we will answer any and all questions at the
22
     location of where the meeting -- which is literally less than a
23
    hundred feet away. But to come bombard us when we're getting
24
     out of our vehicles is not okay.
```

25

Q.

And --

224

1 MS. HARRIS: I'm actually done with that.

- 2 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 3 Q. Is this -- did you remain on and off in this area
- 4 | throughout the month of January?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did the area that we've been looking at and the pictures
- 7 and the videos, did that area look that way at any other time
- 8 when -- when you were there?
- 9 A. No. From there, it's -- you're -- we didn't have that many
- 10 people.
- MR. GABRIEL: Objection, your Honor. If he didn't
- 12 return, he doesn't know what the area looked like.
- 13 THE COURT: Ms. Harris?
- 14 MS. HARRIS: Can I just ask a different question?
- 15 THE COURT: Ask a clarifying question, please.
- MS. HARRIS: Sure.
- 17 BY MS. HARRIS:
- Q. About how many times would you say you were in that area
- 19 during the month of January 2016?
- 20 A. Probably five, six different times, if not eight.
- 21 It's random. Sometimes I would go through either
- 22 daytime or later on in the day just to observe and talk to the
- 23 front gate and just radio in and say, "Hey, you guys,
- 24 everything okay?" And then head back to Burns.
- 25 Q. And so during -- on those occasions, did you ever see that

Rapolla - X - By Defendant Ryan Bundy area look the way it did in the photo and the videos that we've 1 2 been looking at? A. As far as vehicles being aligned like that? 3 No, there is no -- that was us doing that. So, from 4 there, it's only one or two vehicles. And if I would come into 5 the refuge and speak with Ammon during the day time, and -- it 6 7 would only be a handful of vehicles, maybe, or just myself, or 8 maybe another PPN member, so another representative. 9 MS. HARRIS: I have no further questions. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Other questions by defendants? 12 Mr. Bundy? 13 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: Yeah. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: 15 Brandon, how are you doing today? 16 How's it going man? Good seeing you too. Thanks for 17 18 wearing purple. 19 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: Can we put 110 back up -- on, 20 please. BY DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: 21 22 Q. So -- Mr. Kevin Rhodes here and that whole line up of cars, 23 you testified that is -- that is you and the patriot -- Pacific 24 Patriot Network Group. Correct? 25 Yes, sir.

Α.

Rapolla - X - By Defendant Ryan Bundy

- 1 Q. Are -- are you guys a member or -- of the Citizens for
- 2 | Constitutional Freedom?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you --
- 5 A. We support -- I mean, we know you guys, but we are our own
- 6 entities.
- 7 Q. Gotcha. You're not -- you're not a member. You're not the
- 8 same group?
- 9 A. No, ma'am -- I mean, no, sir.
- 10 Q. Yeah, that's --
- 11 A. (Laughing.)
- 12 Q. Did you ever spend a night there at the refuge?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. I did have some other questions. Let's see.
- When you were in Burns during the occupation, where
- 16 did you stay?
- 17 A. Either, one, in my truck; or, two, at the -- one of the
- 18 hotels in town.
- 20 area?
- MR. GABRIEL: Objection, your Honor, to relevance.
- 22 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- Go ahead and answer.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 25 BY DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY:

Rapolla - X - By Defendant Ryan Bundy

- Q. Okay. What did you see taking place at the airport?
- A. You had the FBI tac team that was there. You had armored vehicles. You had armored S.U.V.s.

And you had -- eventually you ended up having blow up -- their stuff you see in Afghanistan, Iraq, the blow-up buildings that are -- whether they're chow halls or they're living quarters to increase -- you know, I mean, they had their comms vehicles that will -- being able to interrupt any communications or pick up any communications out in the air.

There's also their drone, which they're -- I forget which drone it is. But we observed their drone and them working on it.

- Q. Gotcha. But the military compound set up there. Correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

- MR. GABRIEL: I'm going to object to the

 characterization of this. I mean, I think we've exhausted the

 relevance.
- 18 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- Move on to a new topic, please.
- 20 BY DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY:
 - Q. Referring back to your military background, did you -- how many years have you been involved with the military?
- A. I was active duty for four years. I was deployed in the WESTPAC in 1996. I was in the infantry unit.
- Q. And you've been involved with militia-type groups since

Rapolla - X - By Mr. Salisbury

228

1 or --

- 2 A. On and off.
- 3 Q. So militarily speaking, is 18,000 rounds a lot of
- 4 ammunition for 20 or 30 guys?
- 5 A. No. (Laughing.)
- 6 Q. You can --
- 7 A. That's not very much at all.
- 8 Q. Can you go through that in practice pretty fast or --
- 9 A. Yeah. On average, when I -- when I teach people and train,
- 10 you know, your -- per caliber, you need about three to 5,000
- 11 rounds per caliber, whatever weapon system you have; whether
- 12 it's a firearm, a shotgun, a medium-range gun or a long-range
- 13 gun. So it just kind of depends on your purpose, whether
- 14 | hunting or self-defense, home defense. And you need to be able
- 15 to -- you know, different rounds to use for different reasons.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you said you support -- or you support the
- 17 Citizens for Constitutional Freedom but you don't take
- 18 directions from them?
- 19 A. No. No, sir.
- DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: All right. I have no other
- 21 questions.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Olson.
- 23 MR. OLSON: No, thank you, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Salisbury?
- 25 MR. SALISBURY: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

229 Rapolla - X - By Mr. Salisbury CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. SALISBURY: 2 Q. Sir, you've already mentioned that you're a veteran of the 3 U.S. military? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. 6 Q. Thank you. 7 I'm curious, in your testimony that you just 8 mentioned about the 18,000 rounds --9 Α. Um-hmm. 10 Q. -- I didn't hear you say -- how many rounds would you 11 estimate you would go through in a typical session? 12 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 13 relevance now. 14 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 15 BY MR. SALISBURY: Q. Now, you did mention in your testimony earlier that -- I 16 17 think you said the reason that you went out there to the refuge 18 was because there was no communication. Was that your testimony? No communication with law enforcement? 19 20 THE WITNESS: The --MR. GABRIEL: I'm going to object as cumulative. 21 22 MR. SALISBURY: Well --23 THE COURT: You can clarify what you understand his

THE COURT: You can clarify what you understand his direct testimony to be, but he spoke at length about his concerns and why he presented the resolution to various

24

Rapolla - X - By Mr. Salisbury

230

1 parties.

BY MR. SALISBURY: 2

- 3 Q. Can you do that, sir? Clarify that -- the lack of communication as the reason you went there? 4
- THE COURT: Well, that's just repeating what I said. 5 And he's already talked on direct and there's an objection to 6 7 it being cumulative. So if you need a new question, ask it,
- 8 please.

9

17

18

- 10 Q. Sure. Is that something that the Pacific Patriot Network 11 typically does?
- 12 A. So the role --

BY MR. SALISBURY:

- MR. GABRIEL: I'm going to object to relevance on 13 14 this, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: No, he can explain the purpose of his 16 agency.
 - Go ahead, sir.

THE WITNESS: So Pacific Patriots Network is citizens, like your neighborhood watch. People who are --19 whether we're prepping communications, food, water, security, 20 and anything that happens, whether it's a Cascadia event, 21 22 whether it's a flooding event, or -- in this instance, we had 23 Ammon Bundy and the people at the refuge that, you know, we 24 were concerned for their safety and we were concerned, you know, that nothing happens to them. And that we were there 25

```
231
                     Rapolla - X - By Mr. Schindler
     as -- as assistance, like, in any way we can be. And we're
 1
     concerned for -- for them.
 2
    BY MR. SALISBURY:
 3
         Why were you concerned for their safety?
 4
    Q.
        It's very clear, in history --
 5
               MR. GABRIEL: I'm going to object, your Honor.
 6
 7
    Relevance.
 8
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
 9
               Move on.
10
               MR. SALISBURY: I have no further questions.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schindler, do you have
12
     questions?
13
               MR. SCHINDLER: Yes, please, your Honor. Thank you.
14
               THE COURT: Let's move on, please.
15
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. SCHINDLER:
16
17
        Mr. Rapolla, why wasn't the Pacific Patriots Network
18
    participating in this protest?
               MR. GABRIEL: I'm going to object to relevance, your
19
20
    Honor. Why they weren't participating is not relevant to
     these --
21
22
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
               THE WITNESS: -- defendants' state of minds.
23
24
               THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Please get
     to the charges here and the -- and the issues that he can offer
25
```

Rapolla - X - By Mr. Schindler

232

that might be of help to the jury that have not already been covered.

- 3 MR. SCHINDLER: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. SCHINDLER:
- 5 Q. How long did you spend speaking with Mr. Bundy?
- 6 A. Say again, sir. I can't hear you.

refuge or, you know, out in Burns.

- 7 Q. How long did you spend speaking with Ammon Bundy?
- A. Sometimes on a daily basis, sometimes maybe not till three or four days from the time -- it just would kind of depend on where I was at; whether I was back at home or back out at the
- I made a point, though, if I did come back into town,
 to try to make communication with Ammon. I respect Ammon, and
 I would consider us as being friends and --
 - Q. You spoke to him many hours over that period of time?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

11

15

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And at any point during those many hours of conversation, did he ever threaten any Fish & Wildlife officials?
- 19 A. If he had threatened anybody, I want to be there.
 - And, quite honestly, that's the roles of why I support Ammon is because he's not a violent person. None of the Bundys are, from meeting their family.
 - Their concerns are what's happening in -- to other people and what's happened to the Hammonds. And there's a big issue here, and that's why I'm here.

Rapolla - X - By Mr. Mumford

233

1 MR. SCHINDLER: Thank you, your Honor. I have no

2 further questions.

3

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: Ms. Maxfield?

4 MS. MAXFIELD: No questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Harris or Ms. Cox? I'm sorry.

Ms. Harris or Ms. Cox?

Oh, you started. I'm sorry. It is late.

Mr. Mumford, your turn.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 10 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 11 Q. So these articles of resolution were being presented by
- 12 you. Right?
- 13 A. By PPN. Not just myself, but all of the founders.
- 14 Q. By PPN, to try to encourage communication between Ammon
- 15 Bundy, in this instance, constitutional freedom over here, and
- 16 the FBI and -- and the police over here. Right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 MR. MUMFORD: And Exhibit 110, please.
- 19 BY MR. MUMFORD:
- 20 Q. And this individual stood in this location about 15
- 21 minutes, then?
- 22 A. At -- whatever it took us to organize and go to the --
- 23 Q. On January 9th?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And someone had -- had -- and someone happened to take his

Rapolla - X - By Mr. Gabriel

234

1 photo?

- A. Yeah, all of the media are sitting right there.
- If you were to take -- stand back ten feet, you would
- 4 see the line of media that was in front.
- 5 Q. When you talked about the intelligence you had received
- 6 about a threat --
- 7 A. Um-hmm.
- 8 Q. -- did you have any -- did you have any information that
- 9 the -- to fear Mr. Bundy or his group at all?
- 10 A. No. It was specifically directed to us.
- 11 Q. And when you went in to meet with Mr. Bundy, there --
- 12 the -- the -- basically, the threat had been taken care of by
- 13 that point because it's not in the refuge. Right?
- 14 A. Right. We -- they -- we just got escorted down there. The
- 15 security waited outside while we went inside. And, like
- 16 normal, just go and sit down and have a pow-wow with Ammon and
- 17 other people there.
- 18 | Q. All right. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Rapolla.
- 19 No more questions.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Gabriel, any questions?
- MR. GABRIEL: Yes, just one.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- Q. Mr. Rapolla, I'm Craig Gabriel. I'm one of the prosecutors

- 1 in the case. You recognize Government Exhibit 711? That was
- 2 the video with the --
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. I would like to play that for you. We just saw a
- 5 portion of it.
- 6 (Video playing with audio.)
- 7 MR. GABRIEL: I have no further questions, your
- 8 Honor.
- 9 MS. HARRIS: Briefly on redirect, Judge.
- 10 THE COURT: Yes.
- 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MS. HARRIS:
- 13 Q. Mr. Rapolla, you were there for this exchange?
- 14 A. Yeah, I was in that video.
- 15 Q. Okay. And about how long did that interaction with the
- 16 press and Mr. Rhodes and your people -- about how long did that
- 17 | last?
- 18 A. On the side of the road? 15, roughly 15 minutes. And then
- 19 we spoke at the press conference, and that was however long
- 20 that took to answer their questions. And maybe 30, 45
- 21 minutes -- and, actually, LaVoy Finicum first spoke.
- 22 Q. And -- that's fine.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. And were you -- were you in that scene? Were you and your
- 25 Pacific Patriot Network, were you asking to receive questions

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 236 of 241 Rapolla - ReX - By Defendant Ryan Bundy and deal with the press at the staging area, where the press 1 conference was --2 3 Yes, ma'am. Α. Okay. And, again, what was the reason why you were asking 4 them to give you space? 5 A. Well, we first had a threat and from that point, if we're 6 7 going to move, we want to move to an area that's designated. 8 And also to get us off the road. It's -- (laughing). 9 Q. And while you were there, did you see any U.S. Fish & 10 Wildlife Service or BLM vehicles being blocked on that roadway? 11 A. No, absolutely not. 12 MS. HARRIS: I have nothing else. DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: I have redirect. 13 THE COURT: Pardon me? 14 DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: I said I have some redirect. 15 16 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Bundy. Go ahead. 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: 18 Again, from that -- from that video, those who were putting 19 20 up the perimeter, quards, they were all PPN members? Yes, those are our members. 21 22 Gotcha. Were there any of those there that were members of Q.

DEFENDANT RYAN BUNDY: No further questions.

the Citizens for Constitutional Freedom?

23

24

25

Α.

No, sir.

	Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 237 of 241
	Colloquy 237
1	you.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may step
3	down.
4	Thank you. Watch your step.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: And in light of the day, ladies and
7	gentlemen, you will too.
8	Please leave your notes on the chair. Thank you,
9	again for another day of attentive participation. The parties
10	and the Court very much appreciate your work.
11	Tomorrow, same time, nine o'clock. We'll be ready
12	for you.
13	Enjoy the evening. Do not discuss the case with
14	anyone or allow anything about it to cross your path.
15	Have a good evening. Let's all stand for the jurors.
16	(Jurors exit at 4:25 p.m.)
17	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
18	Let us chart the course for tomorrow.
19	Mr. Mumford, how much longer on direct for Mr. Bundy?
20	MR. MUMFORD: Thank you, your Honor.
21	I believe, you know hold on.
22	May I may may can I have you come back to
23	me on this, your Honor? Because I can just scroll through
24	THE COURT: You're sort of the direct examiner,
25	Mr. Mumford.

MR. MUMFORD: I understand that, your Honor --1 2 THE COURT: According to my notes, we left off with 3 Mr. Bundy talking about the formation of the citizens constitutional -- for constitutional freedom. He was asked 4 about the management of day-to-day activities, the morning 5 meetings. He was asked whether he was a leader. But he 6 7 described -- he wasn't telling others what to do. 8 That's about where you left off. 9 MR. MUMFORD: I -- and, yeah, thank you. Thank you for that, you -- your Honor. 10 11 I believe most of the day tomorrow is -- sorry, is 12 going to be -- sorry, the -- the -- I would say the -- the majority of the examination day tomorrow is -- is --13 constitutes the rest of the information that we anticipate we 14 can get in through Mr. Bundy. And I believe that's 15 approximately -- I can't -- I can give the Court a better 16 17 estimate after I get a chance to add it up. But your Honor will recall there's that last 15-minute clip that is the one 18 that we -- we compromised with the Government on. And then 19 there's the intermittent clips that we have. 20 I think, in addition to those -- those -- those 21 22 clips, I believe we have about 30 -- 30 -- 30 to 45 minutes of

THE COURT: So what does that total? About 90 minutes?

it.

23

24

Case 3:16-cr-00051-BR Document 1860 Filed 02/08/17 Page 239 of 241 239 Colloquy MR. MUMFORD: Yeah. Less than that, I think, but 1 2 let's say that, just to be safe. 3 THE COURT: All right. Now, defendants, I know, have also arranged other witnesses for tomorrow. 4 The -- your doctor? 5 MR. OLSON: 6 No. THE COURT: Not for tomorrow? That's next week? 7 8 MR. OLSON: Yeah. 9 MR. SCHINDLER: (Laughing.) 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Schindler? 11 MR. SCHINDLER: Oh, no, I'm just laughing because 12 poor Mr. Olson has been asked 19 times about whether or not his doctor is testifying. 13 14 THE COURT: Well, because I keep being told different 15

things. And I'm trying hard -- I'm trying hard now. Would you just leave the laughter for later?

MR. SCHINDLER: Sure.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Does anybody have any witnesses they need to put on tomorrow that would affect Mr. Bundy's direct testimony?

MR. MUMFORD: No, your Honor. But me and -- and Mr. Ryan Bundy's team are coordinating with respect to the expert, Mr. Stephenson.

THE COURT: All right. What time of day is that expected to happen?

Colloquy 240

MR. MUMFORD: I believe we anticipate in the 1 2 afternoon. THE COURT: In the afternoon? 3 MR. MUMFORD: Yes, I believe so. 4 THE COURT: All right. So then the plan is to go 5 6 forward with Mr. Bundy tomorrow, to continue his direct. All defendants will ask their direct exams and then 7 8 we'll get to cross tomorrow, in the morning; maybe. 9 MR. KNIGHT: Maybe. Okay. 10 THE COURT: All right. I would like everybody here 11 at eight o'clock, on time, please, so we can clear through any 12 issues that are planned for tomorrow and make good use of the jury's time. 13 14 And then I'm going to ask, do defendants need to 15 confer as a group at all tonight before the marshal takes the defendants back? Yes? 16 17 MR. MUMFORD: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Marshals, how about 20 minutes. Can you do that without --19 20 THE MARSHAL: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: -- a staffing problem? 21 22 All right. Everybody in the back of the courtroom 23 needs to leave. Everybody who is not a member of this defense 24 team needs to leave. Mr. Patrick, you need to leave. Mr. Patrick, you 25

241 Colloquy need to leave now. This is only for the defense team for this 1 trial. 2 Please leave. 3 (Court adjourned.) 4 (Conclusion of excerpt.) 5 6 7 8 --000--9 I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct 10 stenographic transcript of the oral proceedings had in the 11 12 above-entitled matter this 4th day of February, 2017. A 13 transcript without an original signature or conformed signature 14 is not certified. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the 15 Judicial Conference of the United States. 16 17 /S/ Amanda M. LeGore 18 AMANDA M. LeGORE, CSR, RDR, CRR, FCRR, CE 19 CSR No. 15-0433 EXP: 3-31-2018 20 21 22 23 24 25